## Fiscal Estimate - 2007 Session

Original		Updated	Co	rrected		Supplemental				
LRB Number (	7-0457/1		Introduc	tion Numb	er Al	B-0018				
Description Exempting from taxation retirement plan income received by an individual										
Fiscal Effect										
Local:  No Local Gover Indeterminate  1. Increase C Permissive 2. Decrease	sting ns kisting ns Appropriations rnment Costs Costs  Mandator	3.  Increase R y Permissive 4.  Decrease F	Existing  evenue  Mandato	to absorbed to absorbe to absorbed to absorbe to absorbed to absorbe to	orb within Yes ase Costs of Local ment Unit vns	- May be possible agency's budget No No State No No State No No State No				
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations  GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS										
Agency/Prepared By		Autho	orized Sign	ature		Date				
DOR/ Bradley Caruth	ecca Boldt (608) 266-6785				7					

# Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOR 2/1/2007

Description Exempting from taxation retirement plan income received by an individual								

#### **Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate**

Under current law, the pension benefits of certain public employees are exempt from state taxation. Pension plans that are exempt from taxation include the following: U.S. Civil Service or military, Milwaukee city and county, Milwaukee police officers and public school teachers, Wisconsin state teachers' retirement fund, and the sheriff's annuity and benefit fund of Milwaukee County.

This bill would exempt from taxation up to \$2,500 of income received from a retirement plan in tax year 2007 if the income is not already exempt from taxation. The maximum allowable exemption would increase from \$2,500 to \$5,000 in 2008, \$10,000 in 2009, \$15,000 in 2010, and \$20,000 in 2011 and thereafter.

Using the 2005 Individual Income Tax Model, inflated for 2007 income levels and law, an exemption of up to \$2,500 for each person with retirement income would reduce taxes on approximately 391,000 returns. State income tax revenues would decrease by \$58 million to \$88 million for tax year 2007. A range, rather than a specific amount, is given because tax returns do not include information about the source of pension distributions for married couples. The lower bound assumes that all pension income is attributed to one spouse, while the upper bound assumes that the pension income is divided evenly between both spouses.

Apportioning the tax year effect to fiscal years, it is likely that the entire tax year 2007 revenue decrease would be felt in fiscal year 2008. The fiscal year 2007 revenue impact would be minimal.

### **Long-Range Fiscal Implications**

Similar simulations using the 2005 Individual Income Tax Model, inflated for tax years 2008 through 2011 were completed to estimate the costs during the remainder of the phase-in period of the bill. State income tax revenue is estimated to decrease by \$106 million to \$157 million in tax year 2008, \$188 million to \$268 million in tax year 2009, \$259 million to \$357 million in tax year 2010, and \$324 million to \$429 million in tax year 2011.

Translating these tax year effects to fiscal year effects, the estimated decrease in revenue is \$106 million to \$159 million for fiscal year 2008, \$143 million to \$207 million for fiscal year 2009, \$220 million to \$308 million for fiscal year 2010, and \$288 million to \$389 million for fiscal year 2011.

## Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2007 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

	Original		Updated			Corrected		Supplemental	
LRB Number <b>07-0457/1</b>					Introduction Number AB-0018				
Descri Exemp		ration retireme	ent plan inco	me re	ceived	by an individua	al		
I. One-		or Revenue I						not include in	
II. Ann	ualized Cos	its:				Annualized F	iscal Impa	act on funds from:	
						Increased Cost	ts	Decreased Costs	
A. Stat	te Costs by	Category							
State	→ Operations	- Salaries an	d Fringes		\$				
(FTE	Position Ch	anges)							
State	<ul><li>Operations</li></ul>	- Other Costs	S						
Loca	al Assistance								
Aids	to Individual	ls or Organiza	ıtions						
ТС	TOTAL State Costs by Category						\$	\$	
B. Stat	e Costs by	Source of Fu	ınds						
GPR	1								
FED									
PRO	)/PRS								
SEG	/SEG-S								
		s - Complete ( increase, de				l will increase ts.)	or decrea	ise state	
						Increased Re		Decreased Rev	
	Taxes					9	\$	\$	
	Earned								
FED									
	/PRS								
	/SEG-S					***************************************			
TOTAL State Revenues				\$ \$					
			NET ANNUA	LIZE	) FISC				
						State		Local	
NET CHANGE IN COSTS					\$ \$				
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE			丄	\$SeeText			\$		
Agency/Prepared By Au			Auth	thorized Signature			Date		
DOR/ Bradley Caruth (608) 261-8984 Reb				Rebe	cca Bol	dt (608) 266-67	1/31/2007		