Fiscal Estimate - 2005 Session

X	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supplemental		
LRB	Number	05-1942/2		Intro	duction Num	ber A	B-145		
Subjec	:t								
Farm m	nodernization	credit to inclu	de livestock						
Fiscal	Effect				-				
	No State Fiscondeterminate Increase E Appropriat Decrease Appropriat Create Ne	Existing ions Existing	Revenu Decrea Revenu	se Existing	to abs		- May be possible agency's budget No		
Local: No Local Government Costs Indeterminate 1. Increase Costs Permissive Mandatory 2. Decrease Costs Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Districts 5. Types of Local Government Units Affected Towns Village Counties Others School WTCS Districts									
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS									
Agency	//Prepared E	Зу	Au	thorized S	Signature		Date		
DOR/ Pamela Walgren (608) 266-7817 Blair K				air Kruger (Kruger (608) 266-1310 3/1/2005				

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOR 3/1/2005

LRB Number	05-1942/2	Introduction Number	AB-145	Estimate Type	Original			
Subject								
Farm modernization credit to include livestock								

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

The bill would clarify that the dairy investment credit applies to property and equipment that is acquired and placed in service in this state during taxable years that begin after December 31, 2003, and before January 1, 2010 and that "used exclusively" means used to the exclusion of all other uses except for other use not exceeding 5 percent of total use.

The bill would also create an income and franchise tax credit for 10% of certain expenses used exclusively for modernization or expansion related to the operation of a claimant's livestock farm. The aggregate amount of credit that a taxpayer could claim is \$50,000 for expenses to construct, improve and acquire buildings, facilities and equipment for use in livestock housing, confinement, feeding and waste management.

Livestock farm modernization would include, but would not be limited to, expenditures for birthing structures, rearing structures, feedlot structures, feed storage and handling equipment, fences, watering facilities, scales, manure pumping and storage facilities, digesters, equipment to produce energy, fish hatchery and buildings on fish farms, fish processing buildings on fish farms and fish rearing ponds on fish farms. Facilities and equipment would be used exclusively for this purpose if all other uses did not exceed 5% of total use.

Under the bill, livestock is defined as domestic animals, other than dairy animals, used in the state in the production of food, fiber, or other animal products and specifically includes bovine animals, swine, poultry, fish, sheep and goats. Livestock specifically does not include equine animals, deer, ratites, camelidae or mink.

The livestock credit would be nonrefundable and could be claimed for property and equipment that is acquired and placed in service in this state during taxable years that begin after December 31, 2004 and end before January 1, 2011. Unused credit amounts could be carried forward for 15 years to offset tax liability in future years.

Partnerships, limited liability companies and tax-option corporations could not claim the credit, but would compute the credit for their partners, members and shareholders to claim in proportion to their ownership interest.

The number of farms and the amount of expenditures that would qualify for the credit is not known. Based on information from the individual and corporate income tax samples compiled by the department, approximately 38,000 livestock farms, not including dairy farms, file tax returns; 22,000 of those farms have net tax. This estimate assumes that 2,400 livestock farms with net tax would claim a credit of \$1,000 in each year that the credit is in effect. Based on these assumptions, the estimated fiscal effect would be to reduce revenues by \$1.9 million annually. To the extent that more farms would claim the credit or would claim more than \$1,000 annually, the estimate would understate the actual revenue loss from the credit.

The Department anticipates that there will be increased costs to administer the program. Because of the limited time available to complete the fiscal note, an estimate of those costs is not available.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2005 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

	X	Original		Updated			Correcte	∌d		Supplemental
LF	RB	Number	05-1942	<u>2</u> /2		Intro	duction	Numb	per	AB-145
	ubjec			_						
			on credit to inc							
		-time Costs llized fiscal e		Impacts for	r State	and/or	Local Go	vernme	∍nt (do	not include in
II.	Ann	nualized Cos	sts:				Annualiz	ed Fisc	al Impa	act on funds from:
							Increased	Costs		Decreased Costs
A.	Stat	te Costs by	Category							
	State	e Operations	s - Salaries an	nd Fringes				\$		
	(FTE	E Position Ch	nanges)					Į.	A COLO	
	State	e Operations	s - Other Cost	ts						
	Loca	al Assistance)							
	Aids	to Individua	als or Organiza	ations						
	TC	OTAL State	Costs by Ca	tegory				\$		\$
В.	Stat	te Costs by	Source of Fu	unds						
	GPR	₹								
	FED	<u> Marian Statis</u>	/ : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	and the second	g 200	de Se	- La		10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	egoggerende de la companya de
	PRO)/PRS	1							
auen.	SEG	S/SEG-S	er er Britishgiftsties der		3.57	er og er gjeren.			AT ST	
			es - Complete x increase, de					ease or	decrea	ase state
							Increase	ed Rev		Decreased Rev
-		R Taxes		4 2 2 2				\$		\$-1,900,000
-		R Earned		E SANCE			0.1			
-	FED									
_)/PRS								
Ц		S/SEG-S								
Ц	TC	OTAL State						\$		\$-1,900,000
				NET ANNU	ALIZE	D FISC	AL IMPAC			
<u>_</u>								<u>State</u>		Local
		HANGE IN C			—			\$		\$
NE	T CH	HANGE IN R	REVENUE				\$-1,90	00,000		\$
Ag	ienc	y/Prepared l	Bv		Auth	orized	Signature			Date
						(608) 266-			3/1/2005	