

**2003-04 SESSION
COMMITTEE HEARING
RECORDS**

Committee Name:

Senate Committee on
Health, Children,
Families, Aging and
Long Term Care
(SC-HCFALTC)

Sample:

Record of Comm. Proceedings ... RCP

- 03hrAC-EdR_RCP_pt01a
- 03hrAC-EdR_RCP_pt01b
- 03hrAC-EdR_RCP_pt02

➤ Appointments ... Appt

➤ **

➤ Clearinghouse Rules ... CRule

➤ **

➤ Committee Hearings ... CH

➤ **

➤ Committee Reports ... CR

➤ **

➤ Executive Sessions ... ES

➤ **

➤ Hearing Records ... HR

➤ 03hr_sb0516b_pt02

➤ Miscellaneous ... Misc

➤ **

➤ Record of Comm. Proceedings ... RCP

➤ **

No Date

Trial Jobs Plus Pilot

I am very supportive of the Trial Job program and feel that even though the placements have been limited that for the most part they have been successful.

of trial job placements since induction of W2 by XFD042= 6
2^{of 6} placements done in 9/98 & 4/00 are still employed
one current placement

Suggestions for modifications:

Rather than focusing on creating a pool of employers willing to do Trial jobs to instead create Trial Jobs to fit the individuals needs. This has been successful with the few placements that I have done, however it is time intensive. The employers seem to like this because they don't have to make an ongoing commitment and we are able to fulfill their current employment needs.

Current policy requires that the subsidy given to the employer be budgeted against the food stamps. This is income that the household never sees and seems unfair to count as income. This has been a factor in my decision to develop a Trial Job or not. My understanding is that in the pilot the employer would also be reimbursed for taxes, unemployment and worker's compensation, I am recommending that this does not count as budgetable income against any programs.

Need to look at how the new Transitional food stamp program and its effect. It will be a disincentive for some. (Ops memo 04-07: TFS benefit amount is calculated using income, expenses from the household for the month prior to the last W2 pmt for up to 5 months. This includes W-2T, CSJ, CMC or TT (tribal TANF).

Another consideration to look at is: will the person be able to earn enough money to "survive"? Because the Trial job is a separate rung on the W2 ladder it does not allow for the person to receive a partial grant. This may be something to add/modify in the pilot to help the participant have a better chance of making it. I would like to see the employer get reimbursed for up to 40 hours a week so that there is an incentive to schedule the employee more than 30 hours weekly.

Currently if the W2 case closes for any reason (lack of verification, lack of review, non-financial reasons, etc.) the employer is not eligible for the subsidy. This is sometimes difficult to explain to the employer and can jeopardize continued employment.

Michele Mailand
Fond du Lac Co. W2 program

SENATE BILL 516 (ROESSLER/ HUEBSCH)

Relating to a trial jobs plus demonstration project under the Wisconsin Works program.

- You have materials on this bill.
- Joe Halter, Diane Hausinger (retiring on Friday!), Ed Schilling and Mark Quam will be testifying in favor of SB 516.
- The Fiscal Note was received:
 - Estimated cost to fund subsidized wages of 1,000 participants is \$4,000,000,000 for an 18-month period.
 - It is estimated to cost \$302,100 to fund ongoing mentoring costs for the participant as an assumed rate of \$50 per month per participant.
 - A cost up to \$2,000,000 is estimated for support of an optional intermediary function which will maintain the tracking, assignment, case management, and subsidized employment administration of the participants.
 - Costs associated with Department administration for the purposes of statistical and financial reporting will be absorbed within the Department's existing budget.
 - The bill directs the Department to request funding for the trial jobs plus project to be transferred from the JFC appropriation back to the DWD appropriation.

*→ Michelle - in place of Ed -
Muller*

trial jobs

• used by only 20% of people in W-2
• more > 20 mill US DHS + Dept of Lab

see using milw. 6 prog. most interested in working w/ milw + FOL + add site more rural - pop best research capacity best techn. promise for study on

TS - concerns about direction
ear marked for implem
\$ (fed) use w/i W-2 program
→ w/ large sector

flaw - languishing in CSJ - need slots for last jump off pt
demonst prog up to 4 regions

15.5 get aside
↳ use 6 - for 1000 W-2 clients chosen + have access

- Maximus support
- 7 yrs no sign. overhaul
- much more than payroll serv.
- transp
- job coaching
- ch. care placement

up to 30 hrs. pymt

get thing at work site
disrupt their pay for work site
every more disrup time how
tough challenge Nat'l team - have more challenge. few of support at work site
Support optional intermediary

3 mos. extension
not new GPR have to go back part of \$ for pilot in up to

low state or co people in W-2 do have benefits access to ch. food etc
interim basis
trial job access as other
real pymt real low
bonus in fields

Albers - some growth in groups
tier 1 - sign. barriers
2

3 - forever barriers
of people in tier 2 gd. clients



Kestell - money set aside - alloc. in JFC
fed acct / SFE was overrid
\$15.5 mill → just under 6.4 m
this year

Cost of Participation actually =
~~200~~

This \$ will
be used for
w-2

~~Black~~ ~~Business~~
* * TRAIL

* Townsend
my car