

### Fiscal Estimate - 2003 Session

Original     
  Updated     
  Corrected     
  Supplemental

<b>LRB Number</b> <b>03-1248/3</b>	<b>Introduction Number</b> <b>AB-148</b>
------------------------------------	--

**Subject**  
 Weights and measures inspection

**Fiscal Effect**

**State:**

<input type="checkbox"/> No State Fiscal Effect	<input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues	<input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs - May be possible to absorb within agency's budget
<input type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Appropriations		<input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs
<input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Appropriations		
<input type="checkbox"/> Create New Appropriations		

**Local:**

<input type="checkbox"/> No Local Government Costs		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate		
1. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs	3. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Increase Revenue	5. Types of Local Government Units Affected
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory	
2. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs	4. <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Revenue	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory	<input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Towns <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Village <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cities <input type="checkbox"/> Counties <input type="checkbox"/> Others <input type="checkbox"/> School Districts <input type="checkbox"/> WTCS Districts

<b>Fund Sources Affected</b>	<b>Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations</b>
<input type="checkbox"/> GPR <input type="checkbox"/> FED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PRO <input type="checkbox"/> PRS <input type="checkbox"/> SEG <input type="checkbox"/> SEGS 20.115(1)(j)	

<b>Agency/Prepared By</b> DATCP/ William Ringelstetter (608) 224-4948	<b>Authorized Signature</b> Barb Knapp (608) 224-4746	<b>Date</b> 3/21/2003
--	--	--------------------------

## Fiscal Estimate Narratives

DATCP 3/24/2003

LRB Number	<b>03-1248/3</b>	Introduction Number	<b>AB-148</b>	Estimate Type	<b>Original</b>
<b>Subject</b>					
Weights and measures inspection					

### Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

The average contract contains approximately 13 days of service. The rate for each contract is \$400.00 per day. Therefore the average contract fee is \$5,200 dollars. The program receives funding from retail food establishments for weights and measures services not including those establishments in municipalities that have their own Weights and Measures programs. The average amount for retail food establishments is \$3,725.

The Department assumes a reduction in contract locations by 5% in the first biennium and up to 10% in the next. The impact would be reduction of 5 city contract programs in the first biennium and 10 in the next. The Department estimates that additional municipalities would contract for services as their population increases resulting in the requirement for them to have a weights & measures program. The net revenue impact would be a decrease in Program Revenue of \$22,900. This estimate includes the contract city fee decrease and corresponding retail food licensing fee loss.

A reduction in the Department contracts would result in a decrease in contract obligations by 65 to 130 contract days. The Department is required to review State census data as provided by DOA on an annual basis. The Department is to identify those cities and villages, which currently meet the requirements to have their own weights and measures program. The department assumes that workload will be offset by the addition of new city contracts. In the event new contracts do not offset the reductions completely, the Department assumes resources would transfer to non-contract areas within the State; where device inspection frequency exceeds that of contract areas.

The Department assumes Municipal programs will not be able to recoup costs exceeding the actual costs associated with administering a Weights and Measures Program in contracted cities. The department also assumes that municipalities will not reduce weights and measures services in their own jurisdictions in lieu of providing services to another municipality.

A municipality contracting with another municipality may see contract rates exceeding those rates offered by the Department. The Department's labor expense for Weights and Measures inspection activity on average is 45% less than the average labor expense for current municipal programs.

### Long-Range Fiscal Implications

## Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2003 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

Original     
  Updated     
  Corrected     
  Supplemental

LRB Number <b>03-1248/3</b>		Introduction Number <b>AB-148</b>	
<b>Subject</b>			
Weights and measures inspection			
<b>I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect):</b>			
<b>II. Annualized Costs:</b>		<b>Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:</b>	
		Increased Costs	Decreased Costs
<b>A. State Costs by Category</b>			
	State Operations - Salaries and Fringes	\$	
	(FTE Position Changes)		
	State Operations - Other Costs		
	Local Assistance		
	Aids to Individuals or Organizations		
	<b>TOTAL State Costs by Category</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>
<b>B. State Costs by Source of Funds</b>			
	GPR		
	FED		
	PRO/PRS		
	SEG/SEG-S		
<b>III. State Revenues - Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, etc.)</b>			
		Increased Rev	Decreased Rev
	GPR Taxes	\$	\$
	GPR Earned		
	FED		
	PRO/PRS		-22,900
	SEG/SEG-S		
	<b>TOTAL State Revenues</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$-22,900</b>
<b>NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT</b>			
		State	Local
	NET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$	\$
	NET CHANGE IN REVENUE	\$-22,900	\$
<b>Agency/Prepared By</b>		<b>Authorized Signature</b>	<b>Date</b>
DATCP/ William Ringelstetter (608) 224-4948		Barb Knapp (608) 224-4746	3/21/2003