2005 DRAFTING REQUEST

Senate Amendment (SA-AB207)

FE Sent For:

Received: 09/19/2005					Received By: csundber			
Wanted: As time permits					Identical to LRB:			
For: Jon Erpenbach (608) 266-6670					By/Representing: Tryg Knutson			
This file may be shown to any legislator: NO					Drafter: csundber			
May Contact:					Addl. Drafters:			
Subject: Occupational Reg misc					Extra Copies:			
Submit vi	a email: YES							
Requester's email: Sen.Erpenbach@legis.state.wi.us								
Carbon co	py (CC:) to:							
Pre Topic	c:			~				
No specifi	ic pre topic gi	ven						
Topic:	130 131 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			1		<u>Agricultura de la composición de la co</u>	. Sec. R.S.	
May requi	re pharmacist	employee to inf	form patient	, etc.				
Instruction	ons:				### ##################################			
See Attach	ned							
Drafting	History:							
Vers.	Drafted	Reviewed	<u>Typed</u>	Proofed	Submitted	<u>Jacketed</u>	Required	
/?	csundber 09/26/2005	wjackson 09/26/2005						
/1			jfrantze 09/26/2005	5	sbasford 09/26/2005	sbasford 09/26/2005		

<**END>**

2005 DRAFTING REQUEST

Senate Amendment (SA-AB207)

Received:	09/19/2005
-----------	------------

Received By: csundber

Wanted: As time permits

Identical to LRB:

For: Jon Erpenbach (608) 266-6670

By/Representing: Tryg Knutson

This file may be shown to any legislator: **NO**

Drafter: csundber

May Contact:

Addl. Drafters:

Subject:

Occupational Reg. - misc

Extra Copies:

Submit via email: YES

Requester's email:

Sen.Erpenbach@legis.state.wi.us

Carbon copy (CC:) to:

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

May require pharmacist employee to inform patient, etc.

Instructions:

See Attached

Drafting History:

Vers.

Drafted

Reviewed

Proofed

Submitted

Jacketed

Required

/?

csundber

/1 WLi 9/20

FE Sent For:

<END>

Due today 2005 - 2006 LEGISLATURE

alo 25/, LRBa0735/1 PJH:wlj:pg/ C75 17

SENATE

ASSEMBLY AMENDMENT , $\sqrt{}$

TO 2005 ASSEMBLY BILL 207



1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

At the locations indicated, amend the bill as follows:

1. Page 5, line 200 before that line insert:

"Section 4th, 111.337 (2) (c) of the statutes is created to read:

111.337 (2) To require an employee who is a pharmacist who refuses to participate in, or administer, deliver, or distribute a drug or device the pharmacist believes involves, an activity specified in sub. (1r) (b) 1. to 8., or states an intention to make such a refusal, to, as soon as practicable, inform, orally or in writing, a patient about the refusal, to describe for that patient the activity that is the subject of the refusal, and to make a good faith attempt to transfer or refer that patient to another pharmacist who does not refuse to participate in, or administer, deliver, or distribute a drug or device the pharmacist believes involves, the activity. This paragraph applies only to an activity that is relevant to a patient's condition."

 $\left(6\right)$

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

2. Page 15, line 23: delete "A person" and substitute "Except as provided in sub. (4), a".

3. Page 16, line 100 delete "A person" and substitute "Except as provided in sub. (4), a".

4. Page 17, line parter that line insert:

Subsections (2) and (3) do not apply to a pharmacist who refuses to participate in, or administer, deliver, or distribute a drug or device the pharmacist believes involves, an activity specified in sub. (2) (a) to (h), or states an intention to make such a refusal, unless the pharmacist, as soon as practicable, informs, orally or in writing, any patient of the pharmacist who has a condition for which the activity is relevant about the refusal, describes for each such patient the activity that is the subject of the refusal, and makes a good faith attempt to transfer or refer each such patient to another pharmacist who does not refuse to participate in, or administer, deliver, or distribute a drug or device the pharmacist believes involves, the activity."

(END)

DRAFTER'S NOTE FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRBa1025/1dn CTS:......

Sen. Erpenbach:

This is a Senate version of LRB0735/1. This amendment specifies that it is not employment discrimination to require a pharmacist who refuses to participate in one of the eight activities specified in the bill to inform a patient of the refusal, to describe the activity that is the subject of the refusal, and to make a good faith effort to transfer or refer the patient to a pharmacist who does not refuse to participate in the activity.

Christopher T. Sundberg Legislative Attorney Phone: (608) 266–9739

 $E-mail:\ christopher.sundberg@legis.state.wi.us$

DRAFTER'S NOTE FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRBa1025/1dn CTS:wlj:jf

September 26, 2005

Sen. Erpenbach:

This is a senate version of LRB0735/1. This amendment specifies that it is not employment discrimination to require a pharmacist who refuses to participate in one of the eight activities specified in the bill to inform a patient of the refusal, to describe the activity that is the subject of the refusal, and to make a good faith effort to transfer or refer the patient to a pharmacist who does not refuse to participate in the activity.

Christopher T. Sundberg Legislative Attorney Phone: (608) 266–9739

E-mail: christopher.sundberg@legis.state.wi.us