Fiscal Estimate - 2005 Session

Original Dpdated	☐ Corrected ☐	Supplemental
LRB Number 05-1505/1	Introduction Number A	AB-614
Subject		
February settlement of personal property taxes		
Fiscal Effect		
Appropriations	ease Existing absorb within ag	No
Permissive Mandatory Permis	ase Revenue	iovernment Village Cities Others WTCS Districts
Fund Sources Affected GPR FED PRO PRS	Affected Ch. 20 App SEG SEGS	propriations
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date
DOR/ Milda Aksamitauskas (608) 261-5173	Paul Ziegler (608) 266-5773	8/26/2005

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOR 8/26/2005

LRB Number	05-1505/1	Introduction Number	AB-614	Estimate Type	Original		
Subject							
February settlement of personal property taxes							

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

Under current law, property taxes levied on real property and personal property taxes on improvements located on leased land are payable in full on or before January 31 of each year or are payable in two equal installments — with the first installment due on or before January 31 and the second installment due on or before July 31. Alternatively, current law allows a municipality, by ordinance, to collect real property taxes and property taxes on improvements located on leased land in three or more installments. During the February settlement, the taxation district pays each taxing jurisdiction its proportionate share of collected real property taxes. All personal property taxes, however, including taxes on improvements on leased land, must be forwarded to the taxing jurisdictions even though some portion is not yet collected due to installment payments.

Under the bill, during the February settlement, the taxation district will pay each taxing jurisdiction only its proportionate share of personal property taxes on leased land collected by the district as of January 31.

The Department of Revenue estimates that about \$3 million of property taxes on improvements on leased land are postponed for the second installment. Under the bill, municipalities would not settle for this amount with taxing jurisdictions during the February settlement, but would forward tax rolls to counties. Consequentially, the bill would result in minor cash flow changes in municipalities, counties, and other local governments where the tax base includes improvements on leased land.

The bill has no state fiscal effect.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications