## Fiscal Estimate - 2005 Session

Original Updated	Corrected Sup	plemental						
LRB Number <b>05-3152/1</b>	Introduction Number SB-43	30						
<b>Description</b> Prohibiting smoking in places of employment, restaurants, taverns, and other indoor areas								
Fiscal Effect								
State:  No State Fiscal Effect Indeterminate Increase Existing Appropriations Decrease Existing Appropriations Appropriations Revenues Decrease Existing Appropriations Revenues Decrease Existing Appropriations Revenues Decrease Costs No Local Government Costs Indeterminate Permissive Mandatory  2. Decrease Costs  Increase Revenue Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory  Permissive Mandatory  Permissive Mandatory  Permissive Mandatory  Permissive Mandatory  Districts  Increase Costs - May be possible to absorb within agency's budget To absorb within agency within agency within agency within agency								
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations  GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS								
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date						
COMM/ Debra Bresser (608) 266-8603	Louie Cornelius (608) 266-8629	12/5/2005						

# Fiscal Estimate Narratives COMM 12/5/2005

LRB Number	05-3152/1	Introduction Number	SB-430	Estimate Type	Original	
Description						
Prohibiting smoking in places of employment, restaurants, taverns, and other indoor areas						

#### Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

2005 SB 430 makes changes to current statutes that indicate locations accessible to the public in which smoking is prohibited. For example, 2005 SB 430 would prohibit smoking in any restaurant or tavern (current law allows smoking in any tavern holding a "Class B" liquor or fermented malt beverage license, unless prohibited by a municipality).

Currently, there is no Department workload or fiscal impact associated with the administration or enforcement of the statutory language regarding smoking prohibitions. There would also be no workload or fiscal impact associated with the changes indicated by 2005 SB 430.

There may be increased demand upon local units of government regarding enforcement of the expanded smoking prohibitions. However, the cost and degree of this demand are indeterminable. They will depend on factors that are difficult to project such as the the number and severity of the violations in an individual locality, the current level of enforcement resources, and the level of additional enforcement that is required.

#### **Long-Range Fiscal Implications**

### Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2005 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

X	Original		Updated			Corrected		Supplemental
LRB	Number	05-3152/	′1		Intro	duction Num	nber	SB-430
	oiting smoking					taverns, and oth		
i. One- annua	-time Costs Ilized fiscal e	or Revenue li effect):	mpacts for	State	and/or	Local Governm	nent (do	not include in
II. Ann	nualized Cos	its:				Annualized Fis	cal Imp	act on funds from:
						Increased Costs		Decreased Costs
	te Costs by							
State	e Operations	s - Salaries and	d Fringes			\$0		0
(FTE	E Position Ch	ianges)				(0.0 FTE)		(0.0 FTE)
State	e Operations	- Other Costs	)			0		0
Loca	al Assistance					0		0
Aids	to Individual	ls or Organizat	tions			0		0
T	OTAL State	Costs by Cate	egory			\$0		\$0
B. Stat	te Costs by	Source of Fu	nds					
GPR	1					0		0
FED		1			2.4	0		0
PRO	)/PRS			, i		0		0
SEG	SSEG-S				dir ji bad	0		0
III. Stat	te Revenues les (e.g., tax	s - Complete t increase, de	this only w crease in l	hen pr icense	oposal tee, et	l will increase o	r decrea	ase state
						Increased Rev		Decreased Rev
GPR	? Taxes					\$0		\$0
GPR	R Earned					0		0
FED						0		0
PRO	/PRS					0		0
SEG	/SEG-S					0		0
TC	OTAL State F	Revenues				\$0		\$0
		N	ET ANNUA	LIZEC	FISCA	AL IMPACT	·	
						State		Local
NET C	HANGE IN C	OSTS				\$0		\$0
NET C	HANGE IN R	EVENUE				\$0		\$0
Agency	y/Prepared E	Зу		Autho	orized S	Signature		Date
COMM	DMM/ Debra Bresser (608) 266-8603 Louie Cornelius (608) 266-8629 12					12/5/2005		