Fiscal Estimate - 2003 Session

Original Updated	Corre	ected	Supplemental			
LRB Number 03-2567/1	Introduction	on Number	SB-168			
Subject Municipal enforcement of one- and two-family d	welling code					
Fiscal Effect						
Appropriations Reve	ease Existing enues rease Existing enues	Increase Cost absorb within Yes Decrease Cos	-			
Permissive Mandatory Perm 2. Decrease Costs 4. Decr Permissive Mandatory Perm	ease Revenue nissive Mandatory rease Revenue nissive Mandatory	5.Types of Local Units Affected Towns Counties School Districts	Government Village Cities Others WTCS Districts			
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS S. 20.143 (3) (j)						
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signate	ure	Date			
COMM/ Debra Bresser (608) 266-8603	Louie Cornelius (60	7/1/2003				

Fiscal Estimate Narratives COMM 7/1/2003

LRB Number 03-2567/1	Introduction Number SB-168	Estimate Type	Original					
Subject								
Municipal enforcement of one- and two-family dwelling code								

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

SB 168 would remove the ability of municipalities with populations of 2,500 or less to opt out of enforcement of the one- and two-family dwelling code. There are currently 1,524 such municipalities and 906 of them have opted out of enforcement of the one- and two-family dwelling code per s. 101.651 (2m), Stats. SB 168 would result in the administration and enforcement of the code in those municipalities.

S. 101.65, Stats., allows municipalities to exercise jurisdiction over one- and two-family dwelling construction; 754 municipalities exercise such jurisdiction, including 453 municipalities with populations of 2,500 or less. S. 101.63 (3), Stats., and s. 101.65 (2), Stats., indicate that the Department shall provide inspection services and enforce the code in municipalities that do not exercise jurisdiction. The Department provides services in 167 such municipalities. Two have populations of more than 2,500 and 165 have populations of 2,500 or less. The Department estimates that it would be responsible for providing inspection service and code enforcement in the 906 municipalities that would require administration and enforcement of the code resulting from SB 168.

The Department currently provides for inspection services in municipalities that do not exercise jurisdiction by utilizing registered private inspection agencies (please see technical memo regarding this topic). The Department contracts with inspection agencies to provide service in municipalities with populations of more than 2,500, while the Department credentials private agencies to provide service in municipalities with populations of 2,500 or less. The activities of private inspection agencies are administered by Comm 5 and Comm 20, relating to certifications and inspections. The cost of inspection is covered through a transaction between the private agency and the building permit applicant. The Department's costs involve processing permit applications, issuing seals to municipalities that administer the program and private agencies that provide inspections, consultation regarding the one- and two- family code, and contract administration. These costs are covered through seal fees submitted to the Department by municipalities exercising jurisdiction and private inspection agencies.

The Department estimates that SB 168 would result in an additional 7,000 dwellings inspected annually under the jurisdiction of the one- and two-family dwelling code. There are currently approximately 16,000 such dwellings inspected annually. This would result in an additional \$175,000 in revenue (\$25 seal fee for each of the 7,000 additional dwellings inspected under the code). The Department estimates that there would be additional workload associated with SB 168. The annual workload would consist of the following:

Technical consultation and education activities: 1,750 hours Contract Administration/Coordination: 700 hours (multiple contracts anticipated) Seal/Permit Processing: 820 hours (7,000 transactions)

The increased workload would result in the need for 2.0 FTE, which would consist of the following: 1.5 FTE Building Inspector 2
.5 FTE Program Assistant 4

The cost of these positions would be \$137,300.

Because the Department contracts directly with private inspection agencies and the inspection costs are covered through a transaction between the private agency and the building permit applicant, the Department estimates no fiscal impact on local units of government resulting from SB 168.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2003 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

Original Update	ed		Corrected		Supplemental	
LRB Number 03-2567/1 Introduction Number SB-168						
Subject Municipal enforcement of one- and two-fa	ımily dwe	elling code)			
I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts annualized fiscal effect):	for State	e and/or L	ocal Governi	ment (do no	ot include in	
II. Annualized Costs:			Annualized	Fiscal Imp	act on funds from:	
			Increased Co	sts	Decreased Costs	
A. State Costs by Category						
State Operations - Salaries and Fringes	S	\$98,500		000		
(FTE Position Changes)			(2.0 FT	E)		
State Operations - Other Costs			38,8	800		
Local Assistance						
Aids to Individuals or Organizations			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
TOTAL State Costs by Category			\$137,3	00	\$	
B. State Costs by Source of Funds						
GPR						
FED						
PRO/PRS (PRO)			137,3	000	-	
SEG/SEG-S						
III. State Revenues - Complete this only (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license	y when p fee, ets.	oroposal v)	will increase	or decrease	e state revenues	
			Increased F	lev	Decreased Rev	
GPR Taxes				\$	\$	
GPR Earned						
FED						
PRO/PRS (PRO)			175,0	00		
SEG/SEG-S						
TOTAL State Revenues			\$175,0	00	\$	
NET AN	NUALIZ	ED FISC	AL IMPACT			
			<u>St</u> a	ate	Local	
NET CHANGE IN COSTS		\$137,300			\$0	
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE		\$175,000		00	\$0	
Agency/Prepared By	Au	thorized	Signature		Date	
COMM/ Debra Bresser (608) 266-8603	Lou	_ouie Cornelius (608) 266-8629 7/1/2003				