

Fiscal Estimate - 2003 Session

Original Updated Corrected Supplemental

LRB Number 03-4204/1		Introduction Number AB-839	
Subject Increasing coverage under AODA			
Fiscal Effect			
State:			
<input type="checkbox"/> No State Fiscal Effect			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate			
<input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Appropriations		<input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues	
<input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Appropriations		<input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues	
<input type="checkbox"/> Create New Appropriations		<input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs - May be possible to absorb within agency's budget	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs	
Local:			
<input type="checkbox"/> No Local Government Costs			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate			
1. <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs		3. <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Revenue	
<input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory		<input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory	
2. <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs		4. <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Revenue	
<input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory		<input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory	
5. Types of Local Government Units Affected			
<input type="checkbox"/> Towns		<input type="checkbox"/> Village	
<input type="checkbox"/> Counties		<input type="checkbox"/> Others	
<input type="checkbox"/> School Districts		<input type="checkbox"/> WTCS Districts	
Fund Sources Affected		Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations	
<input type="checkbox"/> GPR <input type="checkbox"/> FED <input type="checkbox"/> PRO <input type="checkbox"/> PRS <input type="checkbox"/> SEG <input type="checkbox"/> SEGS			
Agency/Prepared By		Authorized Signature	
DHFS/ Donna Dunkel (608) 266-8156		Freda Ellen Bove (608) 266-2907	
		Date	
		3/15/2004	

Fiscal Estimate Narratives
DHFS 3/15/2004

LRB Number	03-4204/1	Introduction Number	AB-839	Estimate Type	Original
Subject					
Increasing coverage under AODA					

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

Assembly Bill 839 increases the statutory minimum coverage limits that must be provided under group health insurance policies for the treatment of nervous or mental disorders and AODA problems by the change in the U.S. Department of Labor's consumer price index for medical care. The adjustment is based on the minimum coverage limits originally enacted in the statutes. In addition, Assembly Bill 839 requires the Department of Health and Family Services (DHFS) to annually report to the Governor and the Legislature on revising the coverage limits based on the Department of Labor's consumer price index for medical costs.

Assembly Bill 839 would affect the following Department of Health and Family Services programs:

Health Insurance Risk Sharing (HIRSP) Program

The HIRSP program currently provides coverage for mental health and AODA treatment with the following limits: inpatient AODA treatment is limited to 30 days per calendar year; inpatient mental health is limited to 60 days per calendar year; outpatient AODA and mental health treatment is limited to a total of \$3,000 per calendar year; and transitional AODA and mental health treatment is limited to a total of \$3,000 per calendar year. Assembly Bill 839 would require the HIRSP program to increase the limits on the annual expenditures for outpatient services from \$3,000 to \$3,100 and transitional services from \$3,000 to \$4,600.

Funding for the HIRSP program is provided by policyholder premiums, assessments to the insurance industry, and assessments to health care providers in the form of provider discounts. Policyholders, the insurance industry, and healthcare providers support any additional cost to the program in a 60/20/20 split respectively.

In calendar year 2003, approximately 1,500 HIRSP enrollees received outpatient AODA and mental health treatment. Approximately 6 of these individuals reached the \$3,000 outpatient limit. Also in calendar year 2003, 12 HIRSP enrollees received transitional AODA and mental health treatment and one of these enrollees' expenditures exceeded \$3,000. Therefore, although the exact cost is indeterminate, due to the low number of participants that reach the current limits the fiscal impact of Assembly Bill 839 on the HIRSP program is expected to be minimal.

Community Aids

Community Aids are state and federal funds distributed by DHFS to counties on a calendar year basis to support community social, mental health, developmental disabilities and substance abuse services. The majority of community aids funds are allocated to counties through the basic county allocation. Counties have discretion in determining which types of services will be provided with funds from the basic county allocation. In addition, Community Aids provides five categorical allocations that must be expended on specified services. Funding provided from the Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment (SAPT) block grant is distributed through community aids as a categorical allocation. Counties are required to spend these funds on eligible substance abuse services, including primary prevention and early intervention, detoxification, counseling, investigations and assessments, non-hospital inpatient treatment, and community based alternative living arrangements. While counties are required to provide matching funds of 9.89% for funding from the basic county allocation, no match is required for the SAPT block grant categorical allocation. If private payors, through insurance coverage, are required to provide increased coverage, some savings to counties may result, but it is not possible to calculate the magnitude of the possible savings. However, the department anticipates that any savings realized would be used by the county to provide services to individuals still on waiting lists for social services.

Mental Health Institutes

The department administers two mental health institutes. The Department sets rates, which are paid by counties for civilly-committed clients, based on the actual costs of providing services (including costs incurred for prescription drugs and diagnostic testing) and the availability of third-party revenues such as Medicare and Medicaid. If private payors, through insurance coverage, are required to provide increased coverage, it may be possible to realize savings for counties and the State Medicaid Program. Likewise, state costs for forensic patients at the mental health institutes could decrease if private payors through insurance coverage are required to provide increased coverage. It is not possible to calculate the magnitude of the possible savings to the counties of the state.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications