Fiscal Estimate - 2005 Session

Original Updated	Corrected Supple	mental								
LRB Number 05-3719/1	Introduction Number AB-856									
Description Authorizing the villages of Sister Bay and Ephraim to become premier resort areas										
Fiscal Effect										
Appropriations Decrease Existing Appropriations Appropriations Create New Appropriations	ease Existing enues to absorb within agency enues Increase Costs - May be to absorb within agency Yes Decrease Costs									
Local: ☐ No Local Government Costs ☐ Indeterminate 1. ☐ Increase Costs ☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory 2. ☐ Decrease Costs ☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory ☐ Decrease Revenue ☐ Counties ☐ Others ☐ School ☐ WTCS ☐ Districts ☐ Districts										
Fund Sources Affected GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS 20.566 (1) (gf)										
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date								
DOR/ Blair Kruger (608) 266-1310	Paul Ziegler (608) 266-5773 1/12/2006									

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOR 1/13/2006

LRB Number 05-3719/1	Introduction Number	AB-856	Estimate Type	Original			
Description Authorizing the villages of Sister Bay and Ephraim to become premier resort areas							

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

In general, a municipality may declare itself to be a premier resort area if at least 40% of the taxable property in the municipality is classified in certain tourism-related Standard Industrial Codes (SICs) specified in the law. A premier resort area may impose a 0.5% resort tax on sales that are subject to the state sales tax by retailers in the specified SICs. The resort tax applies only to sales; it does not include a use tax. In addition, current law requires a premier resort area to notify the Department of Revenue (DOR) at least 120 days before the effective date of the tax, which must be the first day of a calendar quarter. DOR retains 3% of resort tax collections to cover state administrative costs.

Under the bill, the villages of Sister Bay and Ephraim may declare themselves to be premier resort areas even if less than 40% of the taxable property in those municipalities is classified in the specified tourism-related SICs. The bill would treat Sister Bay and Ephraim the same as Bayfield and Eagle River, which are already exempted from the 40% tourism-related property threshold.

Distributions of county sales and use tax to Door County were \$2.97 million in 2005. Adjusting for the narrower tax base of the resort tax (e.g., motor vehicles, utilities, and building materials are not taxable and there is not a use tax), sales totaling about \$270 million were potentially subject to premier resort area tax in Door County in 2005. Ephraim and Sister Bay accounted for about 8% and 12% of the commercial property in Door County in 2004. Assuming taxable sales are distributed among the municipalities in a county the same as commercial property, sales subject to a resort tax in Ephraim and Sister Bay would have been \$22 million and \$32 million, respectively, in 2005. Under the above assumptions, as well as 95% compliance and adjusting for the 3% DOR administrative fee, Ephraim and Sister Bay would have received about \$100,000 and \$150,000, respectively, in 2005 if the premier resort area tax had been in effect in those villages for the full year.

The Department would have received about \$8,000 to recover its costs of administering the tax, if the tax had been in effect in 2005.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2005 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

⊠ C	riginal		Updated			Corrected		Supplemental
LRB Nu	ımber 05	-3719	/1		ntro	duction Nu	ımber	AB-856
Description Authorizing the villages of Sister Bay and Ephraim to become premier resort areas								
I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect):								
II. Annua	II. Annualized Costs:				Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:			
						Increased Cos	sts	Decreased Costs
	Costs by Cate							
	perations - Sa		d Fringes			\$8,0	00	
	osition Change							
State O	perations - Otl	ner Cost	S					
	ssistance							
	Individuals or							
ТОТ	AL State Cost	s by Ca	tegory			\$8,0	001	\$
B. State Costs by Source of Funds								
GPR								
FED								
	RS (20.566(1)	(gf))				8,0	000	
SEG/S								
III. State Revenues - Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, ets.)								
						Increased F		Decreased Rev
GPR T	axes						\$	\$
GPR E	arned							
FED								
	RS (20.566(1)	(gf))				8,0	000	
SEG/S	EG-S			_				
ТОТ	AL State Reve					\$8,0	000	\$
NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT								
							ate	Local
NET CHANGE IN COSTS				\$8,0		\$250,000		
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE				\$8,0	000	\$250,000		
Agency/	Prepared By			Autho	orized	Signature		Date
	ir Kruger (608)	266-13	10	Paul 2	Zieglei	· (608) 266-57	73	1/12/2006