## Fiscal Estimate - 2003 Session

	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supple	emental	
LRB	Number 03	3-3940/1		Introdu	uction Numbe	er A	B-732		
Subject  Nonmetallic mining financial assurances									
	No State Fiscal Efindeterminate Increase Existi Appropriations Decrease Existi Appropriations Create New Appropriations	ing s sting	Increase I Revenues Decrease Revenues	s Existing	to absor	e Costs rb within Yes se Costs	agency	e possible 's budget No	
	No Local Governmendeterminate  I. Increase Cost Permissive  Permissive	sts Mandator osts Mandator	4. Decrease	e Manda Revenue	Cour	nent Unit ns [] nties [] pol []	ts Affecto Village Others WTCS Districts	Cities	
Fund Sources Affected  GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS									
Agency	/Prepared By		Auth	orized Sig	ınature			Date	
DNR/ Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794 Jo				Polasek (608) 266-2794				1/14/2004	

## Fiscal Estimate Narratives DNR 1/14/2004

LRB Number 03-3940/1	Introduction Number	AB-732	Estimate Type	Original
Subject				
Nonmetallic mining financial ass	surances			

## Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

The proposed language creates s.295.13 (4) which adds language that explicitly prohibits a perceived redundant "double burden" by a municipality and by a county upon nonmetallic mine operators with regard to the posting of financial assurance to guarantee the reclamation of such mines. The bill states that if a municipality requires an operator to provide financial assurance for nonmetallic mining reclamation, the county must give a credit (in the form of certificate of deposit, irrevocable letter of credit, irrevocable trust, or others) for the value of the financial assurance toward the amount that the operator is required to provide under the county ordinance.

No fiscal effect is anticipated. Current law and administrative code, Ch. NR 135, do not allow for redundancy in the administration of reclamation programs including financial assurance. Only one jurisdiction may administer a reclamation program and may do so only after enacting a nonmetallic mining reclamation ordinance.

**Long-Range Fiscal Implications** 

## Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2003 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

Original Updated	d Corrected	Supplemental				
LRB Number <b>03-3940/1</b>	Introduction Numl	per AB-732				
Nonmetallic mining financial assurances						
I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts f annualized fiscal effect):	or State and/or Local Governmo	ent (do not include in				
II. Annualized Costs:	Annualized Fisc	Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:				
	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs				
A. State Costs by Category						
State Operations - Salaries and Fringes	\$					
(FTE Position Changes)						
State Operations - Other Costs						
Local Assistance						
Aids to Individuals or Organizations						
TOTAL State Costs by Category	\$	\$				
B. State Costs by Source of Funds						
GPR						
FED						
PRO/PRS						
SEG/SEG-S						
III. State Revenues - Complete this only revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in	license fee, ets.)	decrease state				
GPR Taxes	Increased Rev	Decreased Rev				
	\$	\$				
GPR Earned FED						
PRO/PRS						
SEG/SEG-S						
TOTAL State Revenues	\$	\$				
NEI ANNU	JALIZED FISCAL IMPACT					
NET CHANCE IN COCTO	<u>State</u>	Local				
NET CHANGE IN COSTS NET CHANGE IN REVENUE	\$	\$				
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE	\$	\$				
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date				
DNR/ Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794	Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794	1/14/2004				
		11112304				