

2003 DRAFTING REQUEST

Senate Amendment (SA-SSA1-AB61)

Received: **06/02/2003**

Received By: **mkunkel**

Wanted: **As time permits**

Identical to LRB:

For: **Russell Decker (608) 266-2502**

By/Representing: **Patrick Walsh**

This file may be shown to any legislator: **NO**

Drafter: **mkunkel**

May Contact:

Addl. Drafters:

Subject: **Public Util. - telco
Munis - miscellaneous
Counties - miscellaneous**

Extra Copies:

Submit via email: **YES**

Requester's email: **Sen.Decker@legis.state.wi.us**

Carbon copy (CC:) to:

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Eliminate sunset date for local units of government

Instructions:

See Attached

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/?							
/1	mkunkel 06/02/2003	kgilfoy 06/02/2003	chaskett 06/02/2003	_____	lemery 06/02/2003	lemery 06/02/2003	

FE Sent For:

2003 DRAFTING REQUEST**Senate Amendment (SA-SSA1-AB61)**Received: **06/02/2003**Received By: **mkunkel**Wanted: **As time permits**

Identical to LRB:

For: **Russell Decker (608) 266-2502**By/Representing: **Patrick Walsh**This file may be shown to any legislator: **NO**Drafter: **mkunkel**

May Contact:

Addl. Drafters:

Subject: **Public Util. - telco
Munis - miscellaneous
Counties - miscellaneous**

Extra Copies:

Submit via email: **YES**Requester's email: **Sen.Decker@legis.state.wi.us**

Carbon copy (CC:) to:

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Eliminate sunset date for local units of government

Instructions:

See Attached

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
1?	mkunkel	1-6/2 Kmg	1-6/2 Cph	Self 6/2			

FE Sent For:

<END>

MDK

Senator Decker

ASSEMBLY BILL 61

Reimbursement for PSAP Equipment Upgrades

Motion:

Provide that the reimbursement period would be continued after the current sunset to allow local units of government to be eligible for reimbursements for updating obsolete equipment, computer hardware and software, database equipment, and radio and telephone equipment that are located within their jurisdiction.

Require the local unit of government to submit these costs to the PSC. Require PSC to review these costs and determine if they are appropriate and to award a grant to the PSAP for the eligible costs. Require the Commission to set the surcharge sufficient to recover those costs and to continue the surcharge after the sunset.

Require the PSC to promulgate rules governing this grant program and surcharge.

4-12
≡

Wisconsin Chiefs of Police Association / Wisconsin NENA (National Emergency Number Association)

Issues List – 2003 Wireless 9-1-1 Bill

- **Consideration of re-occurring wireless 9-1-1 network costs**

Additional 9-1-1 landline trunks required for wireless 9-1-1
(\$96.73/month and one time charge of \$2,984)

Landline network tariff (\$.13 / call), with re-bids extra

Mapping maintenance (app. \$10,000/year)

Results: un-funded mandate

- **Consolidation inefficiency/safety issues**

Transferring of emergency calls: callers lost or delayed in
transfer process (many documented occurrences)

Delays in provision of services as calls are transferred

Loss of wireless 9-1-1 capability (call back number and
location) for transferred calls

Losing access to existing localized 9-1-1 databases for
locations (impervious structures)

Wireless capable PSAP may consider charge-back to
other PSAPs in the county

*** Historically: past practice has shown that one
wireless capable PSAP in each county has not
encouraged consolidation**

Results: reduced public safety services

- **Grant application processing time requirement**

(9) month limit for PSAP application (completing
specifications, RFP development, negotiating with all
wireless providers, bidding for telephone, mapping, CAD
systems) could be unrealistic

- **Consolidation processing time requirement**

Political process of consolidating, then determining
wireless 9-1-1 costs can/will take longer than (9) months
limitation

- **Sunset time limitations**

Majority of PSAPs will not be completed with wireless 9-1-1 implementation (3) years from bill passage

* Re-occurring costs are not addressed after (3) years

- **Retroactive considerations**

Pro-active PSAPs will not be eligible for funding

- **PSC (Public Service Commission) involvement**

Vague details available (i.e. supplemental grants, grant awards based upon what criteria: size of PSAP, geographic equalization, first in – first out)

Potential for political bias

number, subscriber name and location). This will be a false perception. The transferring of 9-1-1 calls between PSAPs is a substantiated known point of failure with our current landline 9-1-1 system. The transfer of wireless 9-1-1 calls will only enhance existing landline 9-1-1 transfer problems because more transferring will occur, with the most critical bit of information, the location, potentially missing.

Recurring Wireless 9-1-1 Network Costs

- The FCC Docket No. 94-102 (Responsibility for Costs of E9-1-1 Phase II ALI Database Upgrades: *see attached*) specifically states that all wireless 9-1-1 costs on the PSAP side of the selective router is the responsibility of the PSAP. This includes **all additional 9-1-1 trunks and applicable landline network tariffs** required for the actual wireless 9-1-1 implementation. The 9-1-1 trunk(s) and tariffs are a FCC approved wireless 9-1-1 operational cost that should be covered by a wireless 9-1-1 surcharge. The existing landline 9-1-1 surcharge in Wisconsin covers all on-going landline costs to the PSAP. The recurring costs can be substantial over time (*see attached: Wireless 9-1-1 Call Transfer Issues*).

The result will be on-going costs to the PSAPs that are truly eligible for reimbursement from the wireless subscribers within the state, **as is currently done on the landline 9-1-1 side of services.** The on-going recurring wireless 9-1-1 surcharge would be very small and eliminate another non-funded mandate on local governments.

Retroactive Consideration

The PSAPs in the state who have proactively prepared for wireless 9-1-1 should receive reimbursement for their recent past wireless 9-1-1 expenditures. The costs would be no more than if they waited until after the wireless 9-1-1 legislation passed. Because some PSAPs have been proactive, wireless 9-1-1 implementation will take place much faster, ultimately not requiring the two/three year implementation period for most other sites. The result would be better wireless 9-1-1 services sooner.

PSAPs who have expended funding for anticipated wireless 9-1-1 implementation, but because of the one wireless 9-1-1 equipped PSAP per county limitation, may be penalized because their additional wireless 9-1-1 preparations (equipment) will never be utilized. **The result is wasted tax dollars.** Wisconsin PSAPs assumed that wireless 9-1-1 implementation would not be limited because no other state had implemented such a PSAP reimbursement limitation in the history of wireless 9-1-1 legislation.



State of Wisconsin
2003 - 2004 LEGISLATURE

LRBa0590/1

MDK:lj...

King

D - NOTE

Tomorrow
TUES 6/3
By
Gam

SENATE AMENDMENT,
TO SENATE SUBSTITUTE AMENDMENT 1,
TO 2003 ASSEMBLY BILL 61

1 At the locations indicated, amend the substitute amendment as follows:

2 ✓ 1. Page 6, line 5: delete "during the reimbursement period" and substitute

3 "beginning on the effective date of this subdivision^f [revisor inserts date]".

4 ✓ 2. Page 10, line 4: delete "during the 3-year period".

5 ✓ 3. Page 11, line 5: delete "during the 3-year period".

6 ✓ 4. Page 12, line 8: delete lines 8 and 9.

(END)

146.20
(3m) LJ

**DRAFTER'S NOTE
FROM THE
LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU**

LRBa0590/1dn

MDK:lj...

Kmg

Senator Decker:

This amendment allows local governments to receive grants for costs incurred after the 3-year limit. However, the amendment does not affect the 3-year limit on costs that are reimbursable for wireless providers. Is that okay, or do you also want to eliminate the 3-year limit on wireless providers' costs?

Mark D. Kunkel
Senior Legislative Attorney
Phone: (608) 266-0131
E-mail: mark.kunkel@legis.state.wi.us

**DRAFTER'S NOTE
FROM THE
LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU**

LRBa0590/1dn
MDK:kmg:cph

June 2, 2003

Senator Decker:

This amendment allows local governments to receive grants for costs incurred after the 3-year limit. However, the amendment does not affect the 3-year limit on costs that are reimbursable for wireless providers. Is that okay, or do you also want to eliminate the 3-year limit on wireless providers' costs?

Mark D. Kunkel
Senior Legislative Attorney
Phone: (608) 266-0131
E-mail: mark.kunkel@legis.state.wi.us