Fiscal Estimate - 2005 Session

X	Original		Updated	С	orrected		Supplemental
LRB	Number	05-0780/2	2	Introdu	ction Numb	er A	B-130
Subje	ct			**			
Collec	tion of fines	and forfeitures b	y counties				
Fiscal	Effect				-		
	No State Fise Indeterminate Increase Appropria Decrease Appropria Create No	e Existing Itions Existing	Reve Decr Reve	ease Existing enues rease Existing enues	to abs		- May be possible agency's budget No
	Indeterminat 1. Increas Permis 2. Decrea	e Costs sive∭Mandato	3. ⊠ Incre ory □ Pern 4. □ Decr	ease Revenue nissive ⊠ Manda rease Revenue nissive □ Manda	tory Tov	ment Uni wns	ts Affected Village Cities Others WTCS Districts
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations							
GF	PR 🔲 FEC	PRO [PRS 🛛	SEG SEG	S	d	
Agend	y/Prepared	Ву		Authorized Sig	nature		Date
CTS/ Nancy Rottier (608) 267-9733				Nancy Rottier (608) 267-9733			3/11/2005

Fiscal Estimate Narratives CTS 3/14/2005

LRB Number	05-0780/2	Introduction Number	AB-130	Estimate Type	Original		
Subject				<u></u>			
Collection of fines and forfeitures by counties							

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

This bill allows counties to keep 40% of certain state fines and forfeitures not collected in the first 120 days after they are imposed, with two limitations: (a) it only applies if the county employs collection staff who work for more than one county; and (b) it will only remain in force for a two-year period after the new law takes effect.

For the fines and forfeitures covered by the bill, primarily criminal fines and non-traffic forfeitures, the county treasurer currently pays 90% to the state and retains 10% for the county as an administrative fee. The bill does not apply to state forfeitures, fines and penalties collected under chs. 341 to 347, 349 and 351 of the statutes (traffic statutes).

For the fiscal year July 2003 through June 2004, the amount collected from the fines and forfeitures covered by this bill was \$9,865,416; the counties retained \$986,542 and paid \$8,878,874 to the state. Under Article X, Section 2 of the Wisconsin Constitution, the state's proceeds from these fines and forfeitures are paid into the common school fund.

This bill could result in revenue loss for the state and an equal revenue gain for the counties. Assuming all collections had been subject to the conditions of this bill, that is, they were not collected in the first 120 days and the county employed collection staff that work for more than one county, then the state's share of the fines and forfeitures would be \$5,919,249, a decrease in revenue to the state of \$2,959,625. The counties' revenues would be increased by a like amount.

It is impossible to predict the exact fiscal impact of this bill because it can vary depending on how many counties employ collection staff who work for more than one county and on what portion of fines and forfeitures are collected within the first 120 days of being imposed. There is no reliable data available to estimate what percentage of these fines and forfeitures are collected in the 120 days after imposition. We are not aware of any counties that currently meet the requirements of this bill by employing collection staff who work for more than one county.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2005 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

Original Updat	ted Corrected	Supplemental				
LRB Number 05-0780/2	Introduction Numb	per AB-130				
Subject Collection of fines and forfeitures by coul. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts		ent (do not include in				
annualized fiscal effect):						
II. Annualized Costs:		Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:				
	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs				
A. State Costs by Category						
State Operations - Salaries and Fringe	es \$					
(FTE Position Changes)						
State Operations - Other Costs						
Local Assistance						
Aids to Individuals or Organizations						
TOTAL State Costs by Category	\$	\$				
B. State Costs by Source of Funds						
GPR		,				
FED						
PRO/PRS						
SEG/SEG-S						
III. State Revenues - Complete this on revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease		decrease state				
	Increased Rev	Decreased Rev				
GPR Taxes	\$	\$				
GPR Earned						
FED		·				
PRO/PRS						
SEG/SEG-S						
TOTAL State Revenues	\$	\$				
NET AN	NUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT					
	<u>State</u>	Local				
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$	\$				
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE	\$Indeterminate	\$Indeterminate				
Agency/Drengred Pro	[Authorized Cl	- I- :				
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date				
CTS/ Nancy Rottier (608) 267-9733	Nancy Rottier (608) 267-9733	3/11/2005				