ASSEMBLY BILL 509 (LRB -2048)

An Act to repeal 893.83 (2); and to renumber and amend 893.83 (1) of the statutes; relating to: liability of cities, villages, towns, and counties for damages caused by an insufficiency or want of repair of a highway. (FE)

400J			
01-11.	A.	Introduced by Representatives Albers, Musser, Ainsworth, Petrowski, Kreibich, Hahn, F. Lasee, Gottlieb, Vos, LeMahieu, Hundertmark, Gunderson, Wieckert, Mursau, Gronemus and	
		Jeskewitz; cosponsored by Senators Breske, Brown, Grothman and Schultz.	
06-23.	A.	Read first time and referred to committee on Urban and Local Affairs	329
07-13.	A.	Fiscal estimate received.	
07-14.	A.	Public hearing held.	
08-09.	A.	Executive action taken.	
08-16.	A.	Report passage recommended by committee on Urban and Local Affairs, Ayes 5, Noes 2	436
08-16.	A.	Referred to committee on Rules	437
10-25.	A.	Placed on calendar 10-27-2005 by committee on Rules.	~ ~ ~
10-27.	A.	Read a second time	550
10-27.	A.	Ordered to a third reading	550
10-27.	A.	Rules suspended	550
10-27.	A.	Read a third time and passed, Ayes 61, Noes 36	550
10-27.	A.	Ordered immediately messaged	331
11-01.	S.	Received from Assembly	421
11-01.	S.	Read first time and referred to committee on Natural Resources and Transportation	421
2006			
01-11.	S.	Public hearing held.	
01-23.	S.	Fiscal estimate received.	
02-01.	S.	Executive action taken.	
02-01.	S.	Report concurrence recommended by committee on Natural Resources and Transportation, Ayes 5, Noes	577
	_	0	312
02-01.	S.	Available for scheduling.	
02-22.	S.	Placed on calendar 2-23-2006 by committee on Senate Organization.	
02-23.	S.	Read a second time.	
02-23.	S.	Ordered to a third reading.	
02-23.	S.	Rules suspended.	
02-23.	S.	Read a third time and concurred in.	
02-23.	S.	Ordered immediately messaged.	927
02-23.	Α.	Received from Senate concurred in	03



2 0 0 5 ENROLLED BILL

REVISOR OF STATUTES:

5 copies

ADOPTED DOCUMENTS:	
🛮 Orig 🗆 EngrSubA	mdt
	IONIEZO. ALTRE
Amendments to above (if none, write "N	(ONE"):
Corrections – show date (if none, write	"NONE"): None
Corrections - snow date (in none, write	
Topic Rel	
Topic	
2/24/	26 SRules
2/24/ Data	Enrolling Drafter
Dusc	
TO THE PROCEDURE.	
ELECTRONIC PROCEDURE:	TENTE OOO Deferred oo
Follow automatic or manual enroll	ling procedures in <i>TEXT2000 Reference</i> ures, Ch. 20, Engrossing and Enrolling
Guiue, Boeumen	
DISTRIBUTION:	DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION:
HOUSE OF ORIGIN:	• 2 copies
 11 copies plus bill jacket Secretary of State's envelope containing 	LRB: • Drafting file original
4 copies plus newspaper notice	• Drafting attorney 1 copy

[rev: 1/13/05 2005enroll(fm)]

Legislative editors 1 copy each

2005 ASSEMBLY BILL 509

June 23, 2005 – Introduced by Representatives Albers, Musser, Ainsworth, Petrowski, Kreibich, Hahn, F. Lasee, Gottlieb, Vos, LeMahieu, Hundertmark, Gunderson, Wieckert, Mursau, Gronemus and Jeskewitz, cosponsored by Senators Breske, Brown, Grothman and Schultz. Referred to Committee on Urban and Local Affairs.

AN ACT to repeal 893.83 (2); and to renumber and amend 893.83 (1) of the statutes; relating to: liability of cities, villages, towns, and counties for damages caused by an insufficiency or want of repair of a highway.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

Under current law, cities, villages, towns, and counties are immune from claims arising out of the performance of a discretionary duty, or duty that requires a governmental entity to use judgment or discretion in carrying out the duty. Cities, villages, towns, and counties are liable for damages of up to \$50,000 arising out of the performance of a nondiscretionary duty.

Also under current law, cities, villages, towns, and counties are liable for damages of up to \$50,000 to a person or property resulting from an insufficiency or want of repair of a highway, which includes shoulders, sidewalks, and bridges. Cities, villages, towns, and counties are also liable for damages resulting from the accumulation of snow or ice that has existed on a highway for at least three weeks.

The Wisconsin Supreme Court in *Morris v. Juneau County*, 219 Wis. 2d 544 (1998), held that the statutory provision imposing liability on cities, villages, towns, and counties for highway defects is an exception to the more general provision granting immunity to cities, villages, towns, and counties from liability arising out of the performance of discretionary duties.

Finally, under current law, if the negligence or deliberate wrongdoing of a person contributes to the creation of a highway defect that results in damages to a person or property, the negligent or wrongdoing person is primarily liable and the

ASSEMBLY BILL 509

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

city, village, town, or county is secondarily liable only if the negligent person or the person who committed the wrong does not satisfy the judgment, and the city, village, town, or county is otherwise liable for the damages.

This bill eliminates the specific immunity exception under which cities, villages, towns, and counties may be held liable for an insufficiency or want of repairs of a highway. This bill does not affect the immunity exception under which cities, villages, towns, and counties may be held liable for damages of up to \$50,000 for the accumulation of snow or ice that has existed on a highway for at least three weeks. The bill also eliminates secondary liability for cities, villages, towns, and counties.

For further information see the *local* fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. 893.83 (1) of the statutes is renumbered 893.83 and amended to read:

ice; liability of municipality city, village, town, and county. If damages happen to any person or his or her property by reason of the insufficiency or want of repairs of any highway that any town, city, or village is bound to keep in repair, the person sustaining the damages has a right to recover the damages from the town, city, or village. If the damages happen by reason of the insufficiency or want of repairs of a highway that any county by law or by agreement with any town, city, or village is bound to keep in repair, or that occupies any land owned and controlled by the county, the county is liable for the damages and the claim for damages shall be against the county. If the damages happen by reason of the insufficiency or want of repairs of a bridge erected or maintained at the expense of 2 or more towns, cities, villages, or counties, the action shall be brought against all of the towns, cities, villages, or counties that are liable for the repairs of the bridge. Upon recovery of judgment, the damages and costs shall be paid by the towns, cities, villages, or

ASSEMBLY BILL 509

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

counties in the proportion in which they are liable for the repairs. The court may direct the judgment to be collected from each town, city, village, or county for its proportion only. The amount recoverable by any person for any damages so sustained shall not exceed \$50,000. The procedures under s. 893.80 shall apply to the commencement of actions brought under this subsection. No action may be maintained against a city, village, town, or county to recover damages for injuries sustained by reason of an accumulation of snow or ice upon any bridge or highway, unless the accumulation existed for 3 weeks. Any action to recover damages for injuries sustained by reason of an accumulation of snow or ice that has existed for 3 weeks or more upon any bridge or highway is subject to s. 893.80.

Section 2. 893.83 (2) of the statutes is repealed.

SECTION 3. Initial applicability.

(1) This act first applies to actions arising on the effective date of this subsection.

(END)