Fiscal Estimate - 2003 Session

	riginal		Updated		Corrected		Supplemental				
LRB Nu	ımber 03-	3351/1		Introd	luction Numb	er S	B-441				
Subject											
Criteria for supervised release for a sex predator											
Fiscal Effe	ect										
Inde	State Fiscal Effe terminate ncrease Existing oppropriations Decrease Existin oppropriations create New App	g og	Increase Ex Revenues Decrease E Revenues	_	Increase to absor	rb within]Yes	- May be possible agency's budget No				
No L Inde 1.	ocal Governme terminate Increase Costs Permissive Decrease Cost	s Mandator ts	4. Decrease R	Mand evenue	latory Town	nent Unit ns [] nties [] pol []	s Affected Village Cities Others WTCS Districts				
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS											
Agency/Pr	epared By		Autho	rized Si	gnature		Date				
DHFS/ Jennifer France (608) 267-5147 Fredi E				llen Bo	3/1/2004						

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DHFS 3/1/2004

LRB Number 03-3351/1	Introduction Number	SB-441	Estimate Type	Original						
Subject										
Criteria for supervised release for a sex predator										

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

Under current law, DHFS has responsibility for the treatment of individuals who have been considered to be Sexually Violent Persons (SVPs) under ch. 980. A sexually violent person is defined as one who has been convicted of a sexually violent offense or who has been found not guilty of a sexually violent offense by reason of mental disease, defect, or illness; and who is dangerous because he or she suffers from a mental disorder that makes it substantially probable that he will engage in acts of sexual violence. Under this bill, the second part of the definition would be changed so that a person is found to be a SVP if they suffer from a mental disorder that makes it more likely than not that he will engage in acts of sexual violence. If a person is found to be a sexually violent person, he is then committed to the DHFS and placed in institutional care. After 18 months, a SVP may petition the court for supervised release. If the person petitions the court for supervised release, the court must authorize supervised release unless the state proves that it is still substantially probable that the person will engage in future acts of sexual violence if institutionalized care is not continued. Under this bill, if a person petitions the court for supervised release, the court must authorize supervised release unless the state proves that it still is more likely than not that the person will engage in future acts of sexual violence if institutionalized care is not continued or that the person has not shown significant progress in, or has refused to participate in, treatment.

Sand Ridge Secure Treatment Center (SRSTC) is a secure treatment facility that provides specialized treatment services for persons committed under Wisconsin's sexually violent persons law. Currently, there are 213 SVPs in the treatment program at SRSTC and 57 SVPs at Wisconsin Resource Center. It is not possible to project the annual increased number of referrals from DOC to DOJ for commitment under the bill's criteria. Similiarly, it is not possible to project the additional number of court-ordered commitments under the proposed criteria. DHFS spends on average \$114,400 per SVP annually at SRSTC. The bill will increase costs by \$114,400 per year for each additional person committed to SRSTC due to the new criteria.

The bill changes the criteria for granting Supervised Release. It seems likely under the new criteria that there will be a longer average time period between the date of commitment and the time of supervised release per SVP. It is difficult to predict how much longer this time period will be. As noted above, the annual cost in SRSTC is \$114,400 per person. The average annual cost of an individual in Supervised Release is \$60,360. Therefore, there is a net cost of \$54,040 per person per year for delayed entry into the Supervised Release program.

The bill changes the definition of SVP--indicating that the person is a SVP if he is more likely than not to reoffend sexually (as opposed to substantially probable to reoffend). Under this definition, it seems likely that those committed under Chapter 980 may not be entitled to discharge from commitment as soon as they might be under current law. It is, however, difficult to calculate how long each SVP commitment might be extended due to this change. Any increased length in commitment (with placement either at SRSTC or in the community) increases costs to the state.

For the reasons stated above, the total costs of the bill are indeterminate.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Under this bill, the long-term effect of the increase in the SVP population would necessitate opening existing units and possibily building new units, as well as, additional new staff.