Fiscal Estimate - 2003 Session

Original Updated	Corrected Supp	olemental				
LRB Number 03-1928/2	Introduction Number AB-18	3				
Subject Youth options program						
Fiscal Effect						
Appropriations Rev Decrease Existing Decrease Appropriations Rev Create New Appropriations	rease Existing venues rease Existing venues Increase Costs - May to absorb within agen Yes Decrease Costs					
Local: No Local Government Costs Indeterminate 1. Increase Costs Permissive Mandatory 2. Decrease Costs Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Decrease Revenue Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Districts 5. Types of Local Government Units Affected Towns Village Cities Counties Others School WTCS Districts						
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS 20.255 (2) (ac), (cw)						
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date				
DPI/ Michael TeRonde (608) 266-5186	Michael Bormett (608) 266-2804 4/10/200					

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DPI 4/11/2003

LRB Number 03-1928/2	Introduction Number	AB-183	Estimate Type	Original
Subject				
Youth options program				

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

Currently, a pupil enrolled in a public school in the 11th or 12th grade may attend an institution of higher education for one or more courses under the youth options program. The school board of the district in which the pupil is enrolled is responsible for paying the cost of tuition, fees and books for the pupil.

This bill makes youth options permissive, rather than mandatory, for school districts. The bill would repeal Section 20.255 (2) (cw) of the Statutes, regarding transportation aid for the Youth Options program, resulting in annual cost savings of \$20,000 GPR for the state. However, this would be offset by the need to increase GPR funding by approximately \$7,000 in appropriation s. 20.255 (2) (ac) to maintain the state's 2/3 funding commitment under current law.

The bill would also require the department to repeal PI 40 of the Wisconsin Administrative Code. Cost of doing so would be absorbed by the department.

The Department of Public Instruction is starting to collect data from school boards regarding pupils who are enrolled in the youth options program. However, a sufficient data base does not exist at this time indicating how many pupils are enrolled statewide. In addition, it is impossible to predict how many school districts would adopt a youth options program.

While it is not possible to calculate the local fiscal impact of this proposed bill on school districts, it can be argued the bill would have no fiscal effect on local property tax levies or the state's current two-thirds funding commitment. Under current law, youth options programs are one of many activities funded by school districts under their state-imposed revenue limits. Further, since nearly all school districts use their maximum allowable revenue limit authority each year, it could be assumed that any cost savings realized by a district due to the elimination of youth options would very likely be replaced by other district costs under existing revenue limits.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2003 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

Original Update	ed	Corrected	Supplemental
LRB Number 03-1928/2		Introduction Number	per AB-183
Subject Youth options program			
I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts annualized fiscal effect):	for Stat	e and/or Local Governm	ent (do not include in
II. Annualized Costs:		Annualized Fisc	al Impact on funds from:
		Increased Costs	Decreased Costs
A. State Costs by Category			
State Operations - Salaries and Fringe	s	\$	
(FTE Position Changes)			
State Operations - Other Costs			
Local Assistance		7,000	
Aids to Individuals or Organizations			-20,000
TOTAL State Costs by Category		\$7,000	\$-20,000
B. State Costs by Source of Funds			
GPR		7,000	-20,000
FED			
PRO/PRS			·
SEG/SEG-S			
III. State Revenues - Complete this onl revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease			decrease state
		Increased Rev	Decreased Rev
GPR Taxes		\$	\$
GPR Earned			
FED			
PRO/PRS			
SEG/SEG-S			
TOTAL State Revenues		\$	\$
NET AN	NUALIZ	ED FISCAL IMPACT	
		<u>State</u>	<u>Local</u>
NET CHANGE IN COSTS		\$-13,000	\$
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE		\$	\$
Agency/Prepared By	Aut	thorized Signature	Date
DPI/ Michael TeRonde (608) 266-5186	Mic	hael Bormett (608) 266-28	4/10/2003