Fiscal Estimate - 2005 Session

X	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supple	mental
LRB	Number	05-1126/1		Intro	duction Numb	er Al	B-249	
Subje	ct							
Direct	marketing of	cigarettes						
Fiscal	Effect	<u> </u>						, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	No State Fisco Indeterminate Increase E Appropriat Decrease Appropriat Create Ne	e Existing tions Existing	Rever Decre Rever	ase Existing		within age Yes	May be pency's bu	
	No Local Gov Indeterminate 1. Increase Permiss 2. Decrease	e Costs sive <mark>☐</mark> Mandator	y Permis 4. Decrea	se Revenue ssive Mand ase Revenue ssive Mand	[☐Cour	ected ns [nties [ool [overnmen Village Others WTCS Districts	Cities
Fund S	Sources Affe		PRS :	SEG 🔲 SE	Affected Ch.	20 Appr	opriation	IS
Agend	y/Prepared I	Ву		Authorized S	Signature			Date
DOR/	Jacek Ciancia	ara (608) 266-81	33	Blair Kruger (608) 266-1310		1	4/18/2005

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOR 4/18/2005

LRB Number 05-1126/1	Introduction Number	AB-249	Estimate Type	Original
Subject				
Direct marketing of cigarette	es			

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

Under current law, a person may not sell cigarettes in this state without a permit issued by the Department of Revenue (DOR). This bill prohibits a direct marketer from selling cigarettes or tobacco products to consumers in this state without the appropriate permit issued by DOR. The bill defines "direct marketer" as any person who solicits or sells cigarettes or tobacco products to consumers in this state who are not physically present at the premises of the seller at the time of sale. It would include persons selling cigarettes via news media, telephone, mail or the internet.

The bill establishes a permit fee schedule for direct marketers of cigarettes. The fee schedule would be based on the number of cigarettes sold annually to consumers in this state:

- \$1,000 for marketers selling no more than 30,000 cigarettes,
- \$5,000 for marketers selling more than 30,000 but not more than 600,000, and
- \$10,000 for marketers selling more than 600,000 cigarettes.

The fee for direct marketers of tobacco products to consumers in this state would be \$500. Permits issued to direct marketers expire each year on December 31.

The bill would also require each direct marketer to certify to DOR that all sales of cigarettes or tobacco products will be credit card or personal check transactions, and that invoices will bear the direct marketer's name, address, and permit number. In addition, the bill requires a direct marketer to provide to DOR any information that DOR considers necessary for cigarette and tobacco products law enforcement, tax, and permit purposes. Under the bill, cigarettes and tobacco products may not be shipped to a person who is under 18 years of age and may not be shipped to a post office box.

Under current law, a person may not sell cigarettes or tobacco products to consumers in this state unless the person obtains a license from each city, village, or town in which the person intends to sell cigarettes or tobacco products. The city, village, or town may charge not less than \$5 annually or more than \$100 annually for such a license. Under the bill, no city, village, or town may issue such a license to any person who has an arrest or conviction record related to selling cigarettes or tobacco products or who has not submitted proof to the city, village, or town that he or she holds a valid retailer's permit issued by DOR.

A fiscal estimate of the bill is not feasible. However, the Department does not expect a significant amount of fee revenues to be collected from direct marketers due to a recent decision by major credit card companies to deny service to online tobacconists combined with the minimum markup requirements of the bill. It will be very difficult for direct marketers to sell cigarettes over the internet without having a major credit card to secure the payment, and the minimum markup requirement will eliminate a competitive advantage of cigarette internet sales.

The Department would incur administrative costs which can be absorbed within the Department's budget.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications