

DRAFTER'S NOTE
FROM THE
LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRB-1310/1dn
MGG:wlj:cph

May 7, 2003

1. I have drafted this so that s. 77.82 (1), (2), (2m), (3), and (8) specifically apply to renewal petitions and so that s. 77.82 (5), (6), and (7) do not.
2. I decided that under current law the cross-reference in s. 77.82 (2m) (e) to s. 77.82 (2m) (c) should be to par. (b) instead of (c). As a result, I did not think that there is any need to delay the effective date for this cross-reference change.
3. Regarding s. 77.82 (4): I increased the fee to \$20 and changed the wording to be consistent with s. 77.82 (2m) (b) and the other references to this "different" fee based on the average expense for recording orders.
4. Regarding references to the conservation fund: I deleted the language regarding deposits or credits to the conservation fund since this language is redundant (see s. 25.29 (1) (a)) and it impairs the readability of certain provisions. See ss. 77.82 (2m) (d) and (4), 77.84 (3) (b), 77.87 (3), 77.88 (2) (d) and (7), and 77.89 (3).
5. Regarding s. 23.09 (18m): Many DNR grant programs for land acquisition have matching requirements. Even if you only want this as an option for DNR under its rule-making authority, there should be language in the statutes authorizing DNR to require by rule a match requirement.

Mary Gibson-Glass
Senior Legislative Attorney
Phone: (608) 267-3215