## Fiscal Estimate - 2005 Session

$\boxtimes$	Original	1) 1) 1)	Updated		Corrected		Supple	mental
LRB	Number	05-0090/3	3	Introd	uction Num	ber A	B-736	
Subjec	et							
Drug-fr	ee constructi	on sites						
Fiscal	Effect							
	No State Fiscondeterminate Increase E Appropriat Decrease Appropriat Create Ne	Existing ions Existing	Reve	ease Existing enues rease Existing enues	to abs	ase Costs sorb withir Yes ease Costs	n agency	
	Indeterminate  1.  Increase Permiss  2.  Decrease	e Costs ive  Mandate	3. ☐ Incre ory ☐ Perm 4. ☐ Decr	ease Revenue nissive  Manc ease Revenue nissive  Manc	Govern To latory	of Local nment Un owns  counties chool stricts	its Affect Village Others WTCS District	Cities
Fund S	Sources Affe		PRS 🔲	SEG 🔲 SEG	<b>Affected Ch</b> GS s.20.475(1)(	• •	ropriatio	ns
Agenc	y/Prepared E	Зу		Authorized Si	gnature			Date
DA/ Stu Morse (608) 267-2700				Gail Riedasch	11/1/2005			

## Fiscal Estimate Narratives DA 11/1/2005

LRB Number <b>05-0090/3</b>	Introduction Number	AB-736	Estimate Type	Original					
Subject									
Drug-free construction sites									

## Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

There are no data by which to estimate the number of new cases that would be sent to district attorney offices by law enforcement were this bill to be enacted. However, the number of such cases would likely be very small and not have a significant workload impact in any one DA office.

## **Long-Range Fiscal Implications**

None