## Fiscal Estimate - 2003 Session

	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supplemental
LRB	Number	03-2070/2	<u> </u>	Intro	duction Nun	nber A	B-516
Subject Exemp facilities	otion from air	· pollution contro	ol construction	permits for o	certain equipmer	nt at nonme	etallic mining
Fiscal	Effect						
Local:		e Existing ations Existing ations ew Appropriation	Rever Decre Rever	ease Existing	to ab	ease Costs - psorb within Yes rease Costs	- May be possible agency's budget No
	Indeterminate  1. Increase Permiss  2. Decrease Permiss	e Costs sive  Mandato se Costs sive  Mandato	3.  Increa	ase Revenue ssive Man ase Revenue ssive Man	Governdatory C	s of Local rnment Unit owns Counties School	ts Affected Village Cities Others WTCS Districts
Fund Sources Affected  Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations  GPR FED PRS SEG SEGS 2(ci)							
Agenc	y/Prepared	Ву	ļ	Authorized S	Signature		Date
DNR/ J	Joe Polasek	(608) 266-2794	J	loe Polasek (	(608) 266-2794		9/19/2003

# Fiscal Estimate Narratives DNR 9/19/2003

LRB Number 03-2070/2	Introduction Number	AB-516	Estimate Type	Original			
Subject							
Exemption from air pollution control construction permits for certain equipment at nonmetallic mining facilities							

### Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

This bill would exclude the addition or replacement of engines or generators at exisiting nonmetallic mineral processing facilities from the requirement to obtain an air pollution control construction permit. Although this bill would exempt certain equipment replacement projects from the need to obtain a construction permit, program history indicates that this exemption would only be utilized to a small degree. While pemitting of these sources would generate program revenue in the form of permit application fees, it is estimated that they would make up approximately 0.3% of the annual program revenue (conservatively \$6,000 of an annual \$2,000,000 revenue). If permitting of these sources were to occur, the revenue from the permit would be offset by the department costs preparing it. Thus the net fiscal effect on the program is zero.

#### Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Minimal

## Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2003 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supplemental			
LRB	Number	03-2070/2	2	Intro	duction Nun	nber	AB-516			
raciliti	ption from air es				or certain equipn		onmetallic mining			
annua	alized fiscal e	effect): 	inputits for t	tate and/or	Local Governi	nent (do	not include in			
II. Anı	nualized Cost	ts:			Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from					
<u> </u>					Increased Costs		Decreased Costs			
_	te Costs by (				**************************************	-				
		- Salaries and	Fringes		\$		-6,000			
	E Position Cha									
		- Other Costs	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
<del></del>	al Assistance			<del> </del>						
		s or Organizati								
		Costs by Cate			\$		\$-6,000			
_		Source of Fun	ds							
GPF										
FEC	<del>-</del>									
	D/PRS						-6,000			
	S/SEG-S									
III. Sta reveni	te Revenues ues (e.g., tax	- Complete the increase, dec	nis only whe crease in lice	en proposa ense fee, et	l will increase o	r decrea	se state			
lops				_	Increased Rev		Decreased Rev			
	R Taxes			_	\$		\$			
	R Earned									
FED	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
	)/PRS			-			-6,000			
	S/SEG-S									
1 11	OTAL State R				\$		\$-6,000			
		NE	ET ANNUAL	IZED FISCA						
NETO	NET OLIANOE IN COORS				State		<u>Local</u>			
NET CHANGE IN COSTS NET CHANGE IN REVENUE				\$-6,000		\$				
INE I C	HANGE IN RI	EVENUE			\$-6,000		\$			
				***************************************						
Agenc	y/Prepared B	Ву	<b> </b> A	uthorized \$	Signature		Date			
DNR/ Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794 Joe			oe Polasek	(608) 266-2794	9/19/2003					