

State of Misconsin 2005 – 2006 **LEGISLATURE**

PJK:wlj:if

must run

BILL 2005

LRB-0204/1
Should be
the base document
used to make

LRB-0205/1 (they are companion bills)
Regen

2

AN ACT to create 632.28 of the statutes; relating to: environmental claims

under general liability insurance policies.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

This bill addresses various issues related to environmental claims under general liability insurance policies. An environmental claim is defined in the bill as a claim made by an insured under a general liability insurance policy for defense or indemnity based on the insured's liability or potential liability for bodily injury or property damage arising from pollution in this state as a result of a release of pollutants in this state.

The bill provides some general principles for interpreting a general liability insurance policy under which an environmental claim is made, including: 1) that Wisconsin law will be applied in all cases; 2) that any action taken by, or agreement made with, a governmental entity under which the insured is considered to be potentially liable for pollution in this state and that directs or requests the insured to take action with respect to the pollution is equivalent to a lawsuit under the terms of the policy; and 3) that the insurer may not deny coverage for reasonable fees, costs, or expenses incurred by the insured under a voluntary agreement between the insured and a governmental entity as a result of a directive or request by the governmental entity to take action with respect to pollution in this state on the ground that those expenses are voluntary payments by the insured.

The bill provides rules for interpreting an "all-sums" policy, which is defined as a particular type of general liability insurance policy under which the insurer agrees to indemnify or pay on behalf of the insured "all sums" that the insured

becomes legally obligated to pay as a result of a covered risk. The bill provides that, if an environmental claim under an all-sums policy is based on an assertion by a governmental entity or other third person that the insured is liable for bodily injury or property damage as a result of a release of pollutants in this state and only part of the injury or damage occurred or is alleged to have occurred during the policy period of that all-sums policy, unless the policy expressly requires proration of losses in that case, the insurer may not reduce coverage under the all-sums policy because the insured may have other insurance that covers the injury or damage that occurred outside of the policy period. In addition, if the injury or damage may have occurred during two or more policy periods under one or more all-sums policies: 1) each insurer that provided coverage under a policy period and that has a duty to defend is jointly and severally liable, up to the insurer's policy limits, for the full amount of the costs of defending the insured with respect to the claim; 2) each insurer that provided coverage and that has a duty to pay settlement or judgment costs is jointly and severally liable, up to the insurer's policy limits, for the full amount of the settlement or judgment with respect to the claim; and 3) the insured may designate a policy period and the policy or policies providing coverage for that period must provide full coverage up to the policy limits and if the claim is not fully satisfied, the insured may designate the order of other policy periods and each policy providing coverage for the designated periods must provide full coverage in the order designated until the claim is fully paid.

The bill provides that in any lawsuit based on an environmental claim the insured may elect to file suit against fewer than all of the insurers providing coverage for the claim; that there are rebuttable presumptions that certain specified costs are defense costs, and certain other specified costs are indemnity costs, payable by an insurer; that the court must award certain costs and fees to an insured if the insured is successful in litigating a coverage issue for an environmental claim; that an insurer that has not entered into a good faith settlement is liable up to the policy limits to any governmental entity that seeks to recover against the insured; and that such an insurer may be proceeded against directly or joined in any action brought by a governmental entity against the insured. The bill also provides that any insurer that pays (or that has paid before the effective date of the bill) an environmental claim may seek contribution from any other insurer that is potentially liable for the claim and that has not entered into a good faith settlement of the claim with the insured.

Finally, the bill addresses a lost policy that is subject to an environmental claim. It sets out duties for both the insurer and the insured in that situation, and provides that, if the insured is unable to produce evidence of the policy limits, the applicable limits will be the minimum limits that the insurer was offering at the time, but that, if the insured produces evidence of the limits, the insurer then has the burden to show that different limits apply.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

 2

SECTION 1.	632 28 of	the statutes is	s created	to read:
STACHON I.	004.40 01	uic suarace is	Julian	oo reaa.

- 632.28 Environmental claims under general liability insurance policies. (1) Definitions. In this section:
- (a) "All-sums policy" means a general liability insurance policy under which the insurer agrees, using such words as "all sums," "those sums," "the total sum," or similar words, to indemnify or pay on behalf of the insured all sums that the insured becomes legally obligated to pay as a result of a covered risk.
- (b) "Environmental claim" means a claim for defense or indemnity that is submitted under a general liability insurance policy by an insured and that is based on the insured's liability or potential liability for bodily injury or property damage arising from pollution in this state as a result of a release of pollutants in this state.
- (c) "Extended underlying assertion" means an assertion by a governmental entity or other 3rd person that a person who is or was insured under one or more all—sums policies is liable for bodily injury or property damage arising from pollution in this state as a result of a release of pollutants in this state and the injury or damage occurred or is alleged to have occurred partially but not entirely during the policy period of any one all—sums policy.
- (d) "Governmental entity" means any federal, state, or local government, or any instrumentality of any of them, or any trustee for natural resources designated under 42 USC 9607 (f) (2) or 40 CFR part 300, subpart G.
- (e) "Pollutant" means any solid, liquid, or gaseous irritant or contaminant, including smoke, vapor, soot, fumes, acids, alkalies, chemicals, asbestos, petroleum products, lead, products containing lead, and waste.
 - (f) "Pollution" means the presence of pollutants in or on land, air, or water.

(2) GENERAL INTERPRETATION PROVISIONS. Except as otherwise provided in the policy, all of the following apply to the interpretation of general liability insurance policies under which environmental claims are made:

- (a) Wisconsin law shall be applied in all cases involving environmental claims, regardless of the state in which the general liability insurance policy under which the claim is or was made was issued or delivered. Nothing in this section shall be interpreted to modify common law rules governing choice of law determinations for claims for defense or indemnity that are submitted under general liability insurance policies and that involve bodily injury or property damage arising from pollution outside this state.
- (b) Any action taken by a governmental entity against, or any agreement by a governmental entity with, an insured in which the governmental entity, in writing, notifies the insured that it considers the insured to be potentially liable for pollution in this state, or directs, requests, or agrees that the insured take action with respect to pollution in this state, is equivalent to a suit or lawsuit as those terms are used in the general liability insurance policy.
- (c) The insurer may not deny coverage for any reasonable and necessary fees, costs, and expenses, including costs and expenses of assessments, studies, and investigations, that are incurred by the insured under a voluntary written agreement, consent decree, or consent order between the insured and a governmental entity and as a result of a written direction, request, or agreement by the governmental entity to take action with respect to pollution in this state, on the ground that those expenses constitute voluntary payments by the insured.
- (3) Rules for interpreting all-sums policies. In the absence of an express provision requiring proration of losses for an environmental claim that is based on

an extended underlying assertion, all of the following apply to the interpretation of all-sums policies under which environmental claims that are based on extended underlying assertions are made:

- (a) An insurer may not reduce coverage otherwise available to an insured under an all-sums policy because the claim involves bodily injury or property damage that occurred, in part, outside the policy period of that all-sums policy, regardless of whether other valid or collectible insurance is available to the insured for the injury or damage that occurred outside that policy period.
- (b) If an environmental claim is submitted under one or more all-sums policies and involves bodily injury or property damage that occurred, or that may have occurred, during 2 or more policy periods, all of the following apply:
- 1. Each insurer that provided coverage for a policy period and that has a duty to defend under the policy is jointly and severally liable to the insured for the full amount of the insured's costs of defending against the extended underlying assertion, subject to any applicable limits of liability.
- 2. Each insurer that provided coverage for a policy period and that has a duty to pay any costs of a settlement or judgment under the policy is jointly and severally liable to the insured for the full amount of the settlement or judgment for the extended underlying assertion, subject to any applicable limits of liability.
- 3. The insured may designate a policy period, and the policy or policies providing coverage for that period, including primary, umbrella, and excess coverage, shall provide full coverage, subject to any applicable limits of liability. If the environmental claim is not fully satisfied from policies covering that policy period, the insured may designate the order of other policy periods, and the policy or policies providing coverage for each of those periods, including primary, umbrella,

SECTION 1

BILL

and excess coverage, shall provide full coverage, subject to any applicable lim	nits of
liability, in that order until the environmental claim is fully paid.	

- 4. If the insured makes a designation under subd. 3., the coverage available to the insured under a policy providing coverage for a designated policy period, including primary, umbrella, and excess coverage, may not be reduced by the actual or potential availability of coverage for other policy periods.
- (4) Suit on environmental claim. In any lawsuit involving an environmental claim, all of the following apply:
- (a) The insured may elect to file suit against fewer than all insurers providing coverage for the claim, notwithstanding ss. 803.03 and 806.04 (11).
 - (b) All of the following are rebuttable presumptions:
- 1. That the costs of preliminary assessments, remedial investigations, risk assessments, feasibility studies, site investigations, or other necessary investigation are defense costs payable by the insurer, subject to the provisions of the general liability insurance policy under which there is coverage for the costs.
- 2. That the costs of removal actions, remedial action, or natural resource damages are indemnity costs and that payment of those costs by the insurer reduces the insurer's applicable limit of liability on the insurer's indemnity obligations, subject to the provisions of the general liability insurance policy under which there is coverage for the costs.
- (c) The court shall award to an insured the sum of the costs, disbursements, and expenses, including accounting fees and reasonable attorney fees notwithstanding s. 814.04 (1), necessary to prepare for and participate in an action in which the insured successfully litigates a coverage issue for an environmental claim.

- (d) 1. An insurer under a general liability insurance policy under which an environmental claim is made that has not entered into a good faith settlement and release of the environmental claim with the insured is liable, up to the amounts stated in the policy, to any governmental entity that seeks to recover against the insured for pollution in this state, irrespective of whether the liability is presently established or is contingent and to become fixed or certain by final judgment.
- 2. An insurer under subd. 1. may be proceeded against directly and may be joined in any action brought by the governmental entity against the insured.
- (5) EFFECT OF SETTLEMENT. An insurer that enters into a good faith settlement and release of an environmental claim, or an insurer that has entered into a good faith settlement and release of an environmental claim before the effective date of this subsection [revisor inserts date], shall not be liable to any person for the claim. Entering into a good faith settlement and release of an environmental claim with an insurer does not reduce or otherwise impair the right of an insured to recover the full balance of its actual loss as provided in this section from an insurer that has not entered into a good faith settlement and release of the claim.
- (6) CONTRIBUTION AMONG INSURERS. An insurer that pays an environmental claim, or an insurer that paid an environmental claim before the effective date of this subsection [revisor inserts date], may seek contribution from any other insurer that is liable or potentially liable for the claim and that has not entered into a good faith settlement and release of the environmental claim with the insured.
- (7) LOST POLICY. (a) In this subsection, "lost policy" means all or any part of a general liability insurance policy that is subject to an environmental claim and that is ruined, destroyed, misplaced, or otherwise no longer possessed by the insured.

- (b) If, after a diligent investigation by an insured of the insured's own records, including computer records and the records of past and present agents of the insured, the insured is unable to reconstruct a lost policy, the insured may provide notice of the lost policy to the insurer that the insured believes issued the policy. The notice must be in writing and in sufficient detail to identify the person or entity claiming coverage, including the name of the alleged policyholder, if known, and any other material facts concerning the lost policy known to the person providing the notice.
- (c) An insurer must thoroughly and promptly investigate a notice of a lost policy and must provide to the insured claiming coverage under the lost policy all facts known or discovered during the investigation concerning the issuance and terms of the policy, including copies of documents establishing the issuance and terms of the policy.
- (d) For facilitating reconstruction, and determining the terms, of a lost policy, the insurer and the insured must comply with the following minimum standards:
- 1. Within 30 business days after receipt by the insurer of notice of a lost policy, the insurer shall commence an investigation into the insurer's records, including computer records, to determine whether the insurer issued the lost policy. If the insurer determines that it issued the policy, the insurer shall commence an investigation into the terms and conditions relevant to any environmental claim made under the policy.
- 2. The insurer and the insured shall cooperate with each other in determining the terms of a lost policy. The insurer and the insured shall provide to each other the facts known or discovered during an investigation, including the identity of any witnesses with knowledge of facts related to the issuance or existence of the lost

- policy, and shall provide each other with copies of any documents establishing facts related to the lost policy.
 - 3. An insurer that discovers information tending to show the existence of an insurance policy that applies to the claim shall provide an accurate copy of the terms of the policy or a reconstruction of the policy. If the insured discovers information tending to show the existence of an insurance policy that applies to the claim, the insurer shall provide an accurate copy of the terms of the policy or a reconstruction of the policy upon the request of the insured.
 - 4. If the insurer is not able to locate portions of the policy or determine its terms, conditions, or exclusions, the insurer shall provide copies of all insurance policy forms issued by the insurer during the applicable policy period that potentially apply to the environmental claim. The insurer shall identify which of the potentially applicable forms, if any, is most likely to have been issued by the insurer to the insured, or the insurer shall state why it is unable to identify the forms after a good faith search.
 - (e) If, based on information discovered in the investigation of a lost policy, the insured can show by a preponderance of the evidence that a general liability insurance policy was issued to the insured by the insurer but cannot produce evidence that tends to show the policy limits applicable to the policy, it shall be assumed that the minimum limits of coverage, including any exclusions to coverage, that the insurer offered during the period in question under such policies apply to the policy purchased by the insured. If, however, the insured produces evidence that tends to show the policy limits applicable to the policy, the insurer has the burden of proof to show by a preponderance of the evidence that different policy limits, including any exclusions to coverage, apply to the policy purchased by the insured.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

(8) Enforcement. Any person who is injured by a violation of this section by
an insurer may bring a civil action against the insurer to recover damages together
with costs, disbursements, accounting fees, if any, and reasonable attorney fees
incurred in bringing the action, notwithstanding s. 814.04 (1).

- (9) APPLICABILITY. (a) This section applies to all environmental claims that are not settled or finally adjudicated on or before the effective date of this subsection [revisor inserts date], regardless of when the claim arose.
- (b) This section applies to all environmental claims specified in par. (a), regardless of the state in which the general liability insurance policy under which the claim is or was made was issued or delivered.

(END)

Kussow, Matt

From:

Kussow, Matt

Sent:

Friday, January 21, 2005 1:45 PM Kahler, Pam

To:


Subject:

environmental claims draft

Hi Pam:

I'm sending over a one page document via page which revises the claims draft. Could you incorporate the changes into a /? under Kaufert's name as soon as possible. Thanks for all your help with this one.

Matt



Proposed Public Trust Revisions to Fair Claims Bill

01/21/05

- 1. Amend 632.28(1), Definitions as follows:
- (b) "Environmental claim" means a claim for defense or indemnity that is submitted under a general liability insurance policy by an insured and that is based on the insured's liability or potential liability for bodily injury or property damage arising from pollution occurring on the bed or banks of navigable waters within this state as a result of a release of pollutants in this state.

 (dm) "Navigable waters" has the meaning given under s. 30.01(4m).
- 2. Create a new subsection (1m) to follow subsection (1) as follows:
- (1m) PURPOSE. This section is enacted for the purpose of furthering the public trust in navigable waters.
- 3. Create a new subsection (10) as follows:
- (10) COMMON LAW RIGHTS. The enactment of the provisions of this section shall not be deemed an expression of legislative intent that the common law of this state was otherwise than as herein provided with respect to any comprehensive general liability policy not subject to this section.

706.09 (5)

Kahler, Pam

From:

Kahler, Pam

Sent:

Friday, January 21, 2005 3:55 PM

To:

Kussow, Matt

Subject:

RE: environmental claims draft

Matt:

I do have questions about these changes.

1. Currently under the draft, "pollution" is defined as the presence of pollutants *in or on land, air, or water*. Since we are now limiting pollution to that occurring *on the bed or banks of navigable waters*, does this mean only *on land*? How does this new language relate to the "in or on" part of the definition of pollution, and how does it relate to the "land, air, or water" part of the definition.?

2. We cannot include purpose or intent statements without approval from Steve Miller. I'm sure he will want to know the

reason for the purpose statement. What is it supposed to accomplish? Why is it needed?

3. What does proposed sub. (10) mean? Is it just saying that the new statutory provision does not apply, and therefore does not change the common law that applies, to the general liability insurance policies to which it does not apply? If so, that is implicit in any statute and need not be stated explicitly.

Pam

----Original Message-----

From:

Kussow, Matt

Sent:

Friday, January 21, 2005 1:45 PM

To:

Kahler, Pam

Subject:

environmental claims draft

Hi Pam:

I'm sending over a one page document via page which revises the claims draft. Could you incorporate the changes into a /? under Kaufert's name as soon as possible. Thanks for all your help with this one.

Matt

Kahler, Pam

From:

Kussow. Matt

Sent:

Monday, January 24, 2005 2:27 PM

To:

Kahler, Pam

Subject:

questions on the All Sums draft

> 1. Currently under the draft, "pollution" is defined as the presence of pollutants in or on land, air, or water. Since we are now limiting pollution to that occurring on the bed or banks of navigable waters, does this mean only on land? How does this new language relate to the "in or on" part of the definition of pollution, and how does it relate to the "land, air, or water" part of the of the definition.?

We are limiting claims for injury or damage to those arising from pollution on the bed or banks of navigable waters. We are not limiting the definition of pollution. Pollution may have reached the bed or banks from pollution to the land, air or water. For example, in the case of the Fox River, the environmental remediation requires dredging or capping of contaminants in the river bed. Those contaminants were the result of pollution carried to the river by wastewater discharges. In other cases however, contaminants to a river bed may be the result of deposition of air borne contaminants or contamination from adjacent land. If there is a clearer way to express that intent we would certainly be willing to discuss it.

> 2. We cannot include purpose or intent statements without approval from Steve Miller. I'm sure he will want to know the reason for the purpose statement. What is it supposed to accomplish? Why is it needed?

There are several reasons. First, it is important to clarify the state's interest in this matter. There are those that claim that the legislature should not be involved in any interpretation of contract language. In our opinion, that is incorrect. It is particularly incorrect where the state has an interest such as that arising under the public trust doctrine in the Wisconsin constitution. We need to say that. Second, it is not unusual for statutes to reference the public trust doctrine to aid in statutory construction. For example, the language in proposed new section (1m) follows language used as recently as last session in 2003 Act 118, creating Wis. Stat. §30.19(1g)(d)

> 3. What does proposed sub. (10) mean? Is it just saying that the new statutory provision does not apply, and therefore does not change the common law that applies, to the general liability insurance policies to which it does not apply? If so, that is implicit in any statute and need not be stated explicitly.

Sub.(10) is designed to ensure that a negative inference is not created for CGL policies outside the scope of this bill. While the state has a particular interest in ensuring that CGL policies involving public trust resources are interpreted as set forth in this bill, that declaration should not create the inference that CGL policies involving other environmental claims should be interpreted differently. Including such a provision is not a new statutory concept. The language in proposed new section (10) follows language used in Wis. Stat. §75.35(2)(e).

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

(8) Enforcement. Any person who is injured by a violation of this section by
an insurer may bring a civil action against the insurer to recover damages together
with costs, disbursements, accounting fees, if any, and reasonable attorney fees
incurred in bringing the action, notwithstanding s. 814.04 (1).

- (9) APPLICABILITY. (a) This section applies to all environmental claims that are not settled or finally adjudicated on or before the effective date of this subsection [revisor inserts date], regardless of when the claim arose.
- (b) This section applies to all environmental claims specified in par. (a), regardless of the state in which the general liability insurance policy under which the claim is or was made was issued or delivered.

(END)

PUBLIC RIGHTS AND INTEREST.

(7m) In applying the propositions randicated provisions section and partos subject to the section; that partos subject to this section; Ishael mure that public rights and interests are considered for the purpose of furthering the public trust in marigable waters.



State of Misconsin 2005 - 2006 LEGISLATURE

LRB-0205/1 PJKjwlj:rs

2005 BILL

Monted Feb. 2

1

2

on the bed or banks of a navigable water

AN ACT to create 632.28 of the statutes; relating to: environmental claims

under general liability insurance policies.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

This bill addresses various issues related to environmental claims under general liability insurance policies. An environmental claim is defined in the bill as a claim made by an insured under a general liability insurance policy for defense or indemnity based on the insured's liability or potential liability for bodily injury or property damage arising from property in this state as a result of a release of pollutants in this state.

The bill provides some general principles for interpreting a general liability insurance policy under which an environmental claim is made, including: 1) that Wisconsin law will be applied in all cases; 2) that any action taken by, or agreement made with, a governmental entity under which the insured is considered to be potentially liable for pollution in this state and that directs or requests the insured to take action with respect to the pollution is equivalent to a lawsuit under the terms of the policy; and 3) that the insurer may not deny coverage for reasonable fees, costs, or expenses incurred by the insured under a voluntary agreement between the insured and a governmental entity as a result of a directive or request by the governmental entity to take action with respect to pollution in this state on the ground that those expenses are voluntary payments by the insured.

The bill provides rules for interpreting an "all-sums" policy, which is defined as a particular type of general liability insurance policy under which the insurer agrees to indemnify or pay on behalf of the insured "all sums" that the insured

becomes legally obligated to pay as a result of a covered risk. The bill provides that, if an environmental claim under an all-sums policy is based on an assertion by a governmental entity or other third person that the insured is liable for bodily injury or property damage as a result of a release of pollutants in this state and only part of the injury or damage occurred or is alleged to have occurred during the policy period of that all-sums policy, unless the policy expressly requires proration of losses in that case, the insurer may not reduce coverage under the all-sums policy because the insured may have other insurance that covers the injury or damage that occurred outside of the policy period. In addition, if the injury or damage may have occurred during two or more policy periods under one or more all-sums policies: 1) each insurer that provided coverage under a policy period and that has a duty to defend is jointly and severally liable, up to the insurer's policy limits, for the full amount of the costs of defending the insured with respect to the claim; 2) each insurer that provided coverage and that has a duty to pay settlement or judgment costs is jointly and severally liable, up to the insurer's policy limits, for the full amount of the settlement or judgment with respect to the claim; and 3) the insured may designate a policy period and the policy or policies providing coverage for that period must provide full coverage up to the policy limits and if the claim is not fully satisfied, the insured may designate the order of other policy periods and each policy providing coverage for the designated periods must provide full coverage in the order designated until the claim is fully paid.

The bill provides that in any lawsuit based on an environmental claim the insured may elect to file suit against fewer than all of the insurers providing coverage for the claim; that there are rebuttable presumptions that certain specified costs are defense costs, and certain other specified costs are indemnity costs, payable by an insurer; that the court must award certain costs and fees to an insured if the insured is successful in litigating a coverage issue for an environmental claim; that an insurer that has not entered into a good faith settlement is liable up to the policy limits to any governmental entity that seeks to recover against the insured; and that such an insurer may be proceeded against directly or joined in any action brought by a governmental entity against the insured. The bill also provides that any insurer that pays (or that has paid before the effective date of the bill) an environmental claim may seek contribution from any other insurer that is potentially liable for the claim and that has not entered into a good faith settlement of the claim with the insured.

Finally, the bill addresses a lost policy that is subject to an environmental claim. It sets out duties for both the insurer and the insured in that situation, and provides that, if the insured is unable to produce evidence of the policy limits, the applicable limits will be the minimum limits that the insurer was offering at the time, but that, if the insured produces evidence of the limits, the insurer then has the burden to show that different limits apply.

1	SECTION 1.	632.28 of the	statutes is	created t	o read:

- 632.28 Environmental claims under general liability insurance policies. (1) Definitions. In this section:
- (a) "All—sums policy" means a general liability insurance policy under which the insurer agrees, using such words as "all sums," "those sums," "the total sum," or similar words, to indemnify or pay on behalf of the insured all sums that the insured becomes legally obligated to pay as a result of a covered risk.
- (b) "Environmental claim" means a claim for defense or indemnity that is submitted under a general liability insurance policy by an insured and that is based on the insured's liability or potential liability for bodily injury or property damage arising from pollution in this state as a result of a release of pollutants in this state.
- (c) "Extended underlying assertion" means an assertion by a governmental entity or other 3rd person that a person who is or was insured under one or more all—sums policies is liable for bodily injury or property damage arising from pollution in this state as a result of a release of pollutants in this state and the injury or damage occurred or is alleged to have occurred partially but not entirely during the policy period of any one all—sums policy.
- (d) "Governmental entity" means any federal, state, or local government, or any instrumentality of any of them, or any trustee for natural resources designated under 42 USC 9607 (f) (2) or 40 CFR part 300, subpart G.
- (e) "Pollutant" means any solid, liquid, or gaseous irritant or contaminant, including smoke, vapor, soot, fumes, acids, alkalies, chemicals, asbestos, petroleum products, lead, products containing lead, and waste.
 - (f) "Pollution" means the presence of pollutants in or on land, air, or water.

gusert 3-20

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

-4-) >provisions

- (2) GENERAL INTERPRETATION PROVISIONS. Except as otherwise provided in the 1 policy, all of the following apply to the interpretation of general liability insurance policies under which environmental claims are made: 3
 - (a) Wisconsin law shall be applied in all cases involving environmental claims, regardless of the state in which the general liability insurance policy under which the claim is or was made was issued or delivered. Nothing in this section shall be interpreted to modify common law rules governing choice of law determinations for claims for defense or indemnity that are submitted under general liability insurance policies and that involve bodily injury or property damage arising from pollution outside this state.
 - (b) Any action taken by a governmental entity against, or any agreement by a governmental entity with, an insured in which the governmental entity, in writing, notifies the insured that it considers the insured to be potentially liable for pollution in this state, or directs, requests, or agrees that the insured take action with respect to pollution in this state, is equivalent to a suit or lawsuit as those terms are used in the general liability insurance policy.
 - (c) The insurer may not deny coverage for any reasonable and necessary fees, costs, and expenses, including costs and expenses of assessments, studies, and investigations, that are incurred by the insured under a voluntary written agreement, consent decree, or consent order between the insured and a governmental entity and as a result of a written direction, request, or agreement by the governmental entity to take action with respect to pollution in this state, on the ground that those expenses constitute voluntary payments by the insured.
 - (3) RULES FOR INTERPRETING ALL-SUMS POLICIES. In the absence of an express provision requiring proration of losses for an environmental claim that is based on

7 rules

BILL

/	\sim)
/	1	1	/
	_		

an extended underlying assertion, all of the following apply to the interpretation of all-sums policies under which environmental claims that are based on extended underlying assertions are made:

- (a) An insurer may not reduce coverage otherwise available to an insured under an all-sums policy because the claim involves bodily injury or property damage that occurred, in part, outside the policy period of that all-sums policy, regardless of whether other valid or collectible insurance is available to the insured for the injury or damage that occurred outside that policy period.
- (b) If an environmental claim is submitted under one or more all-sums policies and involves bodily injury or property damage that occurred, or that may have occurred, during 2 or more policy periods, all of the following apply:
- 1. Each insurer that provided coverage for a policy period and that has a duty to defend under the policy is jointly and severally liable to the insured for the full amount of the insured's costs of defending against the extended underlying assertion, subject to any applicable limits of liability.
- 2. Each insurer that provided coverage for a policy period and that has a duty to pay any costs of a settlement or judgment under the policy is jointly and severally liable to the insured for the full amount of the settlement or judgment for the extended underlying assertion, subject to any applicable limits of liability.
- 3. The insured may designate a policy period, and the policy or policies providing coverage for that period, including primary, umbrella, and excess coverage, shall provide full coverage, subject to any applicable limits of liability. If the environmental claim is not fully satisfied from policies covering that policy period, the insured may designate the order of other policy periods, and the policy or policies providing coverage for each of those periods, including primary, umbrella,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

PJK:wlj:rs SECTION 1

- and excess coverage, shall provide full coverage, subject to any applicable limits of liability, in that order until the environmental claim is fully paid.
- 4. If the insured makes a designation under subd. 3., the coverage available to the insured under a policy providing coverage for a designated policy period, including primary, umbrella, and excess coverage, may not be reduced by the actual or potential availability of coverage for other policy periods.
- (4) SUIT ON ENVIRONMENTAL CLAIM. In any lawsuit involving an environmental claim, all of the following apply:
- (a) The insured may elect to file suit against fewer than all insurers providing coverage for the claim, notwithstanding ss. 803.03 and 806.04 (11).
 - (b) All of the following are rebuttable presumptions:
- 1. That the costs of preliminary assessments, remedial investigations, risk assessments, feasibility studies, site investigations, or other necessary investigation are defense costs payable by the insurer, subject to the provisions of the general liability insurance policy under which there is coverage for the costs.
- That the costs of removal actions, remedial action, or natural resource damages are indemnity costs and that payment of those costs by the insurer reduces the insurer's applicable limit of liability on the insurer's indemnity obligations. subject to the provisions of the general liability insurance policy under which there is coverage for the costs.
- (c) The court shall award to an insured the sum of the costs, disbursements, and expenses, including accounting fees and reasonable attorney fees notwithstanding s. 814.04 (1), necessary to prepare for and participate in an action in which the insured successfully litigates a coverage issue for an environmental claim.

- (d) 1. An insurer under a general liability insurance policy under which an environmental claim is made that has not entered into a good faith settlement and release of the environmental claim with the insured is liable, up to the amounts stated in the policy, to any governmental entity that seeks to recover against the insured for pollution in this state, irrespective of whether the liability is presently established or is contingent and to become fixed or certain by final judgment.
- 2. An insurer under subd. 1. may be proceeded against directly and may be joined in any action brought by the governmental entity against the insured.
- (5) EFFECT OF SETTLEMENT. An insurer that enters into a good faith settlement and release of an environmental claim, or an insurer that has entered into a good faith settlement and release of an environmental claim before the effective date of this subsection [revisor inserts date], shall not be liable to any person for the claim. Entering into a good faith settlement and release of an environmental claim with an insurer does not reduce or otherwise impair the right of an insured to recover the full balance of its actual loss as provided in this section from an insurer that has not entered into a good faith settlement and release of the claim.
- (6) CONTRIBUTION AMONG INSURERS. An insurer that pays an environmental claim, or an insurer that paid an environmental claim before the effective date of this subsection [revisor inserts date], may seek contribution from any other insurer that is liable or potentially liable for the claim and that has not entered into a good faith settlement and release of the environmental claim with the insured.
- (7) LOST POLICY. (a) In this subsection, "lost policy" means all or any part of a general liability insurance policy that is subject to an environmental claim and that is ruined, destroyed, misplaced, or otherwise no longer possessed by the insured.

 $\mathbf{2}$

PJK:wlj:rs SECTION 1

- (b) If, after a diligent investigation by an insured of the insured's own records, including computer records and the records of past and present agents of the insured, the insured is unable to reconstruct a lost policy, the insured may provide notice of the lost policy to the insurer that the insured believes issued the policy. The notice must be in writing and in sufficient detail to identify the person or entity claiming coverage, including the name of the alleged policyholder, if known, and any other material facts concerning the lost policy known to the person providing the notice.
- (c) An insurer must thoroughly and promptly investigate a notice of a lost policy and must provide to the insured claiming coverage under the lost policy all facts known or discovered during the investigation concerning the issuance and terms of the policy, including copies of documents establishing the issuance and terms of the policy.
- (d) For facilitating reconstruction, and determining the terms, of a lost policy, the insurer and the insured must comply with the following minimum standards:
- 1. Within 30 business days after receipt by the insurer of notice of a lost policy, the insurer shall commence an investigation into the insurer's records, including computer records, to determine whether the insurer issued the lost policy. If the insurer determines that it issued the policy, the insurer shall commence an investigation into the terms and conditions relevant to any environmental claim made under the policy.
- 2. The insurer and the insured shall cooperate with each other in determining the terms of a lost policy. The insurer and the insured shall provide to each other the facts known or discovered during an investigation, including the identity of any witnesses with knowledge of facts related to the issuance or existence of the lost

- policy, and shall provide each other with copies of any documents establishing facts related to the lost policy.
- 3. An insurer that discovers information tending to show the existence of an insurance policy that applies to the claim shall provide an accurate copy of the terms of the policy or a reconstruction of the policy. If the insured discovers information tending to show the existence of an insurance policy that applies to the claim, the insurer shall provide an accurate copy of the terms of the policy or a reconstruction of the policy upon the request of the insured.
- 4. If the insurer is not able to locate portions of the policy or determine its terms, conditions, or exclusions, the insurer shall provide copies of all insurance policy forms issued by the insurer during the applicable policy period that potentially apply to the environmental claim. The insurer shall identify which of the potentially applicable forms, if any, is most likely to have been issued by the insurer to the insured, or the insurer shall state why it is unable to identify the forms after a good faith search.
- (e) If, based on information discovered in the investigation of a lost policy, the insured can show by a preponderance of the evidence that a general liability insurance policy was issued to the insured by the insurer but cannot produce evidence that tends to show the policy limits applicable to the policy, it shall be assumed that the minimum limits of coverage, including any exclusions to coverage, that the insurer offered during the period in question under such policies apply to the policy purchased by the insured. If, however, the insured produces evidence that tends to show the policy limits applicable to the policy, the insurer has the burden of proof to show by a preponderance of the evidence that different policy limits, including any exclusions to coverage, apply to the policy purchased by the insured.

Queent 10-1)

ENFORCEMENT. Any person who is injured by a violation of this section by an insurer may bring a civil action against the insurer to recover damages together with costs, disbursements, accounting fees, if any, and reasonable attorney fees incurred in bringing the action, notwithstanding s. 814.04 (1).

6 APPLICABILITY. (a) This section applies to all environmental claims that are not settled or finally adjudicated on or before the effective date of this subsection

7 [revisor inserts date], regardless of when the claim arose.

(b) This section applies to all environmental claims specified in par. (a), regardless of the state in which the general liability insurance policy under which the claim is or was made was issued or delivered.

11

8

9

10

(END)

Quesat 10-101

J-uti

2005–2006 DRAFTING INSERT FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

INSERT 3-11

the presence of pollutants on the bed or banks of a navigable water

(END OF INSERT 3-11)

INSERT 3-20

2 (dm) "Navigable waters" has the meaning given in s. 30.01 (4m).

(END OF INSERT 3-20)

INSERT 10-1

3 (8) PUBLIC RIGHTS AND INTEREST. In applying the provisions under this section,
4 any party or court acting under this section shall ensure that public rights and
5 interests are considered for the purpose of furthering the public trust in navigable
6 waters.

(END OF INSERT 10-1)

INSERT 10-10

7 (11) CONSTRUCTION. Nothing in this section shall be construed to raise or support any inference that it is the intention of the legislature to change the common law of this state with respect to the interpretation of general liability insurance policies not subject to this section.

(END OF INSERT 10-10)

DRAFTER'S NOTE FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRB-0205/2dn PJK:wlj:rs

Because an environmental claim is now defined in terms of the presence of pollutants on the bed or banks of a navigable water, the use of the term "pollution" elsewhere in the draft may be broader than you wish. "Pollution," as defined in the draft, may occur in or on land, air, or water. You may wish to define pollution in terms of the presence of pollutants on the bed or banks of a navigable water if that is the limited meaning you intend wherever the word "pollution" is used in the draft. See, for example, proposed s. 632.28 (2) (b) and (c). The pollution referred to in those paragraphs may not be the pollution about which the environmental claim is made.

Pamela J. Kahler Senior Legislative Attorney Phone: (608) 266–2682

E-mail: pam.kahler@legis.state.wi.us

DRAFTER'S NOTE FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRB-0205/2dn PJK:wlj:jf

January 31, 2005

Because an environmental claim is now defined in terms of the presence of pollutants on the bed or banks of a navigable water, the use of the term "pollution" elsewhere in the draft may be broader than you wish. "Pollution," as defined in the draft, may occur in or on land, air, or water. You may wish to define pollution in terms of the presence of pollutants on the bed or banks of a navigable water if that is the limited meaning you intend wherever the word "pollution" is used in the draft. See, for example, proposed s. 632.28 (2) (b) and (c). The pollution referred to in those paragraphs may not be the pollution about which the environmental claim is made.

Pamela J. Kahler Senior Legislative Attorney Phone: (608) 266–2682

E-mail: pam.kahler@legis.state.wi.us

RESEARCH APPENDIX - Draft Transfer/Copy Request Form

- Atty's please complete this form and give to Mike Barman

(Request Made By:) (Date:
O Please <u>transfer</u> the drafting file for
2003 LRB 4514 to the drafting file
for 2005 LRB 205
The final version of the 2003 draft and the final Request Sheet will copied on yellow paper, and returned to the original 2003 drafting file. A new cover sheet will be created/included listing the new location of the drafting file's "guts".
For research purposes, because the 2003 draft was incorporated into a 2005 draft, the complete drafting file will be transferred, as a separate appendix, to the new 2005 drafting file. This request form will be inserted into the "guts" of the 2005 draft. If introduced, the appendix will be scanned/added to the electronic drafting file folder.
OR
O Please copy the drafting file for
2005 LRB and place it in the
drafting the for 1006 LRB

For research purpose recause the original? A chaft was incorporated into another 2005 draft, the original drafting file will be copied on yellow up paydamened into centered/reduced to 90%) and added, as a separate appendix, to the new 2005 drafting file. It is request form will be inserted into the "guts" of the new 2005 draft. If introduced the appendix will be scalaned/added to the electronic drafting file folder.

The original drafting file will then returned, intact to its folder and filed. For future reference, a copy of the transfer/copy request form will also be added to the "guts" of the original draft.

Memo

To: Pam Kahler

LRB-Drafting

From: Matt Kussow

Rep. Kaufert's Office

266-5719

Small addition to the environmental claims draft. We want to add the attached tipping fee language in a /3. There's a huge rush on this.

SECTION 1.

Section 292.71 of the statutes is created to read:

292.71 Payments for Department Costs Associated with the Remediation of Navigable Waters

In addition to any other provision in this chapter, the department may assess and collect fees from persons responsible for remediation under this chapter or under the federal comprehensive environmental response, compensation and liability act of 1980, 42 USC 9601 et seq. when the remediation involves in whole or in part the removal of contaminated material from the bed or bank of navigable waters, if the amount of contaminated materials removed from the bed or bank of navigable waters exceeds at least 10,000 tons. Any fee assessed under this section shall not exceed an amount of \$0.25 per ton of contaminated material removed from the bed or bank of navigable waters. Such fees shall be used to offset the cost of the department's activities under this chapter related to the remediation of navigable waters.

2005 - 2006 LEGISLATURE

Wanted Thurs 2/3

BILL 2005

LRB-0205/2 3 PJK&MGG:wlj:jf

regenerato

AN ACT to create 632.28 of the statutes; relating to: environmental claims

under general liability insurance policies & Sees related to removal of contaminated material from a navigable water and

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau Making an appropriating

This bill addresses various issues related to environmental claims under general liability insurance policies. An environmental claim is defined in the bill as a claim made by an insured under a general liability insurance policy for defense or indemnity based on the insured's liability or potential liability for bodily injury or property damage arising from the presence of pollutants on the bed or banks of a navigable water in this state as a result of a release of pollutants in this state.

The bill provides some general principles for interpreting a general liability insurance policy under which an environmental claim is made, including: 1) that Wisconsin law will be applied in all cases; 2) that any action taken by, or agreement made with, a governmental entity under which the insured is considered to be potentially liable for pollution in this state and that directs or requests the insured to take action with respect to the pollution is equivalent to a lawsuit under the terms of the policy; and 3) that the insurer may not deny coverage for reasonable fees, costs, or expenses incurred by the insured under a voluntary agreement between the insured and a governmental entity as a result of a directive or request by the governmental entity to take action with respect to pollution in this state on the ground that those expenses are voluntary payments by the insured.

The bill provides rules for interpreting an "all-sums" policy, which is defined as a particular type of general liability insurance policy under which the insurer agrees to indemnify or pay on behalf of the insured "all sums" that the insured

1

becomes legally obligated to pay as a result of a covered risk. The bill provides that, if an environmental claim under an all-sums policy is based on an assertion by a governmental entity or other third person that the insured is liable for bodily injury or property damage as a result of a release of pollutants in this state and only part of the injury or damage occurred or is alleged to have occurred during the policy period of that all-sums policy, unless the policy expressly requires proration of losses in that case, the insurer may not reduce coverage under the all-sums policy because the insured may have other insurance that covers the injury or damage that occurred outside of the policy period. In addition, if the injury or damage may have occurred during two or more policy periods under one or more all-sums policies: 1) each insurer that provided coverage under a policy period and that has a duty to defend is jointly and severally liable, up to the insurer's policy limits, for the full amount of the costs of defending the insured with respect to the claim; 2) each insurer that provided coverage and that has a duty to pay settlement or judgment costs is jointly and severally liable, up to the insurer's policy limits, for the full amount of the settlement or judgment with respect to the claim; and 3) the insured may designate a policy period and the policy or policies providing coverage for that period must provide full coverage up to the policy limits and if the claim is not fully satisfied, the insured may designate the order of other policy periods and each policy providing coverage for the designated periods must provide full coverage in the order designated until the claim is fully paid.

The bill provides that in any lawsuit based on an environmental claim the insured may elect to file suit against fewer than all of the insurers providing coverage for the claim; that there are rebuttable presumptions that certain specified costs are defense costs, and certain other specified costs are indemnity costs, payable by an insurer; that the court must award certain costs and fees to an insured if the insured is successful in litigating a coverage issue for an environmental claim; that an insurer that has not entered into a good faith settlement is liable up to the policy limits to any governmental entity that seeks to recover against the insured; and that such an insurer may be proceeded against directly or joined in any action brought by a governmental entity against the insured. The bill also provides that any insurer that pays (or that has paid before the effective date of the bill) an environmental claim may seek contribution from any other insurer that is potentially liable for the claim and that has not entered into a good faith settlement of the claim with the insured.

Finally the bill addresses a lost policy that is subject to an environmental claim. It sets out duties for both the insurer and the insured in that situation, and provides that, if the insured is unable to produce evidence of the policy limits, the applicable limits will be the minimum limits that the insurer was offering at the time, but that, if the insured produces evidence of the limits, the insurer then has the burden to show that different limits apply.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SECTION 1. 632.28 of the statutes is created to read:

Environmental claims under general liability insurance 632.28 policies. (1) DEFINITIONS. In this section:

-3-

- (a) "All-sums policy" means a general liability insurance policy under which the insurer agrees, using such words as "all sums," "those sums," "the total sum," or similar words, to indemnify or pay on behalf of the insured all sums that the insured becomes legally obligated to pay as a result of a covered risk.
- (b) "Environmental claim" means a claim for defense or indemnity that is submitted under a general liability insurance policy by an insured and that is based on the insured's liability or potential liability for bodily injury or property damage arising from the presence of pollutants on the bed or banks of a navigable water in this state as a result of a release of pollutants in this state.
- (c) "Extended underlying assertion" means an assertion by a governmental entity or other 3rd person that a person who is or was insured under one or more all-sums policies is liable for bodily injury or property damage arising from pollution in this state as a result of a release of pollutants in this state and the injury or damage occurred or is alleged to have occurred partially but not entirely during the policy period of any one all-sums policy.
- (d) "Governmental entity" means any federal, state, or local government, or any instrumentality of any of them, or any trustee for natural resources designated under 42 USC 9607 (f) (2) or 40 CFR part 300, subpart G.
 - (dm) "Navigable waters" has the meaning given in s. 30.01 (4m).
- (e) "Pollutant" means any solid, liquid, or gaseous irritant or contaminant, including smoke, vapor, soot, fumes, acids, alkalies, chemicals, asbestos, petroleum products, lead, products containing lead, and waste.

- (f) "Pollution" means the presence of pollutants in or on land, air, or water.
- (2) GENERAL INTERPRETATION PROVISIONS. Except as otherwise provided in the policy, all of the following provisions apply to the interpretation of general liability insurance policies under which environmental claims are made:
- (a) Wisconsin law shall be applied in all cases involving environmental claims, regardless of the state in which the general liability insurance policy under which the claim is or was made was issued or delivered. Nothing in this section shall be interpreted to modify common law rules governing choice of law determinations for claims for defense or indemnity that are submitted under general liability insurance policies and that involve bodily injury or property damage arising from pollution outside this state.
- (b) Any action taken by a governmental entity against, or any agreement by a governmental entity with, an insured in which the governmental entity, in writing, notifies the insured that it considers the insured to be potentially liable for pollution in this state, or directs, requests, or agrees that the insured take action with respect to pollution in this state, is equivalent to a suit or lawsuit as those terms are used in the general liability insurance policy.
- (c) The insurer may not deny coverage for any reasonable and necessary fees, costs, and expenses, including costs and expenses of assessments, studies, and investigations, that are incurred by the insured under a voluntary written agreement, consent decree, or consent order between the insured and a governmental entity and as a result of a written direction, request, or agreement by the governmental entity to take action with respect to pollution in this state, on the ground that those expenses constitute voluntary payments by the insured.

- (3) Rules for interpreting all—sums policies. In the absence of an express provision requiring proration of losses for an environmental claim that is based on an extended underlying assertion, all of the following rules apply to the interpretation of all—sums policies under which environmental claims that are based on extended underlying assertions are made:
- (a) An insurer may not reduce coverage otherwise available to an insured under an all-sums policy because the claim involves bodily injury or property damage that occurred, in part, outside the policy period of that all-sums policy, regardless of whether other valid or collectible insurance is available to the insured for the injury or damage that occurred outside that policy period.
- (b) If an environmental claim is submitted under one or more all-sums policies and involves bodily injury or property damage that occurred, or that may have occurred, during 2 or more policy periods, all of the following apply:
- 1. Each insurer that provided coverage for a policy period and that has a duty to defend under the policy is jointly and severally liable to the insured for the full amount of the insured's costs of defending against the extended underlying assertion, subject to any applicable limits of liability.
- 2. Each insurer that provided coverage for a policy period and that has a duty to pay any costs of a settlement or judgment under the policy is jointly and severally liable to the insured for the full amount of the settlement or judgment for the extended underlying assertion, subject to any applicable limits of liability.
- 3. The insured may designate a policy period, and the policy or policies providing coverage for that period, including primary, umbrella, and excess coverage, shall provide full coverage, subject to any applicable limits of liability. If the environmental claim is not fully satisfied from policies covering that policy

- period, the insured may designate the order of other policy periods, and the policy or policies providing coverage for each of those periods, including primary, umbrella, and excess coverage, shall provide full coverage, subject to any applicable limits of liability, in that order until the environmental claim is fully paid.
- 4. If the insured makes a designation under subd. 3., the coverage available to the insured under a policy providing coverage for a designated policy period, including primary, umbrella, and excess coverage, may not be reduced by the actual or potential availability of coverage for other policy periods.
- (4) Suit on environmental claim. In any lawsuit involving an environmental claim, all of the following apply:
- (a) The insured may elect to file suit against fewer than all insurers providing coverage for the claim, notwithstanding ss. 803.03 and 806.04 (11).
 - (b) All of the following are rebuttable presumptions:
- 1. That the costs of preliminary assessments, remedial investigations, risk assessments, feasibility studies, site investigations, or other necessary investigation are defense costs payable by the insurer, subject to the provisions of the general liability insurance policy under which there is coverage for the costs.
- 2. That the costs of removal actions, remedial action, or natural resource damages are indemnity costs and that payment of those costs by the insurer reduces the insurer's applicable limit of liability on the insurer's indemnity obligations, subject to the provisions of the general liability insurance policy under which there is coverage for the costs.
- (c) The court shall award to an insured the sum of the costs, disbursements, and expenses, including accounting fees and reasonable attorney fees notwithstanding

s. 814.04 (1), necessary to prepare for and participate in an action in which the insured successfully litigates a coverage issue for an environmental claim.

- (d) 1. An insurer under a general liability insurance policy under which an environmental claim is made that has not entered into a good faith settlement and release of the environmental claim with the insured is liable, up to the amounts stated in the policy, to any governmental entity that seeks to recover against the insured for pollution in this state, irrespective of whether the liability is presently established or is contingent and to become fixed or certain by final judgment.
- 2. An insurer under subd. 1. may be proceeded against directly and may be joined in any action brought by the governmental entity against the insured.
- (5) EFFECT OF SETTLEMENT. An insurer that enters into a good faith settlement and release of an environmental claim, or an insurer that has entered into a good faith settlement and release of an environmental claim before the effective date of this subsection [revisor inserts date], shall not be liable to any person for the claim. Entering into a good faith settlement and release of an environmental claim with an insurer does not reduce or otherwise impair the right of an insured to recover the full balance of its actual loss as provided in this section from an insurer that has not entered into a good faith settlement and release of the claim.
- (6) CONTRIBUTION AMONG INSURERS. An insurer that pays an environmental claim, or an insurer that paid an environmental claim before the effective date of this subsection [revisor inserts date], may seek contribution from any other insurer that is liable or potentially liable for the claim and that has not entered into a good faith settlement and release of the environmental claim with the insured.

- (7) LOST POLICY. (a) In this subsection, "lost policy" means all or any part of a general liability insurance policy that is subject to an environmental claim and that is ruined, destroyed, misplaced, or otherwise no longer possessed by the insured.
- (b) If, after a diligent investigation by an insured of the insured's own records, including computer records and the records of past and present agents of the insured, the insured is unable to reconstruct a lost policy, the insured may provide notice of the lost policy to the insurer that the insured believes issued the policy. The notice must be in writing and in sufficient detail to identify the person or entity claiming coverage, including the name of the alleged policyholder, if known, and any other material facts concerning the lost policy known to the person providing the notice.
- (c) An insurer must thoroughly and promptly investigate a notice of a lost policy and must provide to the insured claiming coverage under the lost policy all facts known or discovered during the investigation concerning the issuance and terms of the policy, including copies of documents establishing the issuance and terms of the policy.
- (d) For facilitating reconstruction, and determining the terms, of a lost policy, the insurer and the insured must comply with the following minimum standards:
- 1. Within 30 business days after receipt by the insurer of notice of a lost policy, the insurer shall commence an investigation into the insurer's records, including computer records, to determine whether the insurer issued the lost policy. If the insurer determines that it issued the policy, the insurer shall commence an investigation into the terms and conditions relevant to any environmental claim made under the policy.
- 2. The insurer and the insured shall cooperate with each other in determining the terms of a lost policy. The insurer and the insured shall provide to each other the

- facts known or discovered during an investigation, including the identity of any witnesses with knowledge of facts related to the issuance or existence of the lost policy, and shall provide each other with copies of any documents establishing facts related to the lost policy.
- 3. An insurer that discovers information tending to show the existence of an insurance policy that applies to the claim shall provide an accurate copy of the terms of the policy or a reconstruction of the policy. If the insured discovers information tending to show the existence of an insurance policy that applies to the claim, the insurer shall provide an accurate copy of the terms of the policy or a reconstruction of the policy upon the request of the insured.
- 4. If the insurer is not able to locate portions of the policy or determine its terms, conditions, or exclusions, the insurer shall provide copies of all insurance policy forms issued by the insurer during the applicable policy period that potentially apply to the environmental claim. The insurer shall identify which of the potentially applicable forms, if any, is most likely to have been issued by the insurer to the insured, or the insurer shall state why it is unable to identify the forms after a good faith search.
- (e) If, based on information discovered in the investigation of a lost policy, the insured can show by a preponderance of the evidence that a general liability insurance policy was issued to the insured by the insurer but cannot produce evidence that tends to show the policy limits applicable to the policy, it shall be assumed that the minimum limits of coverage, including any exclusions to coverage, that the insurer offered during the period in question under such policies apply to the policy purchased by the insured. If, however, the insured produces evidence that tends to show the policy limits applicable to the policy, the insurer has the burden

of proof to show by a preponderance of the evidence that different policy limits, including any exclusions to coverage, apply to the policy purchased by the insured.

- (8) Public Rights and interest. In applying the provisions under this section, any party or court acting under this section shall ensure that public rights and interests are considered for the purpose of furthering the public trust in navigable waters.
- (9) Enforcement. Any person who is injured by a violation of this section by an insurer may bring a civil action against the insurer to recover damages together with costs, disbursements, accounting fees, if any, and reasonable attorney fees incurred in bringing the action, notwithstanding s. 814.04 (1).
- (10) APPLICABILITY. (a) This section applies to all environmental claims that are not settled or finally adjudicated on or before the effective date of this subsection [revisor inserts date], regardless of when the claim arose.
- (b) This section applies to all environmental claims specified in par. (a), regardless of the state in which the general liability insurance policy under which the claim is or was made was issued or delivered.
- (11) CONSTRUCTION. Nothing in this section shall be construed to raise or support any inference that it is the intention of the legislature to change the common law of this state with respect to the interpretation of general liability insurance policies not subject to this section.

2005-2006 DRAFTING INSERT FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

Analysis insert

The bill as authorizes the Department of Natural Resources (DNR) to collect fees from a person who is responsible, under state or federal law, for an environmental cleanup requiring the removal of at least 10,000 tons of contaminated material from the bed or banks of a navigable water. The fee may not exceed 25 cents per ton. DNR may use the fees for activities related to environmental cleanups in and adjacent to navigable waters.

Insert 3-1

SECTION 20.370 (2) (dj) of the statutes is created to read:

20.370 (2) (dj) Solid waste management — navigable waters. All moneys received under s. 292.71 for activities under ch. 292 related to remedial action in and adjacent to navigable waters.

SECTION 2 292.71 of the statutes is created to read:

navigable water. The department may assess and collect fees from a person responsible, under this chapter or the federal Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, 42 USC 9601 to 9675, for remedial action involving the removal of at least 10,000 tons of contaminated material from the bed or banks of a navigable water. The department may not assess a fee under this section that exceeds 25 cents per ton of contaminated material removed from the bed or banks of a navigable water. Fees collected under this section shall be credited to the appropriation account under s. 20.270 (2) (dj).

Basford, Sarah

From:

Kussow, Matt

Sent:

Tuesday, March 01, 2005 9:22 AM LRB.Legal

To:

Please jacket LRB-0205/3 for introduction into the Assembly. I really need this by 10am if possible. Thanks for the rush.



1

2

3

4

State of Misconsin 2005 - 2006 LEGISLATURE

Today

2005 BILL

LRB-0205/8 4 PJK/MGG/RCT:wlj:

* YMV

See p. 3

AN ACT to create 20.370 (2) (dj), 292.71 and 632.28 of the statutes; relating to: environmental claims under general liability insurance policies, fees related to removal of contaminated material from a navigable water, and making an appropriation.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

This bill addresses various issues related to environmental claims under general liability insurance policies. An environmental claim is defined in the bill as a claim made by an insured under a general liability insurance policy for defense or indemnity based on the insured's liability or potential liability for bodily injury or property damage arising from the presence of pollutants on the bed or banks of a navigable water in this state as a result of a release of pollutants in this state.

The bill provides some general principles for interpreting a general liability insurance policy under which an environmental claim is made, including: 1) that Wisconsin law will be applied in all cases; 2) that any action taken by, or agreement made with, a governmental entity under which the insured is considered to be potentially liable for pollution in this state and that directs or requests the insured to take action with respect to the pollution is equivalent to a lawsuit under the terms of the policy; and 3) that the insurer may not deny coverage for reasonable fees, costs, or expenses incurred by the insured under a voluntary agreement between the insured and a governmental entity as a result of a directive or request by the governmental entity to take action with respect to pollution in this state on the ground that those expenses are voluntary payments by the insured.

The bill provides rules for interpreting an "all-sums" policy, which is defined as a particular type of general liability insurance policy under which the insurer agrees to indemnify or pay on behalf of the insured "all sums" that the insured becomes legally obligated to pay as a result of a covered risk. The bill provides that, if an environmental claim under an all-sums policy is based on an assertion by a governmental entity or other third person that the insured is liable for bodily injury or property damage as a result of a release of pollutants in this state and only part of the injury or damage occurred or is alleged to have occurred during the policy period of that all-sums policy, unless the policy expressly requires proration of losses in that case, the insurer may not reduce coverage under the all-sums policy because the insured may have other insurance that covers the injury or damage that occurred outside of the policy period. In addition, if the injury or damage may have occurred during two or more policy periods under one or more all-sums policies: 1) each insurer that provided coverage under a policy period and that has a duty to defend is jointly and severally liable, up to the insurer's policy limits, for the full amount of the costs of defending the insured with respect to the claim; 2) each insurer that provided coverage and that has a duty to pay settlement or judgment costs is jointly and severally liable, up to the insurer's policy limits, for the full amount of the settlement or judgment with respect to the claim; and 3) the insured may designate a policy period and the policy or policies providing coverage for that period must provide full coverage up to the policy limits and if the claim is not fully satisfied, the insured may designate the order of other policy periods and each policy providing coverage for the designated periods must provide full coverage in the order designated until the claim is fully paid.

The bill provides that in any lawsuit based on an environmental claim the insured may elect to file suit against fewer than all of the insurers providing coverage for the claim; that there are rebuttable presumptions that certain specified costs are defense costs, and certain other specified costs are indemnity costs, payable by an insurer; that the court must award certain costs and fees to an insured if the insured is successful in litigating a coverage issue for an environmental claim; that an insurer that has not entered into a good faith settlement is liable up to the policy limits to any governmental entity that seeks to recover against the insured; and that such an insurer may be proceeded against directly or joined in any action brought by a governmental entity against the insured. The bill also provides that any insurer that pays (or that has paid before the effective date of the bill) an environmental claim may seek contribution from any other insurer that is potentially liable for the claim and that has not entered into a good faith settlement of the claim with the insured.

The bill also addresses a lost policy that is subject to an environmental claim. It sets out duties for both the insurer and the insured in that situation, and provides that, if the insured is unable to produce evidence of the policy limits, the applicable limits will be the minimum limits that the insurer was offering at the time, but that, if the insured produces evidence of the limits, the insurer then has the burden to show that different limits apply.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

Finally, the bill authorizes the Department of Natural Resources (DNR) to collect fees from a person who is responsible, under state or federal law, for an environmental cleanup requiring the removal of at least 10,000 tons of contaminated material from the bed or banks of a navigable water. The fee may not exceed 25 cents per ton. DNR may use the fees for activities related to environmental cleanups in and adjacent to navigable waters.

For further information see the *state* fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. 20.370 (2) (dj) of the statutes is created to read:

20.370 (2) (dj) Solid waste management — navigable waters. All moneys received under s. 292.71 for activities under ch. 292 related to remedial action in and adjacent to navigable waters.

Section 2. 292.71 of the statutes is created to read:

navigable water. The department may assess and collect fees from a person responsible, under this chapter or the federal Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, 42 USC 9601 to 9675, for remedial action involving the removal of at least 10,000 tons of contaminated material from the bed or banks of a navigable water. The department may not assess a fee under this section that exceeds 25 cents per ton of contaminated material removed from the bed or banks of a navigable water. Fees collected under this section shall be credited to the appropriation account under s. 20,470 (2) (dj).

SECTION 3. 632.28 of the statutes is created to read:

632.28 Environmental claims under general liability insurance policies. (1) Definitions. In this section:

- (a) "All-sums policy" means a general liability insurance policy under which the insurer agrees, using such words as "all sums," "those sums," "the total sum," or similar words, to indemnify or pay on behalf of the insured all sums that the insured becomes legally obligated to pay as a result of a covered risk.
- (b) "Environmental claim" means a claim for defense or indemnity that is submitted under a general liability insurance policy by an insured and that is based on the insured's liability or potential liability for bodily injury or property damage arising from the presence of pollutants on the bed or banks of a navigable water in this state as a result of a release of pollutants in this state.
- (c) "Extended underlying assertion" means an assertion by a governmental entity or other 3rd person that a person who is or was insured under one or more all—sums policies is liable for bodily injury or property damage arising from pollution in this state as a result of a release of pollutants in this state and the injury or damage occurred or is alleged to have occurred partially but not entirely during the policy period of any one all—sums policy.
- (d) "Governmental entity" means any federal, state, or local government, or any instrumentality of any of them, or any trustee for natural resources designated under 42 USC 9607 (f) (2) or 40 CFR part 300, subpart G.
 - (dm) "Navigable waters" has the meaning given in s. 30.01 (4m).
- (e) "Pollutant" means any solid, liquid, or gaseous irritant or contaminant, including smoke, vapor, soot, fumes, acids, alkalies, chemicals, asbestos, petroleum products, lead, products containing lead, and waste.
 - (f) "Pollution" means the presence of pollutants in or on land, air, or water.

- (2) GENERAL INTERPRETATION PROVISIONS. Except as otherwise provided in the policy, all of the following provisions apply to the interpretation of general liability insurance policies under which environmental claims are made:
- (a) Wisconsin law shall be applied in all cases involving environmental claims, regardless of the state in which the general liability insurance policy under which the claim is or was made was issued or delivered. Nothing in this section shall be interpreted to modify common law rules governing choice of law determinations for claims for defense or indemnity that are submitted under general liability insurance policies and that involve bodily injury or property damage arising from pollution outside this state.
- (b) Any action taken by a governmental entity against, or any agreement by a governmental entity with, an insured in which the governmental entity, in writing, notifies the insured that it considers the insured to be potentially liable for pollution in this state, or directs, requests, or agrees that the insured take action with respect to pollution in this state, is equivalent to a suit or lawsuit as those terms are used in the general liability insurance policy.
- (c) The insurer may not deny coverage for any reasonable and necessary fees, costs, and expenses, including costs and expenses of assessments, studies, and investigations, that are incurred by the insured under a voluntary written agreement, consent decree, or consent order between the insured and a governmental entity and as a result of a written direction, request, or agreement by the governmental entity to take action with respect to pollution in this state, on the ground that those expenses constitute voluntary payments by the insured.
- (3) RULES FOR INTERPRETING ALL-SUMS POLICIES. In the absence of an express provision requiring proration of losses for an environmental claim that is based on

an extended underlying assertion, all of the following rules apply to the interpretation of all-sums policies under which environmental claims that are based on extended underlying assertions are made:

- (a) An insurer may not reduce coverage otherwise available to an insured under an all-sums policy because the claim involves bodily injury or property damage that occurred, in part, outside the policy period of that all-sums policy, regardless of whether other valid or collectible insurance is available to the insured for the injury or damage that occurred outside that policy period.
- (b) If an environmental claim is submitted under one or more all-sums policies and involves bodily injury or property damage that occurred, or that may have occurred, during 2 or more policy periods, all of the following apply:
- 1. Each insurer that provided coverage for a policy period and that has a duty to defend under the policy is jointly and severally liable to the insured for the full amount of the insured's costs of defending against the extended underlying assertion, subject to any applicable limits of liability.
- 2. Each insurer that provided coverage for a policy period and that has a duty to pay any costs of a settlement or judgment under the policy is jointly and severally liable to the insured for the full amount of the settlement or judgment for the extended underlying assertion, subject to any applicable limits of liability.
- 3. The insured may designate a policy period, and the policy or policies providing coverage for that period, including primary, umbrella, and excess coverage, shall provide full coverage, subject to any applicable limits of liability. If the environmental claim is not fully satisfied from policies covering that policy period, the insured may designate the order of other policy periods, and the policy or policies providing coverage for each of those periods, including primary, umbrella,

and excess coverage	e, shall provide full co	overage, subject to any	applicable limits of
liability, in that ord	er until the environn	nental claim is fully pa	id.

- 4. If the insured makes a designation under subd. 3., the coverage available to the insured under a policy providing coverage for a designated policy period, including primary, umbrella, and excess coverage, may not be reduced by the actual or potential availability of coverage for other policy periods.
- (4) SUIT ON ENVIRONMENTAL CLAIM. In any lawsuit involving an environmental claim, all of the following apply:
- (a) The insured may elect to file suit against fewer than all insurers providing coverage for the claim, notwithstanding ss. 803.03 and 806.04 (11).
 - (b) All of the following are rebuttable presumptions:
- 1. That the costs of preliminary assessments, remedial investigations, risk assessments, feasibility studies, site investigations, or other necessary investigation are defense costs payable by the insurer, subject to the provisions of the general liability insurance policy under which there is coverage for the costs.
- 2. That the costs of removal actions, remedial action, or natural resource damages are indemnity costs and that payment of those costs by the insurer reduces the insurer's applicable limit of liability on the insurer's indemnity obligations, subject to the provisions of the general liability insurance policy under which there is coverage for the costs.
- (c) The court shall award to an insured the sum of the costs, disbursements, and expenses, including accounting fees and reasonable attorney fees notwithstanding s. 814.04 (1), necessary to prepare for and participate in an action in which the insured successfully litigates a coverage issue for an environmental claim.

- (d) 1. An insurer under a general liability insurance policy under which an environmental claim is made that has not entered into a good faith settlement and release of the environmental claim with the insured is liable, up to the amounts stated in the policy, to any governmental entity that seeks to recover against the insured for pollution in this state, irrespective of whether the liability is presently established or is contingent and to become fixed or certain by final judgment.
- 2. An insurer under subd. 1. may be proceeded against directly and may be joined in any action brought by the governmental entity against the insured.
- (5) EFFECT OF SETTLEMENT. An insurer that enters into a good faith settlement and release of an environmental claim, or an insurer that has entered into a good faith settlement and release of an environmental claim before the effective date of this subsection [revisor inserts date], shall not be liable to any person for the claim. Entering into a good faith settlement and release of an environmental claim with an insurer does not reduce or otherwise impair the right of an insured to recover the full balance of its actual loss as provided in this section from an insurer that has not entered into a good faith settlement and release of the claim.
- (6) CONTRIBUTION AMONG INSURERS. An insurer that pays an environmental claim, or an insurer that paid an environmental claim before the effective date of this subsection [revisor inserts date], may seek contribution from any other insurer that is liable or potentially liable for the claim and that has not entered into a good faith settlement and release of the environmental claim with the insured.
- (7) LOST POLICY. (a) In this subsection, "lost policy" means all or any part of a general liability insurance policy that is subject to an environmental claim and that is ruined, destroyed, misplaced, or otherwise no longer possessed by the insured.

- (b) If, after a diligent investigation by an insured of the insured's own records, including computer records and the records of past and present agents of the insured, the insured is unable to reconstruct a lost policy, the insured may provide notice of the lost policy to the insurer that the insured believes issued the policy. The notice must be in writing and in sufficient detail to identify the person or entity claiming coverage, including the name of the alleged policyholder, if known, and any other material facts concerning the lost policy known to the person providing the notice.
- (c) An insurer must thoroughly and promptly investigate a notice of a lost policy and must provide to the insured claiming coverage under the lost policy all facts known or discovered during the investigation concerning the issuance and terms of the policy, including copies of documents establishing the issuance and terms of the policy.
- (d) For facilitating reconstruction, and determining the terms, of a lost policy, the insurer and the insured must comply with the following minimum standards:
- 1. Within 30 business days after receipt by the insurer of notice of a lost policy, the insurer shall commence an investigation into the insurer's records, including computer records, to determine whether the insurer issued the lost policy. If the insurer determines that it issued the policy, the insurer shall commence an investigation into the terms and conditions relevant to any environmental claim made under the policy.
- 2. The insurer and the insured shall cooperate with each other in determining the terms of a lost policy. The insurer and the insured shall provide to each other the facts known or discovered during an investigation, including the identity of any witnesses with knowledge of facts related to the issuance or existence of the lost

 $\mathbf{2}$

policy, and shall provide each other with copies of any documents establishing facts related to the lost policy.

- 3. An insurer that discovers information tending to show the existence of an insurance policy that applies to the claim shall provide an accurate copy of the terms of the policy or a reconstruction of the policy. If the insured discovers information tending to show the existence of an insurance policy that applies to the claim, the insurer shall provide an accurate copy of the terms of the policy or a reconstruction of the policy upon the request of the insured.
- 4. If the insurer is not able to locate portions of the policy or determine its terms, conditions, or exclusions, the insurer shall provide copies of all insurance policy forms issued by the insurer during the applicable policy period that potentially apply to the environmental claim. The insurer shall identify which of the potentially applicable forms, if any, is most likely to have been issued by the insurer to the insured, or the insurer shall state why it is unable to identify the forms after a good faith search.
- (e) If, based on information discovered in the investigation of a lost policy, the insured can show by a preponderance of the evidence that a general liability insurance policy was issued to the insured by the insurer but cannot produce evidence that tends to show the policy limits applicable to the policy, it shall be assumed that the minimum limits of coverage, including any exclusions to coverage, that the insurer offered during the period in question under such policies apply to the policy purchased by the insured. If, however, the insured produces evidence that tends to show the policy limits applicable to the policy, the insurer has the burden of proof to show by a preponderance of the evidence that different policy limits, including any exclusions to coverage, apply to the policy purchased by the insured.

(8) PUBLIC RIGHTS AND INTEREST. In applying the provisions under this section
any party or court acting under this section shall ensure that public rights and
interests are considered for the purpose of furthering the public trust in navigable
waters.

- (9) Enforcement. Any person who is injured by a violation of this section by an insurer may bring a civil action against the insurer to recover damages together with costs, disbursements, accounting fees, if any, and reasonable attorney fees incurred in bringing the action, notwithstanding s. 814.04 (1).
- (10) APPLICABILITY. (a) This section applies to all environmental claims that are not settled or finally adjudicated on or before the effective date of this subsection [revisor inserts date], regardless of when the claim arose.
- (b) This section applies to all environmental claims specified in par. (a), regardless of the state in which the general liability insurance policy under which the claim is or was made was issued or delivered.
- (11) CONSTRUCTION. Nothing in this section shall be construed to raise or support any inference that it is the intention of the legislature to change the common law of this state with respect to the interpretation of general liability insurance policies not subject to this section.

(END)