Fiscal Estimate - 2003 Session

☑ Origin	nal		Updated		Correc	ted		Supple	emental
LRB Numb	oer 03-24	46/2		Intro	duction	n Number	A	3-499	
Subject Historic buildir	ngs and downto	own de	velopment						
Fiscal Effect							***		
Indetern Appr Appr Appr Crea Local: Indeterr Indeterr 1. Indeterr 2. De	ease Existing opriations ease Existing opriations te New Approperture of the N	Costs	3. Increase Re	evenue Mand evenue	atory	Increase Coabsorb with You Decrease Coabsorb Types of Loc Units Affecte Towns Counties School Districts	in agees costs cal Go ed	vernmer Village Others WTCS Districts	ndget No Totties
GPR		RO [PRS SEG	■ SE		ected Ch. 20 20.143 (1) (a)	Appro	opriatio	าร
Agency/Prepa	ared By		Auth	orized S	Signatur	e			Date
COMM/ Debra Bresser (608) 266-8603 Louid				ie Cornelius (608) 266-8629				5/19/2003	

Fiscal Estimate Narratives COMM 9/5/2003

LRB Number 03-2446/2	Introduction Number	AB-499	Estimate Type	Original						
Subject										
Historic buildings and downtown development										

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

This legislation makes numerous changes regarding historic buildings and downtown development, topics which impact and involve the Department of Commerce, and also makes changes regarding major highway projects.

Historic Buildings

The Department currently administers Comm 70, the Historic Building Code, which provides alternative building standards for preserving or restoring buildings or structures designated as historic buildings. The Historic Building Code is a uniform code. Therefore, the Department currently processes petitions for variance from the code. S. 101.02 (7), Stats., indicates redress for individuals impacted by local orders that contradict Department of Commerce orders.

This legislation would require the Department to "liberally" interpret the historic building code. There would be no fiscal impact on the Department resulting from this aspect of the legislation. The legislation also allows political subdivisions to issue variances relating to handrails or guardrails of qualified historic buildings. The Department receives a limited number of submittals for review under the Historic Building Code (less than 50 annually) and therefore estimates that the number of variances granted would be negligible, resulting in a negligible decline in variances and resulting revenue. The legislation indicates redress for individuals from local orders regarding historic buildings. The Department anticipates a workload similar to the current redress process and therefore no fiscal impact resulting from this aspect of the legislation. The legislation would require the Department to develop and publish an informational brochure regarding the historic building code. The Department estimates that the workload associated with this can be absorbed within current resources.

Downtown Development

This legislation requires the Department to promulgate rules for the certification of downtowns and includes a number of factors that must be included when determining whether or not a downtown may qualify for certification. The legislation also requires the Department to develop and publish guidelines to aid communities in reconstructing central business districts that are destroyed or severely damaged in major disasters. The bill further directs the Secretary of the Department to reallocate 4.0 FTE GPR positions funded from 20.143 (1) (a) for the purpose of providing increased staff for the administration of these expanded duties.

The legislation's downtown development requirements would increase workload for the Department. Due to the current budget situation and reductions in personnel, it is likely that the Department will be unable to reallocate 4.0 FTE positions to undertake the increased workload anticipated under the bill.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2003 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

X	Original		Updated	盛	Corrected		Supplemental			
LRB I	Number	03-2446/	2	Intro	duction Νι	ımber <i>A</i>	AB-499			
	buildings a	nd downtown	<u></u>							
I. One-t	time Costs ized fiscal e	or Revenue li effect):	npacts for S	tate and/or I	_ocal Govern	ment (do no	ot include in			
II. Annı	ualized Cos	ts:			Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from					
					Increased Co	ests	Decreased Costs			
	e Costs by									
		- Salaries and	l Fringes			\$0	0			
	Position Ch				(0.0 F	TE)	(0.0 FTE)			
		- Other Costs				0	0			
\vdash	l Assistance					0	0			
		s or Organizat				0				
ТС	TAL State	Costs by Cat	∍gory		\$0					
B. State	e Costs by	Source of Fu	nds							
GPR						0	0			
FED						0	0			
PRO/						0	0			
SEG/	SEG/SEG-S					0	0			
III. State (e.g., ta	e Revenues x increase,	- Complete t decrease in	his only whe license fee, e	en proposal ets.)	will increase	or decrease	e state revenues			
					Increased F	Rev	Decreased Rev			
\vdash	GPR Taxes					\$0	\$0			
	GPR Earned					0	0			
FED						0	0			
н—	PRO/PRS					0				
SEG/	SEG-S					0	0			
ТС	TOTAL State Revenues					\$0 \$0				
			NET ANNUA	LIZED FISC	AL IMPACT					
					St	<u>ate</u>	Local			
NET CHANGE IN COSTS						\$0	\$0			
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE				\$0						
Agency	/Prepared l	Bv		Authorized	Signature		Date			
	-	ser (608) 266	-8603		lius (608) 266	-8629	5/19/2003			