

2003 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: **01/22/2003**

Received By: **rnelson2**

Wanted: **As time permits**

Identical to LRB:

For: **Administration-Budget**

By/Representing: **Schmiedicke**

This file may be shown to any legislator: **NO**

Drafter: **rnelson2**

May Contact:

Addl. Drafters:

Subject: **Courts - miscellaneous**

Extra Copies:

Submit via email: **NO**

Pre Topic:

DOA:.....Schmiedicke - Budadj,

Topic:

Director of state courts appropriation lapsc

Instructions:

See Attached Reduce the director of state courts appropriation by \$555,000

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/?							State
/1	rnelson2 01/22/2003	wjackson 01/22/2003	pgreensl 01/22/2003		lemery 01/22/2003		

FE Sent For:

<END>

2003 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: 01/22/2003

Received By: rnelson2

Wanted: As time permits

Identical to LRB:

For: Administration-Budget

By/Representing: Schmiedicke

This file may be shown to any legislator: NO

Drafter: rnelson2

May Contact:

Addl. Drafters:

Subject: Courts - miscellaneous

Extra Copies:

Submit via email: NO

Pre Topic:

DOA:.....Schmiedicke - Budadj,

Topic:

Director of state courts appropriation lapse

Instructions:

See Attached Reduce the director of state courts appropriation by \$555,000

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/?	rnelson2	1 WLj 1/22	1/22 PG	1/22 [Signature]			

ps/gr
<END>

FE Sent For:

Champagne, Rick

From: Schmiedicke, David
Sent: Tuesday, January 21, 2003 10:09 PM
To: Champagne, Rick
Subject: RE:

Rick:

1. Yes.
2. DOA and exclude sum-sufficients
3. Director of State Courts

Thanks.

-----Original Message-----

From: Champagne, Rick
Sent: Tuesday, January 21, 2003 6:21 PM
To: Schmiedicke, David
Subject:

Dave --

Couple of quick questions about the budget adjustment bill provisions:

1. Do you want us to set up a process whereby the legislature allocates its cuts among all of its appropriations under 20.765 (including the service agencies and other GPR appropriations)?
2. Who do you want to allocate the program supplements cuts? DOA? Also, are the cuts only for the sum certain appropriations or do they also include sum sufficient reestimates?
3. Where do you want the supreme court to take its cut: its sum sufficient or the sum certain appropriations to director of state courts, etc., or both?

Rick Champagne
Senior Staff Counsel
Legal Section
Wisconsin Legislative Reference Bureau
100 N. Hamilton St.
P.O. Box 2037
Madison, WI 53701-2037
(608) 266-9930
FAX (608) 264-6948
rick.champagne@legis.state.wi.us



State of Wisconsin
2003 - 2004 LEGISLATURE

LRB-1655/1

RPN
WLJ

DOA:.....Schmiedicke – Budadj, Director of state courts appropriation lapse
FOR 2003-05 BUDGET — NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

T.P.

DO NOT GEN

1 AN ACT ...; relating to: appropriations for the director of state courts.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

This bill reduces the general program operations appropriation account for the Director of State Courts by \$555,000.

For further information see the *state* fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

2 SECTION 9248. Appropriation changes; supreme court.

3 (1) SUPREME COURT. In the schedule under section 20.005 (3) of the statutes for
4 the appropriation to the director of state courts under section 20.680 (2) (a) of the
5 statutes, as affected by the acts of 2001 and 2003, the dollar amount is decreased by
6 \$555,000 for fiscal year 2002-03 to reduce spending for the purpose for which the
7 appropriation is made.

8

(END)



State of Wisconsin
2003 - 2004 LEGISLATURE

LRB-1655/1

RPN:wlj:pg

DOA:.....Schmiedicke - Budadj, Director of state courts appropriation lapse
FOR 2003-05 BUDGET — NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

heading → ^(CS) COURTS, COURT PROCEDURE, AND ATTORNEYS
sub → ^(CS) other courts AND PROCEDURE

1 AN ACT ...; relating to: appropriations for the director of state courts.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

This bill reduces the general program operations appropriation account for the Director of State Courts by \$555,000.

For further information see the *state* fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

2 SECTION 9248. Appropriation changes; supreme court.

3 (1) ~~SUPREME COURT~~^(CS) In the schedule under section 20.005 (3) of the statutes for
4 the appropriation to the ~~director~~^(CS) of state courts under section 20.680 (2) (a) of the
5 statutes, as affected by the acts of 2001 and 2003, the dollar amount is decreased by
6 \$555,000 for fiscal year 2002-03 to reduce spending for the purpose for which the
7 appropriation is made.

8 (END)



State of Wisconsin
2003 - 2004 LEGISLATURE

LRB-1655/1

RPN:wlj:pg

DOA:.....Schmiedicke – Budadj, Director of state courts appropriation lapse
FOR 2003-05 BUDGET — NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

1 AN ACT ...; relating to: appropriations for the director of state courts.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau
COURTS, COURT PROCEDURE, AND ATTORNEYS

OTHER COURTS AND PROCEDURE

This bill reduces the general program operations appropriation account for the Director of State Courts by \$555,000.

For further information see the *state* fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

2 **SECTION 9248. Appropriation changes; supreme court.**

3 (1) DIRECTOR OF STATE COURTS. In the schedule under section 20.005 (3) of the
4 statutes for the appropriation to the supreme court under section 20.680 (2) (a) of the
5 statutes, as affected by the acts of 2001 and 2003, the dollar amount is decreased by

