

**2003 DRAFTING REQUEST**

**Senate Amendment (SA-SB44)**

Received: **05/19/2003**

Received By: **phurley**

Wanted: **As time permits**

Identical to LRB:

For: **Legislative Fiscal Bureau**

By/Representing: **Dyck**

This file may be shown to any legislator: **NO**

Drafter: **phurley**

May Contact:

Addl. Drafters:

Subject: **Transportation - highways**

Extra Copies:

Submit via email: **YES**

Requester's email:

Carbon copy (CC:) to: **aaron.gary@legis.state.wi.us** ✓

**Pre Topic:**

LFB:.....Dyck -

**Topic:**

Traffic marking enhancements

**Instructions:**

See Attached

**Drafting History:**

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/?	phurley 05/23/2003	csicilia 05/27/2003		_____			
/P1	phurley 05/29/2003	csicilia 06/04/2003	jfrantze 05/27/2003	_____	sbasford 05/27/2003		
	phurley 06/02/2003			_____			

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/1	phurley 06/05/2003	csicilia 06/05/2003	rschluet 06/04/2003	_____	mbarman 06/04/2003		
/2	phurley 06/09/2003	csicilia 06/09/2003	chaskett 06/05/2003	_____	lemery 06/05/2003		
/3	phurley 06/09/2003	csicilia 06/09/2003	rschluet 06/09/2003	_____	lemery 06/09/2003		
/4			jfrantze 06/09/2003	_____	mbarman 06/09/2003		

FE Sent For:

<END>

2003 DRAFTING REQUEST

Senate Amendment (SA-SB44)

Received: 05/19/2003

Received By: phurley

Wanted: As time permits

Identical to LRB:

For: Legislative Fiscal Bureau

By/Representing: Dyck

This file may be shown to any legislator: NO

Drafter: phurley

May Contact:

Addl. Drafters:

Subject: Transportation - highways

Extra Copies:

*[Handwritten scribble]*

Submit via email: YES

Requester's email:

Carbon copy (CC:) to: *ARG*

Pre Topic:

LFB:.....Dyck -

Topic:

Traffic marking enhancements

Instructions:

See Attached

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/?	phurley 05/23/2003	csicilia 05/27/2003		_____			
/P1	phurley 05/29/2003 phurley 06/02/2003	csicilia 06/04/2003	jfrantze 05/27/2003	_____	sbasford 05/27/2003		

*14 i/s 6/9/03 6/9/03 Zell 6/9*

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/1	phurley 06/05/2003	csicilia 06/05/2003	rschluet 06/04/2003	_____	mbarman 06/04/2003		
/2	phurley 06/09/2003	csicilia 06/09/2003	chaskett 06/05/2003	_____	lemery 06/05/2003		
/3			rschluet 06/09/2003	_____	lemery 06/09/2003		

FE Sent For:

14 cps 6/9  
03

<END>

2003 DRAFTING REQUEST

Senate Amendment (SA-SB44)

Received: 05/19/2003

Received By: phurley

Wanted: As time permits

Identical to LRB:

For: Legislative Fiscal Bureau

By/Representing: Dyck

This file may be shown to any legislator: NO

Drafter: phurley

May Contact:

Addl. Drafters:

Subject: Transportation - highways

Extra Copies:

Submit via email: YES

Requester's email:

Carbon copy (CC:) to:

Pre Topic:

LFB:.....Dyck -

Topic:

Traffic marking enhancements

Instructions:

See Attached

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/?	phurley 05/23/2003	csicilia 05/27/2003					
/P1	phurley 05/29/2003 phurley 06/02/2003	csicilia 06/04/2003	jfrantze 05/27/2003		sbasford 05/27/2003		

6-9-3

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
--------------	----------------	-----------------	--------------	----------------	------------------	-----------------	-----------------

/1	phurley 06/05/2003	csicilia 06/05/2003	rschluet 06/04/2003	_____	mbarman 06/04/2003		
----	-----------------------	------------------------	------------------------	-------	-----------------------	--	--

/2			chaskett 06/05/2003	_____	lemery 06/05/2003		
----	--	--	------------------------	-------	----------------------	--	--

1/2 yrs  $\frac{6}{9}$   
03

FE Sent For:

<END>

**2003 DRAFTING REQUEST**

**Senate Amendment (SA-SB44)**

Received: **05/19/2003**

Received By: **phurley**

Wanted: **As time permits**

Identical to LRB:

For: **Legislative Fiscal Bureau**

By/Representing: **Dyck**

This file may be shown to any legislator: **NO**

Drafter: **phurley**

May Contact:

Addl. Drafters:

Subject: **Transportation - highways**

Extra Copies:

Submit via email: **YES**

Requester's email:

Carbon copy (CC:) to:

---

**Pre Topic:**

LFB:.....Dyck -

---

**Topic:**

Traffic marking enhancements

---

**Instructions:**

See Attached

---

**Drafting History:**

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/?	phurley 05/23/2003	csicilia 05/27/2003		_____			
/P1	phurley 05/29/2003 phurley 06/02/2003	csicilia 06/04/2003	jfrantze 05/27/2003	_____	sbasford 05/27/2003		

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
--------------	----------------	-----------------	--------------	----------------	------------------	-----------------	-----------------

/1			rschluet _____		mbarman _____		
			06/04/2003 _____		06/04/2003 _____		

rs/cph

6/5

<END>

FE Sent For:

1/2 cjs 6/5 1/2 6/5  
 03 cph



2003 DRAFTING REQUEST

Senate Amendment (SA-SB44)

Received: 05/19/2003

Received By: phurley

Wanted: As time permits

Identical to LRB:

For: Legislative Fiscal Bureau

By/Representing: Dyck

This file may be shown to any legislator: NO

Drafter: phurley

May Contact:

Addl. Drafters:

Subject: Transportation - highways

Extra Copies:

Submit via email: YES

Requester's email:

Carbon copy (CC:) to:

Pre Topic:

LFB:.....Dyck -

Topic:

Traffic marking enhancements

Instructions:

See Attached

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
--------------	----------------	-----------------	--------------	----------------	------------------	-----------------	-----------------

/?	phurley 05/23/2003	csicilia 05/27/2003		_____			
----	-----------------------	------------------------	--	-------	--	--	--

/P1			jfrantze 05/27/2003	_____	sbasford 05/27/2003		
-----	--	--	------------------------	-------	------------------------	--	--

1 c/s 5/2/01

Handwritten signatures and date 6-9-03

FE Sent For:

<END>

2003 DRAFTING REQUEST

Senate Amendment (SA-SB44)

Received: 05/19/2003

Received By: phurley

Wanted: As time permits

Identical to LRB:

For: Legislative Fiscal Bureau

By/Representing: Dyck

This file may be shown to any legislator: NO

Drafter: phurley

May Contact:

Addl. Drafters:

Subject: Transportation - highways

Extra Copies:

KMG  
CJS

Submit via email: YES

Requester's email:

Carbon copy (CC:) to: ARG, TNE

Pre Topic:

LFB:.....Dyck -

Topic:

Traffic marking enhancements

Instructions:

See Attached

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
1/?	phurley	1 is 5/23 03	27	27			

FE Sent For:

<END>

TRANSPORTATION -- LOCAL TRANSPORTATION ASSISTANCE

Grant Program for Traffic Marking Enhancements for Elderly Drivers and Pedestrians

Motion: *BM*

Move to require DOT to administer a grant program in 2003-04 to provide grants to local governments for the installation of traffic marking enhancements with the intent of improving visibility for elderly drivers and pedestrians, if the state receives federal incentive grant funding in federal fiscal year 2003 provided under the federal Transportation Equity Act for the 21<sup>st</sup> Century (TEA-21) for having a 0.08 blood alcohol level intoxicated driving law. Specify that if the state receives this funding that DOT shall credit an amount equal to the amount of the incentive grant, or \$2,200,000, whichever is less, to the state highway rehabilitation program FED appropriation and shall transfer an equal amount from the SEG appropriation for state highway rehabilitation to a newly-created, PR-S appropriation for making grants under the program. Require DOT to administer the program in 2004-05 if the state receives more federal formula highway aid than is included in the Department's FED appropriations that receive federal formula highway aid. Specify that the additional amount, up to \$3,800,000 FED, be credited to the state highway rehabilitation program and that an equal amount be transferred to the PR-S appropriation for making the grants from the SEG appropriation for state highway rehabilitation. [Increase funding in the state highway rehabilitation appropriation by \$2,200,000 FED in 2003-04 to reflect an estimate of the amount of 0.08 incentive funds received and provide \$2,200,000 PR-S in 2003-04 to reflect a transfer of funds to this program]

*will be in sub.*

Specify that such enhancements may include, but are not limited to, pavement markings for center lines, lane lines, edge lines, lane-use arrows, and cross walks that are brighter or more reflective than are typically used, traffic signs (for street names and other directional information) with enhanced reflectivity and with larger letters than is typical, and redundant street name signs in advance of intersections and overhead mounted street name signs at major intersections. Require the Department to annually award grants under the program to projects in: (a) an urban area; (b) a suburban area; and (c) a rural area. Require local sponsors to pay at least 25% in matching funds to receive a state grant.

Require the Department, in awarding grants to: (a) consider the crash history of the proposed project area; (b) consider the prevalence of older drivers and pedestrians in the area of the proposed project; (c) consider the extent to which the proposed improvements would produce demonstrable benefits; (d) consider favorably projects that are submitted cooperatively by more than one local government and that coordinate improvements on highways under their respective jurisdictions; and (e) award projects to distribute projects in all areas of the state.

---

Note:

This motion would require the Department to administer a program for making grants to local governments for the installation of traffic marking enhancements to improve the visibility of the markings for elderly drivers and pedestrians if, in 2003-04, the state receives federal incentive grant funds for having a 0.08 law in federal fiscal year 2003 and, in 2004-05, if the amount of federal formula highway aid received by the state exceeds the estimates of such aid included in the bill. The maximum amount that could be provided for this program would be \$2,200,000 in 2003-04 and \$3,800,000 in 2004-05. Local project sponsors would be required to pay a 25% match on the projects. If the conditions for making the grants apply, federal funding would be provided in the state highway rehabilitation program and an equal amount of SEG funding would be transferred to the program appropriation (designated as PR-S).

In order to receive federal 0.08 incentive grant funds in federal fiscal year 2003, the state must have a law enacted by July 15, 2003, that takes effect prior to October 1, 2003. The provision in the Governor's bill that would establish a 0.08 prohibited alcohol concentration would take effect on September 30, 2003, and, therefore, would allow the state to receive an incentive grant if the provision is approved by the Legislature and is signed by the Governor prior to July 15. Under the motion, \$2,200,000 FED would be reflected as an estimate of incentive grants to be received and \$2,200,000 PR-S would be provided to reflect a transfer of funds to the grant appropriation.

[Change to Bill: \$2,200,000 FED and \$2,200,000 PR-S]

## Hurley, Peggy

---

**From:** Gary, Aaron  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 20, 2003 9:08 AM  
**To:** Hurley, Peggy  
**Subject:** FW: clarification - motion 457, item 1

Peggy,

This from Jon. I have a copy of TEA-21 in my office, and if you want the hard-bound USC, I think it is still in Tim's office in the window closest to his computer.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Dyck, Jon  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 20, 2003 9:03 AM  
**To:** Gary, Aaron  
**Subject:** RE: clarification - motion 457, item 1

Yes that's correct.

On the 0.08 incentive grant program: the USC citation is 163. I think that would be 23 USC 163, but it wouldn't hurt to double check. If you want the TEA-21 section, it is section 1404. I don't know what the public law number for TEA-21 is.

One other thing. I forgot to point out that item number 13 of motion #457 converts the maintenance and traffic operations appropriation to a biennial appropriation.

**Jon Dyck, Fiscal Analyst**  
**Legislative Fiscal Bureau**  
**1 E. Main, Suite 301**  
**(608) 266-9919**

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Gary, Aaron  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 20, 2003 8:51 AM  
**To:** Dyck, Jon  
**Subject:** clarification - motion 457, item 1

Jon,

I read item 1 of motion 457 to require not only taking down the aggregate bonding authority in s. 84.59 (6), stats. (p. 739, line 16 of SB-44), but also eliminating the provisions in SB-44 that authorize revenue bonding for Marquette and state highway rehab (key provision in s. 84.59 (1), stats. - p. 738, line 6-8 of SB-44). Is this correct? Thanks. Aaron

Aaron R. Gary  
*Legislative Attorney*  
*Legislative Reference Bureau*  
608.261.6926 (voice)  
608.264.6948 (fax)  
aaron.gary@legis.state.wi.us

**1 E. Main, Suite 301  
(608) 266-9919**

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Nooyen, Cindy  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 22, 2003 8:58 AM  
**To:** Dyck, Jon  
**Cc:** Gary, Aaron; Hurley, Peggy  
**Subject:** RE: traffic marking enhancements grant program  
**Importance:** High

*I talked with Jim Donlin and Alice Morehouse. How about numeric 343, alpha 3 (ch), title: Traffic Marking Enhancement Grant Program? Jim said this is a PR. Is it continuing or annual?*

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Dyck, Jon  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 21, 2003 2:02 PM  
**To:** Nooyen, Cindy  
**Cc:** Gary, Aaron; Hurley, Peggy  
**Subject:** traffic marking enhancements grant program

Cindy,

The Finance Committee created an appropriation for a traffic marking enhancement grant program (motion 471) last Friday. I'm not even sure if I'd put this in program 2 or program 4. Does DOT have a preference? If so, what appropriation alpha do you think is appropriate and what appropriation numeric should I use when doing the file maintenance? Please respond to me as well as to Aaron and Peggy at the LRB so they know what to include in the draft. Thanks.

**Jon Dyck, Fiscal Analyst  
Legislative Fiscal Bureau  
1 E. Main, Suite 301  
(608) 266-9919**

## Hurley, Peggy

---

**From:** Dyck, Jon  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 22, 2003 9:25 AM  
**To:** Nooyen, Cindy  
**Cc:** Gary, Aaron; Hurley, Peggy  
**Subject:** RE: traffic marking enhancements grant program

It would be a PR-S appropriation and would be a continuing since it would be an "all funds received from transfers..." appropriation. Are you sure that it should go in program 3 even though it is a local grant program? I realize that program 2 is getting pretty crowded, but as I think about this more I'm deciding that the new program would be more like a transportation assistance program than anything else. I'm also realizing that we may need a SEG-L appropriation with this program since it requires a local match. Putting it in program 2 would allow us to use the existing 20.395 (2) (fv) as the match appropriation. What do you think?

**Jon Dyck, Fiscal Analyst**  
**Legislative Fiscal Bureau**  
**1 E. Main, Suite 301**  
**(608) 266-9919**

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Nooyen, Cindy  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 22, 2003 8:58 AM  
**To:** Dyck, Jon  
**Cc:** Gary, Aaron; Hurley, Peggy  
**Subject:** RE: traffic marking enhancements grant program  
**Importance:** High

*I talked with Jim Donlin and Alice Morehouse. How about numeric 343, alpha 3 (ch), title: Traffic Marking Enhancement Grant Program? Jim said this is a PR. Is it continuing or annual?*

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Dyck, Jon  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 21, 2003 2:02 PM  
**To:** Nooyen, Cindy  
**Cc:** Gary, Aaron; Hurley, Peggy  
**Subject:** traffic marking enhancements grant program

Cindy,

The Finance Committee created an appropriation for a traffic marking enhancement grant program (motion 471) last Friday. I'm not even sure if I'd put this in program 2 or program 4. Does DOT have a preference? If so, what appropriation alpha do you think is appropriate and what appropriation numeric should I use when doing the file maintenance? Please respond to me as well as to Aaron and Peggy at the LRB so they know what to include in the draft. Thanks.

**Jon Dyck, Fiscal Analyst**  
**Legislative Fiscal Bureau**  
**1 E. Main, Suite 301**  
**(608) 266-9919**



## Hurley, Peggy

---

**From:** Nooyen, Cindy  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 22, 2003 12:50 PM  
**To:** Dyck, Jon  
**Cc:** Gary, Aaron; Hurley, Peggy  
**Subject:** FW: traffic marking enhancements grant program

**Importance:** High

*Okay. How about numeric 255, alpha 2 (fg)?*

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Morehouse, Alice  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 22, 2003 12:44 PM  
**To:** Donlin, James; Nooyen, Cindy  
**Subject:** RE: traffic marking enhancements grant program

Well he makes a good point about program 2, he also realizes how full that program is. I vote with Jim, 2 makes most sense.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Donlin, James  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 22, 2003 10:31 AM  
**To:** Nooyen, Cindy; Morehouse, Alice  
**Subject:** RE: traffic marking enhancements grant program

Jon makes a good point about putting it in program 2 since it will be grants to locals and could use existing approp. 276 for local match. HES, by contrast, is not a grant program, but is more like the rest of the highway program - lets and reimbursements. Based on that, I guess I am going to change my mind, sorry, and say that program 2 might be the best place for it. Alice, what do you think?

Internally, we would have to decide which group would manage the program, if it gets that far.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Nooyen, Cindy  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 22, 2003 10:05 AM  
**To:** Morehouse, Alice; Donlin, James  
**Subject:** FW: traffic marking enhancements grant program  
**Importance:** High

*See Jon note. What do you think?*

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Dyck, Jon  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 22, 2003 9:25 AM  
**To:** Nooyen, Cindy  
**Cc:** Gary, Aaron; Hurley, Peggy  
**Subject:** RE: traffic marking enhancements grant program

It would be a PR-S appropriation and would be a continuing since it would be an "all funds received from transfers..." appropriation. Are you sure that it should go in program 3 even though it is a local grant program? I realize that program 2 is getting pretty crowded, but as I think about this more I'm deciding that the new program would be more like a transportation assistance program than anything else. I'm also realizing that we may need a SEG-L appropriation with this program since it requires a local match. Putting it in program 2 would allow us to use the existing 20.395 (2) (fv) as the match appropriation. What do you think?

**Jon Dyck, Fiscal Analyst**  
**Legislative Fiscal Bureau**

## Hurley, Peggy

---

**From:** Dyck, Jon  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 22, 2003 1:31 PM  
**To:** Hurley, Peggy; Gary, Aaron  
**Subject:** RE: traffic marking enhancements grant program

I'm okay with 2(fg). Could you also amend 2 (fv) to accommodate the local match for the program? Thanks.

**Jon Dyck, Fiscal Analyst**  
**Legislative Fiscal Bureau**  
**1 E. Main, Suite 301**  
**(608) 266-9919**

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Nooyen, Cindy  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 22, 2003 12:50 PM  
**To:** Dyck, Jon  
**Cc:** Gary, Aaron; Hurley, Peggy  
**Subject:** FW: traffic marking enhancements grant program  
**Importance:** High

*Okay. How about numeric 255, alpha 2 (fg)?*

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Morehouse, Alice  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 22, 2003 12:44 PM  
**To:** Donlin, James; Nooyen, Cindy  
**Subject:** RE: traffic marking enhancements grant program

Well he makes a good point about program 2, he also realizes how full that program is. I vote with Jim, 2 makes most sense.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Donlin, James  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 22, 2003 10:31 AM  
**To:** Nooyen, Cindy; Morehouse, Alice  
**Subject:** RE: traffic marking enhancements grant program

Jon makes a good point about putting it in program 2 since it will be grants to locals and could use existing approp. 276 for local match. HES, by contrast, is not a grant program, but is more like the rest of the highway program - lets and reimbursements. Based on that, I guess I am going to change my mind, sorry, and say that program 2 might be the best place for it. Alice, what do you think?

Internally, we would have to decide which group would manage the program, if it gets that far.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Nooyen, Cindy  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 22, 2003 10:05 AM  
**To:** Morehouse, Alice; Donlin, James  
**Subject:** FW: traffic marking enhancements grant program  
**Importance:** High

*See Jon note. What do you think?*

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Dyck, Jon  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 22, 2003 9:25 AM  
**To:** Nooyen, Cindy

**Cc:** Gary, Aaron; Hurley, Peggy  
**Subject:** RE: traffic marking enhancements grant program

It would be a PR-S appropriation and would be a continuing since it would be an "all funds received from transfers..." appropriation. Are you sure that it should go in program 3 even though it is a local grant program? I realize that program 2 is getting pretty crowded, but as I think about this more I'm deciding that the new program would be more like a transportation assistance program than anything else. I'm also realizing that we may need a SEG-L appropriation with this program since it requires a local match. Putting it in program 2 would allow us to use the existing 20.395 (2) (fv) as the match appropriation. What do you think?

**Jon Dyck, Fiscal Analyst**  
**Legislative Fiscal Bureau**  
**1 E. Main, Suite 301**  
**(608) 266-9919**

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Nooyen, Cindy  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 22, 2003 8:58 AM  
**To:** Dyck, Jon  
**Cc:** Gary, Aaron; Hurley, Peggy  
**Subject:** RE: traffic marking enhancements grant program  
**Importance:** High

*I talked with Jim Donlin and Alice Morehouse. How about numeric 343, alpha 3 (ch), title: Traffic Marking Enhancement Grant Program? Jim said this is a PR. Is it continuing or annual?*

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Dyck, Jon  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 21, 2003 2:02 PM  
**To:** Nooyen, Cindy  
**Cc:** Gary, Aaron; Hurley, Peggy  
**Subject:** traffic marking enhancements grant program

Cindy,

The Finance Committee created an appropriation for a traffic marking enhancement grant program (motion 471) last Friday. I'm not even sure if I'd put this in program 2 or program 4. Does DOT have a preference? If so, what appropriation alpha do you think is appropriate and what appropriation numeric should I use when doing the file maintenance? Please respond to me as well as to Aaron and Peggy at the LRB so they know what to include in the draft. Thanks.

**Jon Dyck, Fiscal Analyst**  
**Legislative Fiscal Bureau**  
**1 E. Main, Suite 301**  
**(608) 266-9919**



State of Wisconsin  
2003 - 2004 LEGISLATURE

PI  
LRBb02217  
PJH:/:....  
ejs

LFB:.....Dyck - Traffic marking enhancements

FOR 2003-05 BUDGET - NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

SENATE AMENDMENT ,

TO 2003 SENATE BILL 44

note

insert

1 At the locations indicated, amend the bill as follows:

2 1. Page 357, line 18: after that line insert:

3 SECTION 420h. 20.395 (2) (fg) of the statutes is created to read:

plain

4 20.395 (2) (fg) Traffic marking enhancement grant program. The amounts in

5 the schedule transferred from s. 20.395 (3) (cq) for making traffic marking

6 enhancement grants under s. 85.027. All moneys shall be credited to this appropriation account.

7 SECTION 420p. 20.395 (2) (fv) of the statutes is amended to read:

8 20.395 (2) (fv) Local transportation facility improvement assistance, local

9 funds. All moneys received from any local unit of government or other source for

10 providing public access roads to navigable waters and for the purposes of ss. 84.27

11 and 84.28, and 85.027 and for improving transportation facilities, including facilities

1 funded under applicable federal acts or programs, that are not state trunk or  
2 connecting highways, for such purposes.”.

Inset A

History: 1971 c. 40 s. 93; 1971 c. 42, 107; 1971 c. 125 ss. 122 to 137, 522 (1); 1971 c. 197, 211, 215, 307; 1973 c. 90, 142, 243, 333, 336; 1975 c. 39; 1975 c. 163 s. 16; 1975 c. 200, 224, 270, 288, 340, 422; 1977 c. 29, 377, 418; 1979 c. 34 ss. 322e to 420, 574, 575; 1979 c. 221; 1981 c. 20 ss. 238 to 300, 2202 (51) (c), (e); 1981 c. 165, 234; 1981 c. 314 s. 146; 1981 c. 347 s. 80; 1981 c. 362; 1983 a. 27 ss. 270g to 315, 2202 (20); 1983 a. 243; 1985 a. 29 ss. 357 to 402, 3202 (51) (a); 1985 a. 65, 76, 341; 1987 a. 27, 137, 349, 369, 399, 403; 1989 a. 31, 56; 1991 a. 39, 104, 239, 269; 1993 a. 16, 285, 354, 437; 1995 a. 27, 113, 201, 338, 445; 1997 a. 27, 35, 135, 237, 255; 1999 a. 9, 109, 146, 167, 185; 2001 a. 16, 104, 109.

3 2. Page 740, line 16: after that line insert:

Except as provided in 2003 Wisconsin Act in (this act), section 9153 (4g), the

4 SECTION ~~1700m~~ <sup>(1701m) (B)</sup> 85.027 of the statutes is created to read:

plain

5 **85.027 Traffic marking enhancement grant program. (1) PROGRAM. (The)**

6 department shall administer a program to provide grants to local governments for  
7 the installation of traffic marking enhancements. The enhancements may include  
8 pavement markings for center lines, lane lines, edge lines, lane-use arrows, cross  
9 walks with enhanced visibility, redundant street name signs in advance of  
10 intersections, and overhead mounted street name signs. ✓

11 (2) GRANTS. (a) A local government that is awarded a grant under this section  
12 shall contribute matching funds equal to at least 25% of the amount awarded under  
13 this section.

14 (b) The department shall award grants annually to at least one project in each  
15 of the following:

- 16 1. An urban area.
- 17 2. A suburban area.
- 18 3. A rural area.

19 (c) The department shall consider the following in awarding a grant to a  
20 proposed project:

- 21 1. The crash history of the proposed project area.
- 22 2. The prevalence of older drivers and pedestrians in the area of the proposed  
23 project.

1 3. The extent to which the proposed improvements would produce  
2 demonstrable benefits.

3 4. Whether a project is proposed cooperatively by more than one local  
4 government and coordinates improvements on highways in more than one  
5 jurisdiction. The department shall favor cooperative projects.

6 5. The geographic distribution of all of the projects that are awarded grants.  
7 The department shall distribute projects throughout the state.

8 (d) The department shall award grants under this section from the  
9 appropriation under s. 20.395 (2) (fg). ✓

10 **3.** Page 1096, line 17: after that line insert:

11 **(3b)** TRAFFIC MARKING ENHANCEMENT GRANT PROGRAM.

12 (a) The department of transportation shall administer a grant program under  
13 section 85.027 of the statutes, as created by this act, in fiscal year 2003-04 if the state  
14 receives federal incentive grant funding in federal fiscal year 2003 under 23 USC  
15 163. The department shall credit the lesser of an amount equal to the amount of the  
16 federal incentive grant funding or \$2,200,000 to the appropriation <sup>account</sup> under section

17 20.395 (3) (cx) of the statutes. The department shall also transfer an amount equal  
18 to the amount credited <sup>under this paragraph to the appropriation account under</sup> to section 20.395 (3) (cx) of the statutes from <sup>the appropriation account under</sup> section 20.395  
19 (3) (cq) of the statutes <sup>to the appropriation account under</sup> to section 20.395 (2) (fg) of the statutes, as created by this act.

20 (b) The department of transportation shall administer a grant program under  
21 section 85.027 of the statutes, as created by this act, in fiscal year 2004-05 if the state  
22 receives more federal formula highway aid than is included in section 20.395 of the  
23 statutes for fiscal year 2004-05. The department shall credit <sup>all</sup> ~~monies~~ <sup>or moneys</sup> that exceed <sup>in excess of</sup> the  
24 amounts in the federal formula highway aid appropriations under sections 20.395

1/2

only

under this paragraph to the appropriation account under

the appropriation account under

as affected by this act,

only

in excess of sum of the

2

account

1 of the statutes for fiscal year 2004-05 to the appropriation under section 20.395 (3)  
2 (cx) of the statutes. The department shall also transfer an amount equal to the  
3 amount credited to section 20.395 (3) (cx) of the statutes from section 20.395 (3) (cq)  
4 of the statutes to section 20.395 (2) (fg) of the statutes, as created by this act.

(END)

5

as affected  
by this  
act

under this  
paragraph  
to the  
appropriation  
account under

the appropriation  
account under

the appropriation  
account  
under

d-note

2003-2004 DRAFTING INSERT  
FROM THE  
LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRBb0221/?ins  
PJH:.....

1 <sup>Fix COMPONENT</sup> INSERT A

2 → 1. Page 358, line 18: before "1999" insert "2003 Wisconsin Act <sup>99%</sup> (this act),  
3 section 9153 (4g) ~~and~~".

4 2. Page 359, line 22: after that line insert:

5 "SECTION 427m. 20.395 (3) (cx) of the statutes is amended to read:

6 20.395 (3) (cx) *State highway rehabilitation, federal funds.* All moneys  
7 received from the federal government for improvement of existing state trunk and  
8 connecting highways; for improvement of bridges on state trunk or connecting  
9 highways and other bridges for which improvement is a state responsibility, for  
10 necessary approach work for such bridges and for replacement of such bridges with  
11 at-grade crossing improvements; for the construction and rehabilitation of the  
12 national system of interstate and defense highways and bridges and related  
13 appurtenances; <sup>PLAIN</sup> for special maintenance activities under s. 84.04 on roadside  
14 improvements; ~~and for the transfers required under 2003 Wisconsin Act ... (this~~  
15 ~~act), section 9153 (4g) for the disadvantaged business demonstration and~~  
16 training program under s. 84.076, for such purposes. This paragraph does not apply  
17 to any southeast Wisconsin freeway rehabilitation projects under s. 84.014."

History: 1971 c. 40 s. 93; 1971 c. 42, 107; 1971 c. 125 ss. 122 to 137, 522 (1); 1971 c. 197, 211, 215, 307; 1973 c. 90, 142, 243, 333, 336; 1975 c. 39; 1975 c. 163 s. 16; 1975 c. 200, 224, 270, 288, 340, 422; 1977 c. 29, 377, 418; 1979 c. 34 ss. 322e to 420, 574, 575; 1979 c. 221; 1981 c. 20 ss. 238 to 300, 2202 (51) (c), (e); 1981 c. 165, 234; 1981 c. 314 s. 146; 1981 c. 347 s. 80; 1981 c. 362; 1983 a. 27 ss. 270g to 315, 2202 (20); 1983 a. 243; 1985 a. 29 ss. 357 to 402, 3202 (51) (a); 1985 a. 65, 76, 341; 1987 a. 27, 137, 349, 369, 399, 403; 1989 a. 31, 56; 1991 a. 39, 104, 239, 269; 1993 a. 16, 285, 354, 437; 1995 a. 27, 113, 201, 338, 445; 1997 a. 27, 35, 135, 237, 255; 1999 a. 9, 109, 146, 167, 185; 2001 a. 16, 104, 109.

Strike Comma  
↑  
; and  
all moneys received under 2003 Wisconsin Act ... (this act), section  
9153 (4g);



**DRAFTER'S NOTE**  
**FROM THE**  
**LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU**

LRBb0221/?dn

PJH/.....

ejs

Jon,

✓  
Please review the language in proposed s. 9153 (4q) (b) carefully. This nonstat requires the department to administer the grant program in fiscal year 2004–05 if certain conditions are met.

My understanding is that, if DOT receives more in federal formula highway aid than it expects to receive, the excess will fund the grant program. However, I think we need to spell this out more explicitly. Do you mean to say that the department should administer the program if the aid received under certain statutory sections exceeds the amounts in the schedule under those sections? If so, please let me know which subsections of s. 20.395 should be included.

Also, I am unsure as to where the money that may be used to fund the program in 2003–04 will come from. Please let me know the appropriation that should be tapped for this money (in the draft, it is the lesser of the federal incentive grant or \$2,200,000). Thanks – I look forward to discussing this with you.

Peggy Hurley  
Legislative Attorney  
Phone: (608) 266–8906  
E-mail: [peggy.hurley@legis.state.wi.us](mailto:peggy.hurley@legis.state.wi.us)

**DRAFTER'S NOTE**  
**FROM THE**  
**LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU**

LRBb0221/P1dn  
PJH:cjs:jf

May 27, 2003

Jon,

Please review the language in proposed s. 9153 (4q) (b) carefully. This nonstat requires the department to administer the grant program in fiscal year 2004–05 if certain conditions are met.

My understanding is that, if DOT receives more in federal formula highway aid than it expects to receive, the excess will fund the grant program. However, I think we need to spell this out more explicitly. Do you mean to say that the department should administer the program if the aid received under certain statutory sections exceeds the amounts in the schedule under those sections? If so, please let me know which subsections of s. 20.395 should be included.

Also, I am unsure as to where the money that may be used to fund the program in 2003–04 will come from. Please let me know the appropriation that should be tapped for this money (in the draft, it is the lesser of the federal incentive grant or \$2,200,000). Thanks – I look forward to discussing this with you.

Peggy Hurley  
Legislative Attorney  
Phone: (608) 266–8906  
E-mail: [peggy.hurley@legis.state.wi.us](mailto:peggy.hurley@legis.state.wi.us)



State of Wisconsin  
2003 - 2004 LEGISLATURE

LRBb0221/PT  
PJH:cjs:jf

LFB:.....Dyck - Traffic marking enhancements

FOR 2003-05 BUDGET - NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

SENATE AMENDMENT,

TO 2003 SENATE BILL 44

~~Amend~~  
~~to~~  
~~receive~~

H/c w/ JD 6-2-03  
Changes:

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12

At the locations indicated, amend the bill as follows:

1. Page 357, line 18: after that line insert:

"SECTION 420h. 20.395 (2) (fg) of the statutes is created to read:

20.395 (2) (fg) *Traffic marking enhancement grant program.* The amounts in  
the schedule for making traffic marking enhancement grants under s. 85.027. All  
moneys transferred from the appropriation account under s. 20.395 (3) (cq) shall be  
credited to this appropriation account. for under nonstat

SECTION 420p. 20.395 (2) (fv) of the statutes is amended to read:

20.395 (2) (fv) *Local transportation facility improvement assistance, local  
funds.* All moneys received from any local unit of government or other source for  
providing public access roads to navigable waters and for the purposes of ss. 84.27  
and 84.28, and 85.027 and for improving transportation facilities, including facilities

1 funded under applicable federal acts or programs, that are not state trunk or  
2 connecting highways, for such purposes.”.

3 **2.** Page 358, line 18: after “9250 (1)” insert “and 2003 Wisconsin Act .... (this  
4 act), section 9153 (4q)”.

5 **3.** Page 359, line 22: after that line insert:

6 “SECTION 427m. 20.395 (3) (cx) of the statutes is amended to read:

7 20.395 (3) (cx) *State highway rehabilitation, federal funds.* All moneys  
8 received from the federal government for improvement of existing state trunk and  
9 connecting highways; for improvement of bridges on state trunk or connecting  
10 highways and other bridges for which improvement is a state responsibility, for  
11 necessary approach work for such bridges and for replacement of such bridges with  
12 at-grade crossing improvements; for the construction and rehabilitation of the  
13 national system of interstate and defense highways and bridges and related  
14 appurtenances; for special maintenance activities under s. 84.04 on roadside  
15 improvements; and for the disadvantaged business demonstration and training  
16 program under s. 84.076; and all moneys received under 2003 Wisconsin Act .... (this  
17 act), section 9153 (4q); for such purposes. This paragraph does not apply to any  
18 southeast Wisconsin freeway rehabilitation projects under s. 84.014.”.

19 **4.** Page 740, line 16: after that line insert:

20 “SECTION 1701m. 85.027 of the statutes is created to read:

21 **85.027 Traffic marking enhancement grant program. (1) PROGRAM.**

22 ~~Except as provided in~~ 2003 Wisconsin Act .... (this act), section 9153 (4q), the  
23 department shall administer a program to provide grants to local governments for  
24 the installation of traffic marking enhancements. The enhancements may include

subject to

1 pavement markings for center lines, lane lines, edge lines, lane-use arrows, cross  
2 walks with enhanced visibility, redundant street name signs in advance of  
3 intersections, and overhead mounted street name signs.

4 (2) GRANTS. (a) A local government that is awarded a grant under this section  
5 shall contribute matching funds equal to at least 25% of the amount awarded under  
6 this section.

7 (b) The department shall award grants annually to at least one project in each  
8 of the following:

- 9 1. An urban area.
- 10 2. A suburban area.
- 11 3. A rural area.

12 (c) The department shall consider the following in awarding a grant to a  
13 proposed project:

- 14 1. The crash history of the proposed project area.
- 15 2. The prevalence of older drivers and pedestrians in the area of the proposed  
16 project.
- 17 3. The extent to which the proposed improvements would produce  
18 demonstrable benefits.
- 19 4. Whether a project is proposed cooperatively by more than one local  
20 government and coordinates improvements on highways in more than one  
21 jurisdiction. The department shall favor cooperative projects.
- 22 5. The geographic distribution of all of the projects that are awarded grants.  
23 The department shall distribute projects throughout the state.

24 (d) The department shall award grants under this section from the  
25 appropriation under s. 20.395 (2) (fg).".

*of the total est cost of the project for which funds are awarded*

5. Page 1096, line 17: after that line insert:

"(4q) TRAFFIC MARKING ENHANCEMENT GRANT PROGRAM.

(a) The department of transportation shall administer a grant program under section 85.027 of the statutes, as created by this act, in fiscal year 2003-04 only if the state receives federal incentive grant funding in federal fiscal year 2003 under 23 USC 163. The department shall credit the lesser of an amount equal to the amount of the federal incentive grant funding or \$2,200,000 to the appropriation account under section 20.395 (3) (cx) of the statutes. The department shall also transfer from the appropriation account under section 20.395 (3) (cq) of the statutes, as affected by this act, to the appropriation account under section 20.395 (2) (fg) of the statutes, as created by this act, an amount equal to the amount credited under this paragraph to the appropriation account under section 20.395 (3) (cx) of the statutes.

(b) The department of transportation shall administer a grant program under section 85.027 of the statutes, as created by this act, in fiscal year 2004-05 only if the state receives more federal formula highway aid than is included in section 20.395 of the statutes for fiscal year 2004-05. The department shall credit all moneys in excess of the sum of the amounts in <sup>for</sup> the federal formula highway aid <sup>for</sup> appropriations under section 20.395 of the statutes for fiscal year 2004-05 to the appropriation account under section 20.395 (3) (cx) of the statutes. The department shall also transfer from the appropriation account under section 20.395 (3) (cq) of the statutes, as affected by this act, to the appropriation account under section 20.395 (2) (fg) of the statutes, as created by this act, an amount equal to the amount credited under

for  
or  
or 3,200,  
whichever is  
less

shown in the  
schedule under  
20.005(3)  
for

1 this paragraph to the appropriation account under section 20.395 (3) (cx) of the  
2 statutes.”

3

(END)



State of Wisconsin  
2003 - 2004 LEGISLATURE

LRBb0221/PT

PJH:cjs:jf

stays

LFB:.....Dyck - Traffic marking enhancements

FOR 2003-05 BUDGET — NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

SENATE AMENDMENT ,

TO 2003 SENATE BILL 44

1 At the locations indicated, amend the bill as follows:

2 1. Page 357, line 18: after that line insert:

3 "SECTION 420h. 20.395 (2) (fg) of the statutes is created to read:

4 20.395 (2) (fg) *Traffic marking enhancement grant program.* ~~The amounts in~~

5 ~~the schedule~~ for making traffic marking enhancement grants under s. 85.027. All

6 moneys transferred from the appropriation account under s. 20.395 (3) (c) ~~shall be~~

7 ~~credited to this appropriation account.~~ as required by 2003 Wisconsin Act... (this act), section 9153(4g).

8 SECTION 420p. 20.395 (2) (fv) of the statutes is amended to read:

9 20.395 (2) (fv) *Local transportation facility improvement assistance, local*

10 *funds.* All moneys received from any local unit of government or other source for

11 providing public access roads to navigable waters and for the purposes of ss. 84.27

12 ~~and 84.28,~~ and 85.027 and for improving transportation facilities, including facilities



1 funded under applicable federal acts or programs, that are not state trunk or  
2 connecting highways, for such purposes.”.

3 **2.** Page 358, line 18: after “9250 (1)” insert “and 2003 Wisconsin Act ... (this  
4 act), section 9153 (4q)”.

5 **3.** Page 359, line 22: after that line insert:

6 “SECTION 427m. 20.395 (3) (cx) of the statutes is amended to read:

7 20.395 (3) (cx) *State highway rehabilitation, federal funds.* All moneys  
8 received from the federal government for improvement of existing state trunk and  
9 connecting highways; for improvement of bridges on state trunk or connecting  
10 highways and other bridges for which improvement is a state responsibility, for  
11 necessary approach work for such bridges and for replacement of such bridges with  
12 at-grade crossing improvements; for the construction and rehabilitation of the  
13 national system of interstate and defense highways and bridges and related  
14 appurtenances; for special maintenance activities under s. 84.04 on roadside  
15 improvements; and for the disadvantaged business demonstration and training  
16 program under s. 84.076; and all moneys received under 2003 Wisconsin Act ... (this  
17 act), section 9153 (4q); for such purposes. This paragraph does not apply to any  
18 southeast Wisconsin freeway rehabilitation projects under s. 84.014.”.

19 **4.** Page 740, line 16: after that line insert:

20 “SECTION 1701m. 85.027 of the statutes is created to read:

21 **85.027 Traffic marking enhancement grant program.** (1) ~~PROGRAM~~

22 ~~Except as provided in~~ 2003 Wisconsin Act ... (this act), section 9153 (4q), the  
23 department shall administer a program to provide grants to local governments for  
24 the installation of traffic marking enhancements. The enhancements may include

(cs)  
Administration

Subject to

Units of

1 pavement markings for center lines, lane lines, edge lines, lane-use arrows, cross  
2 walks with enhanced visibility, redundant street name signs in advance of  
3 intersections, and overhead mounted street name signs.

4 (2) GRANTS. (a) A local <sup>unit of</sup> government that is awarded a grant under this section  
5 shall contribute matching funds equal to at least 25% of the ~~amount~~ awarded under  
6 this section. <sup>total estimated cost of the project for which moneys are</sup>

7 (b) The department shall award grants annually to at least one project in each  
8 of the following:

- 9 1. An urban area.
- 10 2. A suburban area.
- 11 3. A rural area.

12 (c) The department shall consider the following in awarding a grant <sup>for</sup> a  
13 proposed project:

- 14 1. The crash history of the proposed project area.
- 15 2. The prevalence of older drivers and pedestrians in the area of the proposed  
16 project.
- 17 3. The extent to which the proposed improvements would produce  
18 demonstrable benefits.

19 4. Whether a project is proposed cooperatively by more than one local  
20 <sup>unit of</sup> government and coordinates improvements on highways in more than one  
21 jurisdiction. The department shall favor cooperative projects.

22 5. The geographic distribution of all of the projects that are awarded grants.  
23 The department shall distribute projects throughout the state.

24 (d) The department shall award grants under this section from the  
25 appropriation under s. 20.395 (2) (fg).”.

1           **5.** Page 1096, line 17: after that line insert:

2           “(4q) TRAFFIC MARKING ENHANCEMENT GRANT PROGRAM.

3           (a) The department of transportation shall administer a grant program under  
4 section 85.027 of the statutes, as created by this act, in fiscal year 2003–04 only if the  
5 state receives federal incentive grant funding in federal fiscal year 2003 under 23  
6 USC 163. The department shall credit the lesser of an amount equal to the amount  
7 of the federal incentive grant funding or \$2,200,000 to the appropriation account  
8 under section 20.395 (3) (cx) of the statutes. The department shall also transfer from  
9 the appropriation account under section 20.395 (3) (cq) of the statutes, as affected by  
10 this act, to the appropriation account under section 20.395 (2) (fg) of the statutes, as  
11 created by this act, an amount equal to the amount credited under this paragraph  
12 to the appropriation account under section 20.395 (3) (cx) of the statutes.

13           (b) The department of transportation shall administer a grant program under  
14 section 85.027 of the statutes, as created by this act, in fiscal year 2004–05 only if the  
15 state receives more federal formula highway aid than is provided ~~in~~ section 20.395  
16 of the statutes for fiscal year 2004–05. The department shall credit all moneys in  
17 excess of the sum of the amounts ~~in~~ <sup>of</sup> the federal formula highway aid ~~appropriations~~  
18 ~~under~~ section 20.395 of the statutes for fiscal year 2004–05 ~~to~~ the appropriation  
19 account under section 20.395 (3) (cx) of the statutes. The department shall also  
20 transfer from the appropriation account under section 20.395 (3) (cq) of the statutes,  
21 as affected by this act, to the appropriation account under section 20.395 (2) (fg) of  
22 the statutes, as created by this act, an amount equal to the amount credited under

the lesser  
of

or \$3,800,000

appropriated in the  
statewide state  
section 20.008(2) of  
the statutes for  
appropriations under

< use 2x >





State of Wisconsin  
2003 - 2004 LEGISLATURE

2  
LRBb0221A  
PJH:cjs:rs  
T  
Stans

LFB:.....Dyck – Traffic marking enhancements

FOR 2003-05 BUDGET — NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

SENATE AMENDMENT ,

TO 2003 SENATE BILL 44

1 At the locations indicated, amend the bill as follows:

2 1. Page 357, line 18: after that line insert:

3 "SECTION 420h. 20.395 (2) (fg) of the statutes is created to read:

4 20.395 (2) (fg) *Traffic marking enhancement grant program.* ~~All~~ moneys  
5 transferred from the appropriation account under s. 20.395 (3) (cq) as required by  
6 2003 Wisconsin Act .... (this act), section 9153 (4q), for making traffic marking  
7 enhancement grants under s. 85.027.

From the general fund, all

8 SECTION 420p. 20.395 (2) (fv) of the statutes is amended to read:

9 20.395 (2) (fv) *Local transportation facility improvement assistance, local*  
10 *funds.* All moneys received from any local unit of government or other source for  
11 providing public access roads to navigable waters and for the purposes of ss. 84.27  
12 and 84.28, and 85.027 and for improving transportation facilities, including facilities

1

1 funded under applicable federal acts or programs, that are not state trunk or  
2 connecting highways, for such purposes.”.

3 **2.** Page 358, line 18: after “9250 (1)” insert “and 2003 Wisconsin Act .... (this  
4 act), section 9153 (4q)”.

5 **3.** Page 359, line 22: after that line insert:

6 “**SECTION 427m.** 20.395 (3) (cx) of the statutes is amended to read:

7 20.395 (3) (cx) *State highway rehabilitation, federal funds.* All moneys  
8 received from the federal government for improvement of existing state trunk and  
9 connecting highways; for improvement of bridges on state trunk or connecting  
10 highways and other bridges for which improvement is a state responsibility, for  
11 necessary approach work for such bridges and for replacement of such bridges with  
12 at-grade crossing improvements; for the construction and rehabilitation of the  
13 national system of interstate and defense highways and bridges and related  
14 appurtenances; for special maintenance activities under s. 84.04 on roadside  
15 improvements; and for the disadvantaged business demonstration and training  
16 program under s. 84.076; and all moneys received under 2003 Wisconsin Act .... (this  
17 act), section 9153 (4q); for such purposes. This paragraph does not apply to any  
18 southeast Wisconsin freeway rehabilitation projects under s. 84.014.”.

19 **4.** Page 740, line 16: after that line insert:

20 “**SECTION 1701m.** 85.027 of the statutes is created to read:

21 **85.027 Traffic marking enhancement grants. (1) ADMINISTRATION.** Subject  
22 to 2003 Wisconsin Act .... (this act), section 9153 (4q), the department shall  
23 administer a program to provide grants to local units of government for the  
24 installation of traffic marking enhancements. The enhancements may include

1 pavement markings for center lines, lane lines, edge lines, lane-use arrows, cross  
2 walks with enhanced visibility, redundant street name signs in advance of  
3 intersections, and overhead mounted street name signs.

4 (2) GRANTS. (a) A local unit of government that is awarded a grant under this  
5 section shall contribute matching funds equal to at least 25% of the total estimated  
6 cost of the project for which moneys are awarded under this section.

7 (b) The department shall award grants annually to at least one project in each  
8 of the following:

- 9 1. An urban area.
- 10 2. A suburban area.
- 11 3. A rural area.

12 (c) The department shall consider the following in awarding a grant for a  
13 proposed project:

- 14 1. The crash history of the proposed project area.
- 15 2. The prevalence of older drivers and pedestrians in the area of the proposed  
16 project.
- 17 3. The extent to which the proposed improvements would produce  
18 demonstrable benefits.
- 19 4. Whether a project is proposed cooperatively by more than one local unit of  
20 government and coordinates improvements on highways in more than one  
21 jurisdiction. The department shall favor cooperative projects.
- 22 5. The geographic distribution of all of the projects that are awarded grants.  
23 The department shall distribute projects throughout the state.

24 (d) The department shall award grants under this section from the  
25 appropriation under s. 20.395 (2) (fg).”

1           **5.** Page 1096, line 17: after that line insert:

2           “(4q) TRAFFIC MARKING ENHANCEMENT GRANT PROGRAM.

3           (a) The department of transportation shall administer a grant program under  
4 section 85.027 of the statutes, as created by this act, in fiscal year 2003–04 only if the  
5 state receives federal incentive grant funding in federal fiscal year 2003 under 23  
6 USC 163. The department shall credit the lesser of an amount equal to the amount  
7 of the federal incentive grant funding or \$2,200,000 to the appropriation account  
8 under section 20.395 (3) (cx) of the statutes. The department shall also transfer from  
9 the appropriation account under section 20.395 (3) (cq) of the statutes, as affected by  
10 this act, to the appropriation account under section 20.395 (2) (fg) of the statutes, as  
11 created by this act, an amount equal to the amount credited under this paragraph  
12 to the appropriation account under section 20.395 (3) (cx) of the statutes.

13           (b) The department of transportation shall administer a grant program under  
14 section 85.027 of the statutes, as created by this act, in fiscal year 2004–05 only if the  
15 state receives more federal formula highway aid than is ~~appropriated~~ under section  
16 20.395 of the statutes for fiscal year 2004–05. The department shall credit the lesser  
17 of all moneys in excess of the sum of the amounts of federal formula highway aid  
18 ~~appropriated~~ under section 20.395 of the statutes for fiscal year 2004–05 or  
19 \$3,800,000 to the appropriation account under section 20.395 (3) (cx) of the statutes.  
20 The department shall also transfer from the appropriation account under section  
21 20.395 (3) (cq) of the statutes, as affected by this act, to the appropriation account  
22 under section 20.395 (2) (fg) of the statutes, as created by this act, an amount equal

Included in the  
schedule under  
section 20.005(3)  
of the statutes for  
appropriation ✓



1 to the amount credited under this paragraph to the appropriation account under  
2 section 20.395 (3) (cx) of the statutes.”.

3 (END)



State of Wisconsin  
2003 - 2004 LEGISLATURE

3  
LRBb0221/2  
PJH:cjs:cph  
S Fays

LFB:.....Dyck – Traffic marking enhancements

FOR 2003-05 BUDGET — NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

SENATE AMENDMENT ,

TO 2003 SENATE BILL 44

1 At the locations indicated, amend the bill as follows:

2 1. Page 357, line 18: after that line insert:

3 “SECTION 420h. 20.395 (2) (fg) of the statutes is created to read:

4 20.395 (2) (fg) *Traffic marking enhancement grant program.* From the general  
5 fund, all moneys transferred from the appropriation account under s. 20.395 (3) (cq)  
6 as required by 2003 Wisconsin Act .... (this act), section 9153 (4q), for making traffic  
7 marking enhancement grants under s. 85.027.

8 SECTION 420p. 20.395 (2) (fv) of the statutes is amended to read:

9 20.395 (2) (fv) *Local transportation facility improvement assistance, local*  
10 *funds.* All moneys received from any local unit of government or other source for  
11 providing public access roads to navigable waters and for the purposes of ss. 84.27  
12 and, 84.28, and 85.027 and for improving transportation facilities, including

1 funded under applicable federal acts or programs, that are not state trunk or  
2 connecting highways, for such purposes.”.

3 **2.** Page 358, line 18: after “9250 (1)” insert “and 2003 Wisconsin Act .... (this  
4 act), section 9153 (4q)”.

5 **3.** Page 359, line 22: after that line insert:

6 “**SECTION 427m.** 20.395 (3) (cx) of the statutes is amended to read:

7 20.395 (3) (cx) *State highway rehabilitation, federal funds.* All moneys  
8 received from the federal government for improvement of existing state trunk and  
9 connecting highways; for improvement of bridges on state trunk or connecting  
10 highways and other bridges for which improvement is a state responsibility, for  
11 necessary approach work for such bridges and for replacement of such bridges with  
12 at-grade crossing improvements; for the construction and rehabilitation of the  
13 national system of interstate and defense highways and bridges and related  
14 appurtenances; for special maintenance activities under s. 84.04 on roadside  
15 improvements; and for the disadvantaged business demonstration and training  
16 program under s. 84.076; and all moneys received under 2003 Wisconsin Act .... (this  
17 act), section 9153 (4q); for such purposes. This paragraph does not apply to any  
18 southeast Wisconsin freeway rehabilitation projects under s. 84.014.”.

19 **4.** Page 740, line 16: after that line insert:

20 “**SECTION 1701m.** 85.027 of the statutes is created to read:

21 **85.027 Traffic marking enhancement grants.** (1) ADMINISTRATION. Subject  
22 to 2003 Wisconsin Act .... (this act), section 9153 (4q), the department shall  
23 administer a program to provide grants to local units of government for the  
24 installation of traffic marking enhancements.) The enhancements may include

with the intent of improving  
visibility for elderly drivers and pedestrians

that are brighter or more reflective than the markings that are typically used

and

- ① pavement markings for center lines, lane lines, edge lines, lane-use arrows, cross
- ② walks ~~with enhanced visibility~~, redundant street name signs in advance of
- ③ intersections, and overhead mounted street name signs. at major intersections

traffic signs with enhanced reflectivity and with larger letters than the signs that are typically used,

(2) GRANTS. (a) A local unit of government that is awarded a grant under this section shall contribute matching funds equal to at least 25% of the total estimated cost of the project for which moneys are awarded under this section.

(b) The department shall award grants annually to at least one project in each of the following:

- 1. An urban area.
- 2. A suburban area.
- 3. A rural area.

(c) The department shall consider the following in awarding a grant for a proposed project:

- 1. The crash history of the proposed project area.
- 2. The prevalence of older drivers and pedestrians in the area of the proposed project.
- 3. The extent to which the proposed improvements would produce demonstrable benefits.
- 4. Whether a project is proposed cooperatively by more than one local unit of government and coordinates improvements on highways in more than one jurisdiction. The department shall favor cooperative projects.
- 5. The geographic distribution of all of the projects that are awarded grants. The department shall distribute projects throughout the state.

(d) The department shall award grants under this section from the appropriation under s. 20.395 (2) (fg).”.

1           **5.** Page 1096, line 17: after that line insert:

2           “(4q) TRAFFIC MARKING ENHANCEMENT GRANT PROGRAM.

3           (a) The department of transportation shall administer a grant program under  
4 section 85.027 of the statutes, as created by this act, in fiscal year 2003–04 only if the  
5 state receives federal incentive grant funding in federal fiscal year 2003 under 23  
6 USC 163. The department shall credit the lesser of an amount equal to the amount  
7 of the federal incentive grant funding or \$2,200,000 to the appropriation account  
8 under section 20.395 (3) (cx) of the statutes. The department shall also transfer from  
9 the appropriation account under section 20.395 (3) (cq) of the statutes, as affected by  
10 this act, to the appropriation account under section 20.395 (2) (fg) of the statutes, as  
11 created by this act, an amount equal to the amount credited under this paragraph  
12 to the appropriation account under section 20.395 (3) (cx) of the statutes.

13           (b) The department of transportation shall administer a grant program under  
14 section 85.027 of the statutes, as created by this act, in fiscal year 2004–05 only if the  
15 state receives more federal formula highway aid than is included in the schedule  
16 under section 20.005 (3) of the statutes for appropriation<sup>§</sup> under section 20.395 of the  
17 statutes for fiscal year 2004–05. The department shall credit the lesser of all moneys  
18 in excess of the sum of the amounts of federal formula highway aid included in the  
19 schedule under section 20.005 (3) of the statutes for appropriation<sup>§</sup> under section  
20 20.395 of the statutes for fiscal year 2004–05 or \$3,800,000 to the appropriation  
21 account under section 20.395 (3) (cx) of the statutes. The department shall also  
22 transfer from the appropriation account under section 20.395 (3) (cq) of the statutes,  
23 as affected by this act, to the appropriation account under section 20.395 (2) (fg) of  
24 the statutes, as created by this act, an amount equal to the amount credited under

1 this paragraph to the appropriation account under section 20.395 (3) (cx) of the  
2 statutes.”.

3 (END)



State of Wisconsin  
2003 - 2004 LEGISLATURE

4  
LRBb0221/3

PJH:cjs:r

8 days

LFB:.....Dyck – Traffic marking enhancements

FOR 2003-05 BUDGET — NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

SENATE AMENDMENT ,

TO 2003 SENATE BILL 44

1 At the locations indicated, amend the bill as follows:

2 1. Page 357, line 18: after that line insert:

3 “SECTION 420h. 20.395 (2) (fg) of the statutes is created to read:

4 20.395 (2) (fg) *Traffic marking enhancement grant program.* From the general  
5 fund, all moneys transferred from the appropriation account under s. 20.395 (3) (cq)  
6 as required by 2003 Wisconsin Act .... (this act), section 9153 (4q), for making traffic  
7 marking enhancement grants under s. 85.027.

8 SECTION 420p. 20.395 (2) (fv) of the statutes is amended to read:

9 20.395 (2) (fv) *Local transportation facility improvement assistance, local*  
10 *funds.* All moneys received from any local unit of government or other source for  
11 providing public access roads to navigable waters and for the purposes of ss. 84.27  
12 and, 84.28, and 85.027 and for improving transportation facilities, including

1 facilities funded under applicable federal acts or programs, that are not state trunk  
2 or connecting highways, for such purposes.”.

3 **2.** Page 358, line 18: after “9250 (1)” insert “and 2003 Wisconsin Act .... (this  
4 act), section 9153 (4q)”.

5 **3.** Page 359, line 22: after that line insert:

6 “**SECTION 427m.** 20.395 (3) (cx) of the statutes is amended to read:

7 20.395 (3) (cx) *State highway rehabilitation, federal funds.* All moneys  
8 received from the federal government for improvement of existing state trunk and  
9 connecting highways; for improvement of bridges on state trunk or connecting  
10 highways and other bridges for which improvement is a state responsibility, for  
11 necessary approach work for such bridges and for replacement of such bridges with  
12 at-grade crossing improvements; for the construction and rehabilitation of the  
13 national system of interstate and defense highways and bridges and related  
14 appurtenances; for special maintenance activities under s. 84.04 on roadside  
15 improvements; and for the disadvantaged business demonstration and training  
16 program under s. 84.076; and all moneys received under 2003 Wisconsin Act .... (this  
17 act), section 9153 (4q); for such purposes. This paragraph does not apply to any  
18 southeast Wisconsin freeway rehabilitation projects under s. 84.014.”.

19 **4.** Page 740, line 16: after that line insert:

20 “**SECTION 1701m.** 85.027 of the statutes is created to read:

21 **85.027 Traffic marking enhancement grants. (1) ADMINISTRATION.** Subject  
22 to 2003 Wisconsin Act .... (this act), section 9153 (4q), the department shall  
23 administer a program to provide grants to local units of government for the  
24 installation of traffic marking enhancements with the intent of improving visibility



1 for elderly drivers and pedestrians. The enhancements may include pavement  
2 markings for center lines, lane lines, edge lines, lane-use arrows, and cross walks  
3 that are brighter or more reflective than the markings that are typically used, traffic  
4 signs with enhanced reflectivity and with larger letters than ~~the signs that~~<sup>e</sup> are  
5 typically used, redundant street name signs in advance of intersections, and  
6 overhead mounted street name signs at major intersections.

7 (2) GRANTS. (a) A local unit of government that is awarded a grant under this  
8 section shall contribute matching funds equal to at least 25% of the total estimated  
9 cost of the project for which moneys are awarded under this section.

10 (b) The department shall award grants annually to at least one project in each  
11 of the following:

- 12 1. An urban area.
- 13 2. A suburban area.
- 14 3. A rural area.

15 (c) The department shall consider the following in awarding a grant for a  
16 proposed project:

- 17 1. The crash history of the proposed project area.
- 18 2. The prevalence of older drivers and pedestrians in the area of the proposed  
19 project.
- 20 3. The extent to which the proposed improvements would produce  
21 demonstrable benefits.
- 22 4. Whether a project is proposed cooperatively by more than one local unit of  
23 government and coordinates improvements on highways in more than one  
24 jurisdiction. The department shall favor cooperative projects.

1           5. The geographic distribution of all of the projects that are awarded grants.  
2 The department shall distribute projects throughout the state.

3           (d) The department shall award grants under this section from the  
4 appropriation under s. 20.395 (2) (fg).”.

5           **5.** Page 1096, line 17: after that line insert:

6           “(4q) TRAFFIC MARKING ENHANCEMENT GRANT PROGRAM.

7           (a) The department of transportation shall administer a grant program under  
8 section 85.027 of the statutes, as created by this act, in fiscal year 2003–04 only if the  
9 state receives federal incentive grant funding in federal fiscal year 2003 under 23  
10 USC 163. The department shall credit the lesser of an amount equal to the amount  
11 of the federal incentive grant funding or \$2,200,000 to the appropriation account  
12 under section 20.395 (3) (cx) of the statutes. The department shall also transfer from  
13 the appropriation account under section 20.395 (3) (cq) of the statutes, as affected by  
14 this act, to the appropriation account under section 20.395 (2) (fg) of the statutes, as  
15 created by this act, an amount equal to the amount credited under this paragraph  
16 to the appropriation account under section 20.395 (3) (cx) of the statutes.

17           (b) The department of transportation shall administer a grant program under  
18 section 85.027 of the statutes, as created by this act, in fiscal year 2004–05 only if the  
19 state receives more federal formula highway aid than is included in the schedule  
20 under section 20.005 (3) of the statutes for appropriations under section 20.395 of the  
21 statutes for fiscal year 2004–05. The department shall credit the lesser of all moneys  
22 in excess of the sum of the amounts of federal formula highway aid included in the  
23 schedule under section 20.005 (3) of the statutes for appropriations under section  
24 20.395 of the statutes for fiscal year 2004–05 or \$3,800,000 to the appropriation

1 account under section 20.395 (3) (cx) of the statutes. The department shall also  
2 transfer from the appropriation account under section 20.395 (3) (cq) of the statutes,  
3 as affected by this act, to the appropriation account under section 20.395 (2) (fg) of  
4 the statutes, as created by this act, an amount equal to the amount credited under  
5 this paragraph to the appropriation account under section 20.395 (3) (cx) of the  
6 statutes.”.

7

(END)



State of Wisconsin  
2003 - 2004 LEGISLATURE

LRBb0221/4  
PJH:cjs:jf

LFB:.....Dyck – Traffic marking enhancements

FOR 2003-05 BUDGET — NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

**SENATE AMENDMENT ,**

**TO 2003 SENATE BILL 44**

1 At the locations indicated, amend the bill as follows:

2 **1.** Page 357, line 18: after that line insert:

3 **“SECTION 420h.** 20.395 (2) (fg) of the statutes is created to read:

4 20.395 (2) (fg) *Traffic marking enhancement grant program.* From the general  
5 fund, all moneys transferred from the appropriation account under s. 20.395 (3) (cq)  
6 as required by 2003 Wisconsin Act .... (this act), section 9153 (4q), for making traffic  
7 marking enhancement grants under s. 85.027.

8 **SECTION 420p.** 20.395 (2) (fv) of the statutes is amended to read:

9 20.395 (2) (fv) *Local transportation facility improvement assistance, local*  
10 *funds.* All moneys received from any local unit of government or other source for  
11 providing public access roads to navigable waters and for the purposes of ss. 84.27  
12 ~~and, 84.28, and 85.027~~ and for improving transportation facilities, including

1 facilities funded under applicable federal acts or programs, that are not state trunk  
2 or connecting highways, for such purposes.”.

3 **2.** Page 358, line 18: after “9250 (1)” insert “and 2003 Wisconsin Act .... (this  
4 act), section 9153 (4q)”.

5 **3.** Page 359, line 22: after that line insert:

6 “**SECTION 427m.** 20.395 (3) (cx) of the statutes is amended to read:

7 20.395 (3) (cx) *State highway rehabilitation, federal funds.* All moneys  
8 received from the federal government for improvement of existing state trunk and  
9 connecting highways; for improvement of bridges on state trunk or connecting  
10 highways and other bridges for which improvement is a state responsibility, for  
11 necessary approach work for such bridges and for replacement of such bridges with  
12 at-grade crossing improvements; for the construction and rehabilitation of the  
13 national system of interstate and defense highways and bridges and related  
14 appurtenances; for special maintenance activities under s. 84.04 on roadside  
15 improvements; and for the disadvantaged business demonstration and training  
16 program under s. 84.076; and all moneys received under 2003 Wisconsin Act .... (this  
17 act), section 9153 (4q); for such purposes. This paragraph does not apply to any  
18 southeast Wisconsin freeway rehabilitation projects under s. 84.014.”.

19 **4.** Page 740, line 16: after that line insert:

20 “**SECTION 1701m.** 85.027 of the statutes is created to read:

21 **85.027 Traffic marking enhancement grants. (1) ADMINISTRATION.** Subject  
22 to 2003 Wisconsin Act .... (this act), section 9153 (4q), the department shall  
23 administer a program to provide grants to local units of government for the  
24 installation of traffic marking enhancements with the intent of improving visibility

1 for elderly drivers and pedestrians. The enhancements may include pavement  
2 markings for center lines, lane lines, edge lines, lane-use arrows, and cross walks  
3 that are brighter or more reflective than the markings that are typically used, traffic  
4 signs with enhanced reflectivity and with larger letters than are typically used,  
5 redundant street name signs in advance of intersections, and overhead mounted  
6 street name signs at major intersections.

7 (2) GRANTS. (a) A local unit of government that is awarded a grant under this  
8 section shall contribute matching funds equal to at least 25% of the total estimated  
9 cost of the project for which moneys are awarded under this section.

10 (b) The department shall award grants annually to at least one project in each  
11 of the following:

- 12 1. An urban area.
- 13 2. A suburban area.
- 14 3. A rural area.

15 (c) The department shall consider the following in awarding a grant for a  
16 proposed project:

- 17 1. The crash history of the proposed project area.
- 18 2. The prevalence of older drivers and pedestrians in the area of the proposed  
19 project.
- 20 3. The extent to which the proposed improvements would produce  
21 demonstrable benefits.
- 22 4. Whether a project is proposed cooperatively by more than one local unit of  
23 government and coordinates improvements on highways in more than one  
24 jurisdiction. The department shall favor cooperative projects.

1           5. The geographic distribution of all of the projects that are awarded grants.  
2 The department shall distribute projects throughout the state.

3           (d) The department shall award grants under this section from the  
4 appropriation under s. 20.395 (2) (fg).”.

5           **5.** Page 1096, line 17: after that line insert:

6           “(4q) TRAFFIC MARKING ENHANCEMENT GRANT PROGRAM.

7           (a) The department of transportation shall administer a grant program under  
8 section 85.027 of the statutes, as created by this act, in fiscal year 2003–04 only if the  
9 state receives federal incentive grant funding in federal fiscal year 2003 under 23  
10 USC 163. The department shall credit the lesser of an amount equal to the amount  
11 of the federal incentive grant funding or \$2,200,000 to the appropriation account  
12 under section 20.395 (3) (cx) of the statutes. The department shall also transfer from  
13 the appropriation account under section 20.395 (3) (cq) of the statutes, as affected by  
14 this act, to the appropriation account under section 20.395 (2) (fg) of the statutes, as  
15 created by this act, an amount equal to the amount credited under this paragraph  
16 to the appropriation account under section 20.395 (3) (cx) of the statutes.

17           (b) The department of transportation shall administer a grant program under  
18 section 85.027 of the statutes, as created by this act, in fiscal year 2004–05 only if the  
19 state receives more federal formula highway aid than is included in the schedule  
20 under section 20.005 (3) of the statutes for appropriations under section 20.395 of the  
21 statutes for fiscal year 2004–05. The department shall credit the lesser of all moneys  
22 in excess of the sum of the amounts of federal formula highway aid included in the  
23 schedule under section 20.005 (3) of the statutes for appropriations under section  
24 20.395 of the statutes for fiscal year 2004–05 or \$3,800,000 to the appropriation

1 account under section 20.395 (3) (cx) of the statutes. The department shall also  
2 transfer from the appropriation account under section 20.395 (3) (cq) of the statutes,  
3 as affected by this act, to the appropriation account under section 20.395 (2) (fg) of  
4 the statutes, as created by this act, an amount equal to the amount credited under  
5 this paragraph to the appropriation account under section 20.395 (3) (cx) of the  
6 statutes.”.

7

(END)