

Fiscal Estimate - 2005 Session

Original
 Updated
 Corrected
 Supplemental

LRB Number 05-2352/1	Introduction Number AB-645
Subject Repeal the comprehensive planning (Smart Growth) statute	
Fiscal Effect State: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No State Fiscal Effect <input type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Appropriations <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs - May be possible to absorb within agency's budget <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Appropriations <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Create New Appropriations <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs	
Local: <input type="checkbox"/> No Local Government Costs <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate 1. <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs 3. <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Revenue <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory 2. <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs 4. <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Revenue <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory	
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations <input type="checkbox"/> GPR <input type="checkbox"/> FED <input type="checkbox"/> PRO <input type="checkbox"/> PRS <input type="checkbox"/> SEG <input type="checkbox"/> SEGS <input type="checkbox"/> Towns <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Village <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cities <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Counties <input type="checkbox"/> Others <input type="checkbox"/> School Districts <input type="checkbox"/> WTCS Districts	
Agency/Prepared By DOR/ Daniel Huegel (608) 266-5705	Authorized Signature Paul Ziegler (608) 266-5773
Date 9/16/2005	

Fiscal Estimate Narratives
DOR 9/16/2005

LRB Number 05-2352/1	Introduction Number AB-645	Estimate Type Original
Subject Repeal the comprehensive planning (Smart Growth) statute		

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

Under the so-called "Smart Growth" law, beginning on January 1, 2010, certain programs or actions by a town, village, city, county, or regional planning commission that affects land use must be consistent with that unit's comprehensive plan. The programs and actions that must be consistent with the plan include: (1) official mapping established or amended under s. 62.23 (6); (2) local subdivision regulation under s. 236.45 or 236.46; (3) county zoning ordinances enacted or amended under s. 59.69; (4) city or village zoning ordinances enacted or amended under s. 62.23 (7); (5) town zoning ordinances enacted or amended under s. 60.61 or 60.62; and (6) zoning of shorelands or wetlands in shorelands under s. 59.692, 61.351 or 62.231.

Under the bill, the "Smart Growth" law would be repealed. By eliminating the requirement that a governmental unit have a comprehensive plan, it is possible that some local governments that have not yet adopted such plans will choose not to do so. This could lead to a reduction in planning costs. However, the Department is unable to estimate how many governmental units would choose not to develop a comprehensive plan.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications