## Fiscal Estimate - 2005 Session

	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supple	emental			
LRB	Number	05-2736/2		Introd	luction Num	ber A	B-464	•			
Subje	ct										
Assignment of property contaminated by harzardous substances											
Fiscal	Effect										
Ø	No State Fiscondeterminate Increase E Appropriat Decrease Appropriat Create Ne	e Existing tions Existing	Reven Decrea Reven	ase Existing	to abs	ase Costs orb within Yes ase Costs	agency				
	Indeterminate  1. Increase Permiss  2. Decrease	e Costs sive  Mandato	3. Increasory Permis 4. Decreas	se Revenue ssive∭Man ase Revenue ssive∭Man	Affecte datory To Co datory	nment United wns  unties	Village	Cities City of Milwaukee			
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations  GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS											
Agenc	y/Prepared I	Зу	Α	uthorized S	ignature			Date			
DNR/ J	loe Polasek (	608) 266-2794	Jo	oe Polasek (	608) 266-2794			6/16/2005			

# Fiscal Estimate Narratives DNR 6/16/2005

LRB Number <b>05-2736/2</b>	Introduction Number AB-464	Estimate Type	Original						
Subject									
Assignment of property contaminated by harzardous substances									

### Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

#### Bill Summary:

Under the current state statutes dealing with land sold for taxes, a county or first class city may assign the right to foreclose on a tax delinquent brownfields property to a third party to promote cleanup and reuse. The current statutes define brownfields as industrial or commercial property where the expansion or reuse is impacted by known or perceived environmental contamination. For the purposes of assigning contaminated property, this bill defines brownfields as being property that is abandoned, idle, or underused industrial, residential or commercial property for which the county has commenced foreclosure proceedings.

#### Fiscal Estimate:

DNR records indicate 6 such property foreclosure agreements have been finalized in the state at known or perceived environmental contamination properties. While the state and other taxing jurisdictions would lose tax revenues due to the cancellation of major financial encumbrances such as delinquent property taxes, generally the property is put back on the tax rolls. In addition, those agreements include a commitment to clean up the property, thus increasing the overall value of the brownfields property. While there is a short-term loss in revenues, these properties are put back onto the tax rolls with their values generally increasing. Because of this, it is difficult to quantify the short-term loss in terms of the long-term gains. Expanding this to include residential properties should have negligible fiscal impacts.

**Long-Range Fiscal Implications** 

None

## Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2005 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

Original Upda	ited	Corrected	Supplemental		
LRB Number <b>05-2736/2</b>		Introduction Number	per <b>AB-464</b>		
Subject Assignment of property contaminated b	y harzardo	us substances			
I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impact annualized fiscal effect):	s for State	and/or Local Governme	ent (do not include in		
II. Annualized Costs:		Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:			
		Increased Costs	Decreased Costs		
A. State Costs by Category					
State Operations - Salaries and Fring	jes	\$			
(FTE Position Changes)					
State Operations - Other Costs					
Local Assistance					
Aids to Individuals or Organizations		·			
TOTAL State Costs by Category		\$	\$		
B. State Costs by Source of Funds					
GPR					
FED					
PRO/PRS					
SEG/SEG-S					
III. State Revenues - Complete this or revenues (e.g., tax increase, decreas			decrease state		
		Increased Rev	Decreased Rev		
GPR Taxes		\$	\$		
GPR Earned	ŀ				
FED					
PRO/PRS					
SEG/SEG-S					
TOTAL State Revenues		\$	\$		
NET AI	NNUALIZE	D FISCAL IMPACT			
		<u>State</u>	<u>Local</u>		
NET CHANGE IN COSTS		\$	\$:		
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE		\$	\$		
		-			
Agency/Prepared By	Auth	orized Signature	Date		
DNR/ Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794	Joe I	Polasek (608) 266-2794	6/16/2005		