## Fiscal Estimate - 2003 Session

Original Updated	Corrected	Supplemental				
LRB Number <b>03-2091/1</b>	Introduction Number	AB-255				
Subject						
Highway maintenance liability						
Fiscal Effect						
Appropriations Reve Decrease Existing Decre Appropriations Reve Create New Appropriations  Local:	ease Existing enues					
<ul> <li>No Local Government Costs</li> <li>Indeterminate</li> <li>Increase Costs</li> <li>Permissive Mandatory</li> <li>Decrease Costs</li> <li>Decrease Revenue</li> <li>Permissive Mandatory</li> <li>Permissive Mandatory</li> <li>Permissive Mandatory</li> <li>Permissive Mandatory</li> <li>Decrease Revenue</li> <li>School</li> <li>WTCS</li> <li>Districts</li> </ul>						
Fund Sources Affected  Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations  GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS						
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date				
DOT/ Richard Moss (608) 267-7830	Carol Buckmaster (608) 267-6979 4/23/2003					

# Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOT 4/23/2003

LRB Number	03-2091/1	Introduction Number	AB-255	Estimate Type	Original
Subject					
Highway main	ntenance liability				

#### **Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate**

- 1. AB 255 repeals 81.17, Wis. Stats. and amends 81.15, Wis. Stats. The bill eliminates the specific immunity exception under which cities, villiages, towns and counties may be held liable for an insufficiency or want of repairs of a highway. The bill does not affect the immunity exception under which cities, villiages, towns, and counties may be held liable for damages of up to \$50,000 for the accumulation of snow or ice that has existed on a highway for at least three weeks. The bill also eliminates secondary liability for cities, villiages, towns, and counties.
- 2. It is difficult to attribute a local fiscal effect to the repeal of these statutes. It is difficult to determine what effect the repeal has because another general statute, Section 893.80(3) Wis. Stats., provides a similar general limitation of \$50,000, and incorporates a statutory version of the long-standing, common law immunity for discretionary government decisions, Section 893.80(4), Wis. Stats.
- 3. Section 81.15, Wis. Stats., in substantially the same form as today, has been on the books since 1849. The Supreme Court has noted that when the Court and Legislature made changes affecting local government immunity in the 1960s and 1970s, the Legislature never changed this statute. Hence, there is not now and never has been discretionary immunity for highway defects under Section 81.15, Wis. Stats. Rights and remedies under 81.15, Wis. Stats., have existed for 150 years. A computer search shows that 175 Wisconsin appellate court cases applied 81.15, Wis. Stats., over the years 1884 to the present.
- 4. This bill is prompted by Morris v. Juneau County, 219 Wis.2nd 544 (1998); Motion for reconsideration denied August 25, 1998, affirming Morris v. Juneau County, 211 Wis.2d 890 (unpublished)(Ct. App 1997). A subsequent case, Mariades v. Marquette County, No. 97-3549 (Unpublished Ct. App. October 15,1998) had the same result. Juneau County had argued it was immune from liability because maintenance of a highway involved discretionary decisions for which governmental units are immune from liability. The court agreed and dismissed the case based on this legal basis, not on the merits. The injured party, Morris, appealed to the Court of Appeals, which ruled no. The case must go to trial because sec. 81.15 Stats., applies and has nothing to do with discretionary functions. The court decided the County is not always immune from damage due to alleged highway maintenance defects. The case must go to trial. The Court of Appeals did not decide that the County is liable, just that the County is not immune as a matter of law from any and all liability for damage due to highway maintenance defects.

#### **Long-Range Fiscal Implications**

It is not possible to determine whether this legislation will result in a net increase or decrease in costs for local units of government. The statutory changes do not apply to the State or the Wisconsin Department of Transportation and the legislation has no fiscal effect at this level.

### Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2003 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

$\boxtimes$	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supplemental		
LRB	RB Number 03-2091/1 Introduction Number AB-255								
Subje	ct				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Highw	ay maintenar	nce liability				•			
		or Revenue Ir	npacts for S	State and/or L	ocal Govern	ment (do no	t include in		
annua	lized fiscal	effect):	•			(40 110			
No im	pact for state	. Impact unkno	wn for local	government.					
II. Anr	nualized Cos	sts:			Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:				
_					Increased Co		Decreased Costs		
A. Sta	te Costs by	Category							
Stat	e Operations	- Salaries and	Fringes			\$0	0		
(FTI	E Position Ch	nanges)			(0.0 F	TE)	(0.0 FTE)		
Stat	e Operations	- Other Costs				0	0		
Loca	al Assistance					0	0		
Aids	s to Individua	ls or Organizat	ions			0	0		
Шт	OTAL State	Costs by Cate	egory			\$0	\$0		
B. Sta	te Costs by	Source of Fur	nds						
GPF	3					0	0		
FED	) '					0	0		
PRO	D/PRS (0)					0	0		
SEC	G/SEG-S (0)					0	0		
III. Sta (e.g., t	te Revenues ax increase	s - Complete t , decrease in l	his only wh icense fee,	en proposal ets.)	will increase	or decrease	state revenues		
	····				Increased F	Rev	Decreased Rev		
	R Taxes					\$0	\$0		
	R Earned					0	0		
FED						0	0		
	D/PRS (0)		<del></del>			0	0		
	G/SEG-S (0)			!		0	0		
T	OTAL State				<del></del>	\$0	\$0		
			NET ANNUA	ALIZED FISC					
					<u>St</u>	<u>ate</u>	Local		
NET CHANGE IN COSTS				\$0	\$Unknown				
NET C	HANGE IN F	KEVENUE				\$0	\$0		
	-			T					
Agend	gency/Prepared By Auth			Authorized	Signature		Date		
DOT/ I	OT/ Richard Moss (608) 267-7830					4/23/2003			