



## Fiscal Estimate Narratives

DOT 1/3/2006

LRB Number	05-3521/1	Introduction Number	AB-667	Estimate Type	Original
<b>Subject</b>					
Parking on highways					

### Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

#### Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

1. Assume the bill would allow local governments to allow or permit parking on lanes of highways under their jurisdiction now reserved for highway travel.
2. Assume the bill passage could affect existing cost-share policies between the state and Milwaukee when existing highways are reconstructed. The state does not fund lanes desired by local governments for parking. Under this bill, a community could wait until lanes are constructed at state expense and then convert them to local parking use.
3. Assume the parking spaces could be installed on any highway including a state trunk highway in Milwaukee.
4. Assume that Milwaukee would lose connecting highway aid so local revenues would decrease.
5. Assume the costs the city would incur to change signs with the new authority would not be significantly different than costs for current parking regulation.
6. Assume the fines and the cost of enforcement are revenue neutral.
7. Assume SEG state fund sources affected would be 20.395(3)(cq) and 20.395 (1)(fq).

### Long-Range Fiscal Implications

The way the bill is written, Milwaukee could put parking on any highway under its parking jurisdiction in Milwaukee including a state trunk highway. This would affect the state in the available highway lanes reserved for traffic use. When this occurs, it is likely Milwaukee would lose connecting highway aid from the state due to the reduction in lane miles used to calculate the aid payment.

## Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2005 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

Original     
  Updated     
  Corrected     
  Supplemental

<b>LRB Number</b> 05-3521/1		<b>Introduction Number</b> AB-667	
<b>Subject</b>			
Parking on highways			
<b>I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect):</b>			
Due to the wording of the bill, the costs are indeterminate.			
<b>II. Annualized Costs:</b>		<b>Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:</b>	
		Increased Costs	Decreased Costs
<b>A. State Costs by Category</b>			
State Operations - Salaries and Fringes		\$	
(FTE Position Changes)			
State Operations - Other Costs			
Local Assistance			
Aids to Individuals or Organizations			
<b>TOTAL State Costs by Category</b>		<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>
<b>B. State Costs by Source of Funds</b>			
GPR			
FED			
PRO/PRS			
SEG/SEG-S			
<b>III. State Revenues - Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, ets.)</b>			
		Increased Rev	Decreased Rev
GPR Taxes		\$	\$
GPR Earned			
FED			
PRO/PRS			
SEG/SEG-S			
<b>TOTAL State Revenues</b>		<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>
<b>NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT</b>			
		State	Local
NET CHANGE IN COSTS		\$	\$
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE		\$	\$
<b>Agency/Prepared By</b>		<b>Authorized Signature</b>	<b>Date</b>
DOT/ Richard Moss (608) 267-7830		Julie Johnson (608) 267-3703	12/30/2005