

**DRAFTER'S NOTE**  
**FROM THE**  
**LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU**

LRB-2495/P1dn  
CMH:cjs:rs

August 19, 2003

Senator Schultz:

This draft is a preliminary draft. It will need to be redrafted as LRB-2495/1 before it can be introduced.

I asked some questions about the draft in the embedded notes in the draft.

The draft currently has one effective date. Would it make logical sense to have three stages for the effective date? The insurance provisions could have the earliest stage, the warrantor provisions would have the next stage, and finally the warranties could have the latest stage.

I eliminated the term "service contract" from the definitions section because the only suggested use, which was in s. 100.203 (8), seemed to be synonymous with "warranty." Do you agree?

In s. 632.185 (2) (e) 1., the suggested language read "mailed or delivered to the commissioner and each insured warrantor." I changed "each" to "the" because only one warrantor would be affected, right? In general, does the policy insure the warrantor or the warranty?

In s. 100.203 (8), would you prefer to apply subch. V of ch. 601 (Procedures and Enforcement) instead of s. 100.203 (8) (c), (d), (e), and (f)?

Cathlene Hanaman  
Legislative Attorney  
Phone: (608) 267-9810  
E-mail: [cathlene.hanaman@legis.state.wi.us](mailto:cathlene.hanaman@legis.state.wi.us)