## Fiscal Estimate - 2003 Session

Original Update	ed Corrected :	Supplemental			
LRB Number <b>03-1936/2</b>	Introduction Number AB	3-300			
Subject  Maximum speed limit on state trunk highw	/ays				
Fiscal Effect					
State:  No State Fiscal Effect Indeterminate Increase Existing Appropriations Decrease Existing Appropriations Create New Appropriations  Local:	Increase Existing Revenues Decrease Existing Revenues To absorb within a Pes Decrease Costs	May be possible agency's budget ☑No			
Permissive Mandatory  2. Decrease Costs  4. Permissive Mandatory	Decrease Revenue School	Affected Village Cities Others WTCS Districts			
Fund Sources Affected  GPR FED PRO PRS	Affected Ch. 20 Appropriate SEGS (3)(EQ) 365	priations			
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date			
DOT/ John Corbin (608) 266-0459	Carol Buckmaster (608) 267-6979 5/8/2003				

# Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOT 5/8/2003

LRB Number <b>03-1936/2</b>	Introduction Number	AB-300	Estimate Type	Original						
Subject										
Maximum speed limit on state trunk highways										

#### **Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate**

It is assumed that within five years most towns and villages (at least 60% of them) will choose to reduce speed limits from 55 MPH to 45 MPH on most or all of the affected non-freeway state highway network within their jurisdiction. These affected highways constitute 8253 (70%) of the total 11,753 center line miles of state and federal highways in Wisconsin.

#### Signing:

- every half-mile in each direction (per TGM (Department's Traffic Guidelines Manual) 2-2-13)
- potentially 33,000 45 MPH speed limit signs
- \$500 per installation
- annualized replacement cost of \$100 per sign (\$500 over 5 years)
- total signing cost potential of \$33,000,000 at local government expense

If 60% of jurisdictions elected to lower speed limits, the sign costs would be approximately \$19.8 million to be paid as reimbursed costs paid by local agencies to the Department.

Traffic Studies, Speed Analysis, and Documentation:

The fiscal estimate includes provisions for Departmental collection and traffic speed analysis and documentation upon effective transition of partial operational authority to candidate towns and villages. This responsibility is incumbent upon the posting authority per the federal Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD) Section 2B.11. It is assumed that this data collection and analysis would be completed by consultants under contract to the Department, and that necessary equipment would be rented.

- two data collection sites for each jurisdiction
- 3 days of data for each site
- 2 counters per site @ \$150/unit/week = \$300/site or \$600/jurisdiction
- 1 person-day total per jurisdiction of data collection planning and setup
- 2 person-days per jurisdiction of data synthesis, evaluation, and documentation
- completion by consultant services at \$60-80/hour (\$70/hour average)
- 1064 towns and 209 villages would be affected (1273 jurisdictions)

1273 X 3 X 8 X 70 = \$2,138,640 consultant time costs

1273 X 600 = \$763,800

Total costs for data collection, analysis, and documentation = \$2,902,440 paid by the Department. If only 60% of the affected jurisdictions mandate speed limit changes, this cost would be \$1,741,464.

#### Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Annualized sign replacement costs for 19,800 speed limit signs would be \$198,000. These costs are reflected as State costs.

### Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2003 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

X	Original		Updated			Corrected		Supplemental
LRB	Number	03-1936	6/2		Intro	duction Nun	nber .	AB-300
Subjec	ct							
Maxim	um speed lin	nit on state tr	unk highway	S				
I. One- annua	-time Costs lized fiscal e	or Revenue effect):	Impacts for	State	and/or	Local Governr	ment (do	not include in
\$2,902 speed 60% le	2,440, and wo limit changes	ould be paid l s, this cost w	by the Depar ould be \$1,74	tment. 41,464	If only (	tion, analysis, a 60% of the affec ated additional o 0,000, and woul	cted juriso costs for i	dictions mandate nitial signing for a
II. Ann	ualized Cos	ts:			-	Annualized Fis	scal Impa	act on funds from:
,						ncreased Costs		Decreased Costs
A. Stat	te Costs by	Category					- <del>1</del>	
State	e Operations	- Salaries ar	nd Fringes			\$		
(FTE	E Position Ch	anges)						
State	e Operations	- Other Cost	ts			198,000		
Loca	al Assistance							
Aids	to Individual	s or Organiz	ations					
TO	OTAL State	Costs by Ca	tegory			\$198,000		\$
B. Stat	te Costs by	Source of F	unds					
GPR	₹							
FED								
PRO	)/PRS							
SEG	6/SEG-S ((3)(	(EQ))				198,000		
III. Stat	te Revenues ues (e.g., tax	s - Complete increase, d	this only w lecrease in l	hen pr icense	oposal fee, et	will increase o	or decrea	ise state
						Increased Rev		Decreased Rev
	R Taxes					\$		\$
GPR	R Earned							
FED	l							
PRO	)/PRS							
SEG	S/SEG-S							
TO	OTAL State I	Revenues				\$		\$
		*****	NET ANNUA	LIZE	FISC	AL IMPACT		
						<u>State</u>	2	Local
NET C	HANGE IN C	OSTS				\$198,000	)	\$
NET C	HANGE IN R	REVENUE				\$		\$
Agenc	y/Prepared I	Ву		Auth	orized	Signature		Date