## Fiscal Estimate - 2005 Session

	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supplemental		
LRB N	umber <b>05</b> -	1156/1		Introd	duction Num	iber A	B-51		
Subject Seizure by a court or law enforcement officer of an operator's license and reinstatement of an operating privilege									
	State Fiscal Effect eterminate Increase Existing Appropriations Decrease Existin Appropriations Create New Appropriations	g ng	☐ Increase E Revenues ☐ Decrease E Revenues	ŭ	to ab	ease Costs - esorb within Yes ease Costs	- May be possible n agency's budget No		
Local:  No Local Government Costs  Indeterminate  1. Increase Costs Permissive Mandatory  2. Decrease Costs Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Districts  5. Types of Local Government Units Affected Towns Village Cities Counties Others School WTCS Districts									
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations  GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS									
Agency/P	Prepared By		Autho	orized S	ignature		Date		
CTS/ Nancy Rottier (608) 267-9733 Nanc				y Rottier	2/14/2006				

## Fiscal Estimate Narratives CTS 2/14/2006

LRB Number <b>05-1156/1</b>	Introduction Number A	B-51	Estimate Type	Original					
Subject									
Seizure by a court or law enforcement officer of an operator's license and reinstatement of an operating privilege									

## **Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate**

This bill changes the procedures for the handling of operators licenses after conviction for certain offenses. Under current law, if a court suspends or revokes a person's operating privilege, the court must take possession of the person's operator's license and forward it to the Department of Transportation (DOT). Under this bill, a court is allowed to take possession of a person's operator's license and, if it does so, the court must destroy the license.

It is unlikely this bill's requirements will affect the number of these cases brought before the courts. The additional requirements of destroying the operators' licenses rather than returning them to DOT is unlikely to significantly affect the time involved or the cost involved in these cases. Therefore, it is estimated there will be no fiscal impact on the workload of the circuit courts from this change.

**Long-Range Fiscal Implications**