## Fiscal Estimate - 2005 Session

☑ Original ☐ Updated	Corrected	Supplemental				
LRB Number <b>05-3555/1</b>	Introduction Number AB-	-646				
Subject  Tax credit for the sales and use tax paid on the pu	urchase of tangible personal property used i	n farming				
AppropriationsReven	ase Existing absorb within agence					
Local:  No Local Government Costs  Indeterminate  1. Increase Costs Permissive Mandatory  2. Decrease Costs Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Districts  5. Types of Local Government Units Affected Towns Village Cities Counties School WTCS Districts						
Fund Sources Affected  GPR FED PRO PRS	Affected Ch. 20 Approp	oriations				
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date				
DOR/ Kirstin Nelson (608) 261-8984	Rebecca Boldt (608) 266-6785	9/6/2005				

# Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOR 9/6/2005

LRB Number	05-3555/1	Introduction Number	AB-646	Estimate Type	Original		
Subject							
Tax credit for the sales and use tax paid on the purchase of tangible personal property used in farming							

#### **Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate**

Under current law, most of the inputs used in the business of farming are specifically exempt from sales and use tax. This bill creates a nonrefundable income and franchise tax credit for the amount of sales and use taxes paid on the purchase of tangible personal property used in the business of farming, not including, generally, the purchase of motor vehicles for highway use or tangible personal property that is attached to, fastened to, connected to, or built into real property. Unusued credits may be carried forward for up to 15 years.

According to the US Department of Agriculture Census of Agriculture, Wisconsin farmers spent about \$553.1 million and \$519.6 million on miscellaneous farm expenses in 1997 and 2002, respectively. This 6% decrease in expenditures over 5 years appears to be due to the loss of farms in the state. Since losses of farms may continue to offset increases in miscellaneous farm expenses, at least to some degree, miscellaneous farm expenditures are assumed to remain constant.

Based on cost studies by the Center for Dairy Profitability, University of Wisconsin-Madison, it is assumed that 15% of miscellaneous supplies or \$77.9 million are expenses that are currently taxable that would be eligible for the credit under the bill. Assuming a 95% compliance rate, an estimated \$3.7 million (\$77.9 million x 95% compliance x 5% sales tax) in sales taxes paid per year would be eligible for the credit.

Based on Department data, about 75% of all credits claimed in a tax year are actually used. Therefore, the potential revenue loss associated with this bill could be up to \$2.8 million (\$3.7 million x .75), assuming all those that are eligible claim the credit.

The Department will incur one-time administrative costs of \$21,600 for 400 hours of programming for changes to the income tax forms. All other costs will be absorbed by the Department.

### **Long-Range Fiscal Implications**

## Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2005 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

	ted	Corrected	Supplemental	
LRB Number <b>05-3555/1</b>		Introduction Numb	oer <b>AB-646</b>	
Subject				
Tax credit for the sales and use tax paid	on the pur	chase of tangible personal	property used in farming	
I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts	for State	and/or Local Government	(do not include in	
annualized fiscal effect):				
\$21,600 for 400 hours of programming fo	or changes	to the income tax forms.		
II. Annualized Costs:		Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:		
		Increased Costs	Decreased Co	osts
A. State Costs by Category				
State Operations - Salaries and Fringe	s	\$		
(FTE Position Changes)				
State Operations - Other Costs				
Local Assistance				
Aids to Individuals or Organizations		·		
TOTAL State Costs by Category		\$		\$
B. State Costs by Source of Funds				
GPR				
FED				
PRO/PRS			Y A N	-
SEG/SEG-S				
III. State Revenues - Complete this onl (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license	y when pr	oposal will increase or de	crease state revenues	
	, ,	Increased Rev	Decreased I	₹ev
GPR Taxes		\$	\$-2,800,	000
GPR Earned				
FED				
PRO/PRS				
SEG/SEG-S				
TOTAL State Revenues		\$	\$-2,800,	000
NET A	NNUALIZ	ED FISCAL IMPACT		
		State	Lo	cal
NET CHANGE IN COSTS		\$		\$
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE		\$-2,800,000		\$
Agency/Prepared By	Aut	horized Signature	Date	
DOR/ Kirstin Nelson (608) 261-8984 Reb		ecca Boldt (608) 266-6785	9/6/200	5