2005 DRAFTING REQUEST

Senate Amendment (SA-SA1-SB571)

Receive	ed: 02/20/2006				Received By: rryan												
Wanted: Soon For: Cathy Stepp (608) 266-1832 This file may be shown to any legislator: NO May Contact:					Identical to LRB: By/Representing: Dan Schmidt (Leg Counsel) Drafter: rryan Addl. Drafters:												
									Subject	: Crimin	al Law - misce	llaneous		Extra Copies: MDK			
									Submit	via email: YES	()						
									Request	ter's email:	Sen.Stepp	@legis.state	e.wi.us				
Carbon	copy (CC:) to:																
Pre To	pic:																
No spec	cific pre topic g	iven															
Topic:				7 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5													
Definiti	on of "financial	l institution" for	purpose of	crimes again	st financial institut	ions											
Instruc	etions:																
See Atta	ached																
Draftin	g History:						-										
Vers.	Drafted	Reviewed	Typed	Proofed	Submitted	<u>Jacketed</u>	Required										
/? /1	rryan 02/21/2006	jdyer 02/21/2006	pgreensl 02/21/20	06	sbasford 02/21/2006	sbasford 02/21/2006											
FE Sent	For:																

<END>

Required

Jacketed

2005 DRAFTING REQUEST

Senate Amendment (SA-SA1-SB571)

Reviewed

Typed

Received: 02/20/2006 Received By: rryan Wanted: Soon Identical to LRB: By/Representing: Dan Schmidt (Leg Counsel) For: Cathy Stepp (608) 266-1832 This file may be shown to any legislator: NO Drafter: rryan May Contact: Addl. Drafters: Extra Copies: MS Subject: Criminal Law - miscellaneous Submit via email: YES Requester's email: Sen.Stepp@legis.state.wi.us Carbon copy (CC:) to: Pre Topic: No specific pre topic given Topic: Definition of "financial institution" for purpose of crimes against financial institutions **Instructions:** See Attached **Drafting History:** Vers. Drafted

Proofed

Submitted

FE Sent For:

rryan

/?

STATE OF WISCONSIN - LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRB	Research (608–266–0341)	Library (608–266–7040)	Legal (608–266–3561)	LRB
Dan				2/20/06
Q\^	mend to	SK 57		
meluo in ilxel	le conum definition vole par	er efinan of "FF" whsokers	e waya	day
lena	lers		· · · · · ·	Ţ.
	uamaininta keesta ja ka ta kii ka			
yaqiana kan kat dagay qoʻr ingaliyot qoʻr qoʻr qoʻr qoʻr qoʻr qoʻr qoʻr qoʻr		ran kanang pangan at inu salauman an meranaminka pempasah kanan an manangga pang-panaganan panaganan panaganan Pangan pangan		Wisconsin Legislative Reference Bureau

, & pawn brokers

for Sterp's office

Rose, Laura

From:

Risch, Jay

Sent:

Friday, February 17, 2006 3:31 PM

To:

Rose, Laura

Subject: FW: SB 571

Hi Laura,

It is my understanding that you are working on an amendment to SB 571 that would include in the list of covered financial institutions consumer finance companies but exclude payday lenders, even though both are defined under s138.09. Perhaps this information would be useful as you draft this amendment.

Call me if you have any questions. Thanks -

Jay 6-1832

From: Peggy Partenfelder-Moede [mailto:peggypm@ekgmail.com]

Sent: Tuesday, February 14, 2006 4:59 PM

To: Risch, Jay Cc: Patrick Essie Subject: SB 571

Per your request listed below is some background as well as some possible language that might work so that groups such as American International Group (AIG) would also be covered under the financial crimes legislation. AIG offers consumer loans similar to Wells Fargo and Household Financial.

At the end of 2004 (05 data not available until March 06) there were 774 consumer loan licenses in Wisconsin. These licensees did \$1 Billion in consumer loans which resulted in 1.7 million different loans. These consumer finance companies also did \$5.4 Billion in other loans, primarily in real-estate, which resulted in 43,000 loan transactions.

These companies are as much at risk as other financial institutions and should be granted the same protections from financial fraud.

I fully understand your concern by amending all 138.09 into the bill and thereby creating the lightening rod of bringing the payday lending issue to the bill. Therefore if the drafting attorney could simply include 138.09, but exempt all the licensed payday lenders it would remove the issue altogether.

Here is the Kedzie amendment to SB 465 the worthless check statue bill and as you will note it exempts payday lending in the second sentence using the language "who agrees, for a fee, to hold the check for a period of time before negotiating or presenting the check for payment." Possibly similar language could be used to exempt payday lenders from 138.09 while including the remaining licensees under 138.09 into SB 571.

943.24 (4) This section does not apply to a postdated check or to a check given for a past consideration, except a payroll check given by the issuer of the check to a person licensed under s. 138.09 who agrees, for a fee, to hold the check for a period of time before negotiating or presenting the check for payment.

Thanks Jay, lets touch base tomorrow prior to the hearing. I will be at a meeting all morning, but will be

accessible on my cell, 262-352-3351.

Peggy J. Partenfelder Moede Director of Public Affairs Essie Kammer Group

Essie Kammer Group
16 North Carroll Street, Suite 900
Madison, WI 53703
Ph: 608-256-7701 Fx: 608-251-8192
e-mail: peggypm@EKGmail.com
website: www.essiekammergroup.com

2005 - 2006 LEGISLATURE

ASSEMBLY SUBSTITUTE AMENDMENT 1, TO 2005 ASSEMBLY BILL 871

January 13, 2006 – Offered by Representative Towns.

1	AN ACT to amend 943.24 (4) of the statutes; relating to: postdated checks and
2	checks given for past consideration.
	The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:
3	SECTION 1. 943.24 (4) of the statutes is amended to read:
4	943.24 (4) This section does not apply to a postdated check or to a check giver
5	for a past consideration, except a payroll check given by the issuer of the check to a
6	person licensed under s. 138.09 who agrees, for a fee, to hold the check for a period
7	of time before negotiating or presenting the check for payment.
8	(END)



1

 $\mathbf{2}$

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

State of Misconsin 2005 - 2006 LEGISLATURE

LRBa2417/?

Wanted

Wed

An

jarmr

PRELIMINARY DRAFT - NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

SENATE AMENDMENT, TO SENATE AMENDMENT 1, TO 2005 SENATE BILL 571

At the locations indicated, amend the amendment as follows:

1. Page 1, line 2: delete lines 2 to 6 and substitute:

"1m. Page 6, line 1: delete lines 1 to 4 and substitute."

"company, a credit union, as defined in s. 186.01 (2), a mortgage banker, as defined in s. 224.71 (3) (a), or a mortgage broker, as defined in s. 224.71 (4) (a), whether chartered under the laws of this state, another state or territory, or under the laws of the United States; a company that controls, is controlled by, or is under common control with a bank, a savings bank, a savings and loan association, a trust company, a credit union, a mortgage banker, or a mortgage broker; or person licensed under s. 138.09, other than a person who agrees for a fee to hold a check for a period

2

of time before negotiating or presenting the check for payment and other than a

pawnbroker, as defined in s. 138.10 (1) (a).".".

3 (END)

d. Tote

DRAFTER'S NOTE FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRBa2417/1dn
RLR:

()

Dan Schmidt and Jay Risch:

This amendment adds consumer finance companies (other than payday lenders and pawnbrokers) to the definition of "financial institution." I drafted it as an amendment to Senate Amendment 1 because both this amendment and Senate Amendment 1 affect the definition of "financial institution." I included consumer finance companies in the definition, but did not include a company that controls, is controlled by, or is under common control with a consumer finance company. Is this your intent?

Robin Ryan Legislative Attorney Phone: (608) 261–6927

E-mail: robin.ryan@legis.state.wi.us

DRAFTER'S NOTE FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRBa2417/1dn RLR:jld:pg

February 21, 2006

Dan Schmidt and Jay Risch:

This amendment adds consumer finance companies (other than payday lenders and pawnbrokers) to the definition of "financial institution." I drafted it as an amendment to Senate Amendment 1 because both this amendment and Senate Amendment 1 affect the definition of "financial institution." I included consumer finance companies in the definition, but did not include a company that controls, is controlled by, or is under common control with a consumer finance company. Is this your intent?

Robin Ryan Legislative Attorney Phone: (608) 261–6927

E-mail: robin.ryan@legis.state.wi.us