DRAFTER'S NOTE FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

February 26, 2004

Senator Stepp:

As I discussed with Scott Manley of your staff, there is a slight possibility that this substitute amendment could be subject to a germaneness challenge under senate rule 50 (6) (b) as a proposal whose more general subject is different from that of the original proposal, or intended to accomplish a different (broader) purpose than the original proposal.

It could also be argued that this substitute amendment is germane because its subject and purpose, the lifespan of a tax incremental district and the allocation period of tax increments by the department of revenue, is similar to the subject and purpose of SB-428.

> Marc E. Shovers Senior Legislative Attorney Phone: (608) 266–0129 E-mail: marc.shovers@legis.state.wi.us