Fiscal Estimate - 2005 Session

	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supplemental			
LRB	Number	05-2020/1		Introd	uction Numb	oer A	B-601			
Subject										
Rights related to permits granted by state or local government										
Fiscal Effect										
	lo State Fisc ndeterminate Increase E Appropriat Decrease Appropriat Create Ne	e Existing tions Existing	Reve	ease Existing enues ease Existing enues	to abs	ase Costs sorb within Yes ase Costs	- May be possible agency's budget No			
No Local Government Costs □ Indeterminate 5.Types of Local Government Units Affected 1. Increase Costs 3. □ Increase Revenue □ Towns ☑ Village ☑ Cities □ Permissive ☑ Mandatory □ Permissive ☑ Mandatory ☑ Counties □ Others □ Permissive ☑ Mandatory □ Permissive ☑ Mandatory □ Districts □ Districts										
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS										
Agenc	y/Prepared I	Ву		Authorized S	ignature		Date			
DOT/ Bonnie Tripoli (608) 266-2372				Julie Johnson (608) 267-3703			8/3/2005			

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOT 8/9/2005

LRB Number 05-2020/1	Introduction Number	AB-601	Estimate Type	Original		
Subject						
Rights related to permits granted by state or local government						

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

There are currently 135 active §84.25 projects comprising of 1,223 miles of state highways. §84.25 is a tool that allows the department to manage access over time, as properties develop, redevelop or as highways are improved. With this change in the statute, access to a property under this program cannot be modified or managed unless the property owner is agreeable to this occurrence. Since most property owners know that they could be paid for a change in their access, that is what will occur in most instances.

Each §84.25 project averages about 100 property owners. Approximately one §84.25 project is impacted every 2 years by a highway improvement project. As part of that improvement project approximately 25 property owners' access will change. Of those changes, the following are assumptions made. The actual costs could vary considerably from what is estimated.

5 properties would cost the department \$1,000,000 each = \$5,000,000

10 properties could cost in the range of \$200,000 each = \$2,000,000

10 properties could fall in the range of \$10,000 each = \$100,000

Total for a 2-year period \$7,100,000

Total on an annual basis \$3,550,000

These access changes would require 25 very complex and expensive appraisals to be completed. One new appraiser would have to be hired to complete the 12 to 13 additional appraisals required by this draft per year.

The second part of the bill would require the department, if it erred in issuing an approval or permit on an 84.25 projects to provide compensation to the property owner for costs incurred by the owner in reliance on that approval or permit. This generally occurs once per year and the costs are estimated to be \$500,000. This could range from costs to cure the situation such as paying for the removal of the driveway and replacing it with landscaping to paying for a perceived value lost by modifying or revoking the access. The range here could be significant and costs could be significantly higher than \$500,000.

Thus \$3,550,000 + 500,000 Total \$4,050,000

These costs could apply to a city or county if an §84.25 projects falls within one of their projects.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

One §84.25 project per year is created now but no longer would be done. Currently the department spends about \$100,000 per year. The savings of this \$100,000 would be negated since access rights would have to be purchased and purchased again over time and the cost could run around \$50,000 per parcel, adding up to significantly more than the \$100,000 cost per §84.25 project.

Another long-range implication of this is that adding these costs to the department's budget would come at the expense of future projects and the result will be delays to projects. Dollars would be shifted from construction to real estate acquisition so that there would be less money available for construction projects per year.

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2005 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

	Original Updated	Corrected	Supplemental					
L	.RB Number 05-2020/1	Introduction Num	per AB-601					
Subject Rights related to permits granted by state or local government								
I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect): There are no one-time costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or local Government.								
II	. Annualized Costs:	Annualized Fisc	Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:					
		Increased Costs	Decreased Costs					
Α	. State Costs by Category							
	State Operations - Salaries and Fringes	\$88,026	0					
	(FTE Position Changes)	(1.0 FTE)	(0.0 FTE)					
	State Operations - Other Costs	4,050,000	0					
	Local Assistance	0	0					
	Aids to Individuals or Organizations	. 0	0					
	TOTAL State Costs by Category	\$4,138,026	\$0					
В	B. State Costs by Source of Funds							
	GPR	0	0					
	FED	0	. 0					
	PRO/PRS (0)	0	0					
L	SEG/SEG-S (4138026)	4,138,026	. 0					
III. State Revenues - Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, ets.)								
L		Increased Rev	Decreased Rev					
L	GPR Taxes	\$0	\$0					
L	GPR Earned	0	0					
L	FED	0						
L	PRO/PRS (0)	0	0					
L	SEG/SEG-S (0)	0	0					
L	TOTAL State Revenues	\$0	\$0					
NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT								
L		<u>State</u>	Loca					
_	IET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$4,138,026	\$284000					
1	NET CHANGE IN REVENUE \$0 \$0							
Ļ	Annual Burn and Burn Land Burn Land							
l	Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date					
L	OT/ Bonnie Tripoli (608) 266-2372	Julie Johnson (608) 267-3703	8/3/2005					