## Fiscal Estimate - 2003 Session

Original Upda	ted Corrected	Supplemental						
LRB Number 03-4230/1	Introduction Number AE	3-836						
Subject  Milwaukee Parental Choice Program; fiscal info and background checks								
Fiscal Effect								
State:  No State Fiscal Effect Indeterminate Increase Existing Appropriations Decrease Existing Appropriations Create New Appropriations	Increase Existing Revenues Decrease Existing Revenues The proof of the	May be possible agency's budget ☑No						
Permissive Mandatory  2. Decrease Costs  4. Permissive Mandatory	Decrease Revenue Counties School	s Affected Village						
Fund Sources Affected  GPR FED PRO PRS	Affected Ch. 20 Appro SEG SEGS 20.255 (1) (a)	priations						
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date						
DPI/ Michael TeRonde (608) 266-5186	2/19/2004							

## Fiscal Estimate Narratives DPI 2/19/2004

LRB Number 03-4230/1	Introduction Number AB-8	Estimate Type	Original						
Subject									
Milwaukee Parental Choice Program; fiscal info and background checks									

## **Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate**

2003 AB 836 requires a private school participating in the Milwaukee Parental Choice Program (MPCP) annually to conduct a criminal background check on each new employee. It also requires the private school to conduct a background check on all existing employees within 120 days after the bill's effective date. With certain exceptions, the bill prohibits a private school that is participating in the MPCP from employing a person who has been convicted of any of the felonies specified in the bill for six years following conviction. The bill also permits the private school to employ, or to terminate the employment, any person convicted of a felony or misdemeanor. The bill requires a private school to submit to the Department of Public Instruction (DPI), before the school begins participating in MPCP, a copy of the school's certificate of occupancy issued by the city of Milwaukee, evidence of financial viability, and proof that the administrator of the school participated in a fiscal management training program approved by DPI. Annually, a private school participating in the MPCP must submit to DPI evidence of sound fiscal practices. The bill authorizes DPI to issue an order banning a private school from participating in the MPCP in the succeeding school year if DPI determines that the private school misrepresented information provided to DPI, failed to provide information to DPI by the date or within the period required, failed to refund overpayments to the state by the date required, or failed to meet at least one of the currently required academic or other standards by the required date. The bill authorizes DPI to issue an order immediately terminating a private school's participation in the MPCP if DPI determines that conditions at the private school present an imminent danger to the health or safety of pupils. AB 836 authorizes DPI to withhold payment from a private school participating in the MPCP if the private school violates any law or administrative rule governing the MPCP.

In order to fully review MPCP school compliance and to implement the functions assigned to the department by the bill, one additional full time equivalent school finance auditor would need to be authorized and hired. The cost of doing so would be \$100,900 GPR annually (\$71,800 annual salary plus fringe and supplies and services).

The local-related requirements imposed by the bill are placed on private schools. There is no additional cost for local governments.

**Long-Range Fiscal Implications** 

## Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2003 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

	Original		Updated			Corrected		Supplemental
LRB	Number	03-4230	/1		Intro	duction Nu	ımber	AB-836
	ukee Parental C							
I. One annua	e-time Costs or alized fiscal eff	Revenue I ect):	mpacts for	State	e and/or	Local Gover	nment (do	not include in
II. Anr	nualized Costs				Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:			
						ncreased Cos	sts	Decreased Costs
A. Sta	te Costs by Ca	tegory						
$\rightarrow$	e Operations -		d Fringes			\$98,40	00	
<del></del>	E Position Char	<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			(1.0 FT	E)	
	e Operations - 0	Other Costs	3			2,50	00	
	al Assistance				··			
	to Individuals				**			
	OTAL State Co					\$100,90	00	\$
	te Costs by So	urce of Fu	nds	***				
GPF						100,90	00	
FED	···							
	D/PRS							
SEG	S/SEG-S							
III. Sta revenu	te Revenues - ues (e.g., tax in	Complete increase, de	this only where	nen p cens	roposal e fee, et	will increase s.)	or decrea	ase state
1						Increased Re	ev	Decreased Rev
	RTaxes						\$	\$
	REarned							
FED			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	)/PRS							
	S/SEG-S							
TO	OTAL State Re						\$	\$
		N	IET ANNUA	LIZE	D FISCA	L IMPACT		
		·	**************************************			<u>Stat</u>	<u>te</u>	<u>Local</u>
	HANGE IN CO					\$100,90	0	\$
NET C	HANGE IN RE\	/ENUE				(	\$	\$
Agono	v/Propored Pv			A41-				<u> </u>
				thorized Signature		Date		
DPI/ Michael TeRonde (608) 266-5186 Mic			Mich	chael Bormett (608) 266-2804			2/19/2004	