Fiscal Estimate - 2005 Session

X	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supplemental
LRB	Number	05-3492/1		Intro	duction Nur	mber A	B-648
Subject Retent proced	ion and testir	ng of DNA evide g an eyewitnes	ence; time lims to identify a	nits for prosect suspect; rec	ution of a crime ording custodia	e related to a	a sexual assault; ons
State:	No State Fiscondeterminate Increase E Appropriat Decrease Appropriat Create Ne	Existing tions Existing	Reve	ease Existing enues ease Existing enues	to a	rease Costs bsorb withir Yes crease Costs	- May be possible agency's budget □ No
	Indeterminate 1. Increase Permiss 2. Decrease	e Costs ive⊠Mandato	3. ☐ Incre ry ☐ Perm 4. ☐ Decr	ease Revenue nissive	Gove ndatory	es of Local ernment Uni Towns Counties School Districts	ts Affected Village 🔯 Cities Others WTCS Districts
Fund S	Sources Affe PR FED	PRO	PRS 🔲	SEG 🔲 SE	Affected (EGS	Ch. 20 Appr	opriations
Agenc	y/Prepared E	Зу		Authorized S	Signature		Date
CTS/ Nancy Rottier (608) 267-9733			Nancy Rottier (608) 267-9733 9/7/2005				

Fiscal Estimate Narratives CTS 9/7/2005

LRB Number	05-3492/1	Introduction Number	AB-648	Estimate Type	Original
Subject					

Retention and testing of DNA evidence; time limits for prosecution of a crime related to a sexual assault; procedures for using an eyewitness to identify a suspect; recording custodial interrogations

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

This bill incorporates the recommendations made by the Avery Task Force, including changes relating to recording of custodial interrogations, retention of evidence containing DNA, testing of DNA evidence, the statutory time limits for prosecuting certain felony sexual assaults and eyewitness identification procedures. The bill establishes a grant program administered by the Office of Justice Assistance for the funding of the purchase, installation or maintenance of digital recording equipment by law enforcement agencies. It funds the grant program by a 1% increase in the penalty surcharge.

This bill would allow some prosecutions to be brought that would be barred under current law. Additional court proceedings could be generated under the terms of this bill, but it is impossible to predict how frequently that may happen. It is expected that existing court staff would absorb any additional proceedings.

In addition, the bill adds requirements for retention of evidence containing DNA. It is expected existing court staff would absorb any additional requirements for retention of that evidence.

This bill increases the penalty surcharge from its current 25% to 26% of the amount of fines or forfeitures assessed by a court. Both the circuit courts and municipal courts assess the penalty surcharge.

From July, 2004 through June, 2005 the circuit courts collected \$11,660,006.76 in penalty surcharges. Municipal courts submit penalty surcharge revenues directly to the Department of Administration (DOA). According to data from DOA, during the same period, municipal courts collected and submitted \$6,567,024.24 in penalty surcharge revenues. Using these figures, the total of penalty surcharge revenues collected during the fiscal year ending June 2005 is \$18,277,031.00. These collections were based on a penalty surcharge of 24% of the amount of fines or forfeitures assessed by a court.

In the most recent budget, 2005 Wisconsin Act 25, the penalty surcharge was increased from 24% to 25%. As part of the budget process, the Legislative Fiscal Bureau (LFB) estimated the fiscal impact of the one percent increase in the penalty surcharge. In Paper #465, dated May 23, 2005, the LFB estimated the increase from 24% to 25% would result in collections of \$19,272,500 in the 2005-06 fiscal year.

Based on this data, we estimate the increase in the penalty surcharge from 25% to 26% would result in additional revenues of approximately \$761,500 during the first full year in which it is assessed.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2005 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

\boxtimes	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supplemental			
LRB	Number	05-3492	/1	Intro	duction Nu	mber	AB-648			
assault	ion and testir t; procedures	s for using an	eyewitness	to identify a s		ing custod	d to a sexual ial interrogations not include in			
annua	lized fiscal e	effect):	•	•						
II. Ann	ualized Cos	ts:			Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:					
			,		Increased Cos	ts	Decreased Costs			
	te Costs by		حا التناسموو	<u> </u>		<u>^ 1 </u>				
	E Position Ch	s - Salaries an	d Fringes		,	\$				
		- Other Costs	5		***************************************					
	al Assistance		>							
		ls or Organiza	tions	-		<u> </u>				
		Costs by Cat	"		-	\$	\$			
		Source of Fu					<u> </u>			
GPR			17. 5.3							
FED		a gas	1. New 1. Pr			85 200				
PRC)/PRS									
SEG	SSEG-S									
		s - Complete c increase, de			al will increase	or decrea	ase state			
					Increased Re	ev	Decreased Rev			
GPR	R Taxes	-				\$	\$			
GPR	R Earned									
FED										
)/PRS				761,50	0				
	/SEG-S			-						
TC	OTAL State	······			\$761,50	0	\$			
		<u> </u>	NET ANNUA	LIZED FISC						
					Stat		Local			
	NET CHANGE IN COSTS					\$	\$			
NET CI	HANGE IN R	REVENUE			\$761,50	0	\$			
Agenc	y/Prepared I	Ву		Authorized	Signature		Date			
				Nancy Rottie	er (608) 267-97	9/7/2005				