# 2007 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: 02/07/2007  Wanted: As time permits  For: Mark Honadel (608) 266-0610  This file may be shown to any legislator: NO  May Contact:					Received By: rnelson2  Identical to LRB:  By/Representing: Jason  Drafter: rnelson2  Addl. Drafters:			
Subject: Courts - immunity liability					Extra Copies:			
Submit	via email: YES							
Request	ter's email:	Rep.Hona	del@legis.v	visconsin.gov			•	
Carbon	copy (CC:) to:							
Pre To	pic:			***************************************		WY		
No spec	cific pre topic g	iven	A Torres de April 48. A torres de la composição	erior de la companya	en e			
Topic:								
Actions	against manufa	acturers and dis	tributers of	products				
Instruc	ctions:							
See Atta	ached 05 AB 77	78						
Draftin	g History:	***************************************			<del></del>	· · ·		
Vers.	Drafted	Reviewed	<u>Typed</u>	Proofed	Submitted	<u>Jacketed</u>	Required	
/?	rnelson2 02/08/2007	jdyer 02/13/2007						
/1			nnatzke 02/13/20	07	cduerst 02/13/2007	cduerst 02/13/2007		
FE Sent	For:							

<END>

# 2007 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

FE Sent For:

Received By: rnelson2  Identical to LRB:  By/Representing: Jason  Drafter: rnelson2  Addl. Drafters:							
				Extra Copies:			
Submitted Jacketed Required							
cduerst 02/13/2007							

<**END>** 

## 2007 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: 02/07/2007 Received By: rnelson2

Wanted: As time permits Identical to LRB:

For: Mark Honadel (608) 266-0610 By/Representing: Jason

This file may be shown to any legislator: **NO**Drafter: **rnelson2** 

May Contact: Addl. Drafters:

Subject: Courts - immunity liability Extra Copies:

Requester's email: Rep.Honadel@legis.wisconsin.gov

Carbon copy (CC:) to:

Submit via email: YES

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Actions against manufacturers and distributers of products

Instructions:

Pre Topic:

See Attached 05 AB 778

**Drafting History:** 

<u>Vers.</u> <u>Drafted</u> <u>Reviewed</u> <u>Typed</u> <u>Proofed</u> <u>Submitted</u> <u>Jacketed</u> <u>Required</u>

/? rnelson2 193id 13id 1

FE Sent For:

<END>

### Nelson, Robert P.

From:

Vick, Jason

Sent:

Monday, February 05, 2007 4:19 PM

To: Subject:

Nelson, Robert P. Drafting Request

Robert:

Rep. Honadel would like to re-draft 2005 AB 778 relating to: actions against manufacturers, distributors, sellers, and promoters of products.

Please contact me with any questions.

Thank you,

## Jason Vick

Office of Rep. Mark Honadel Majority Caucus Chair **608-266-0611** 

2005 – 2006 LEGISLATURE

CORRECTED COAN

LRB-3851/IL (865/

2005 ASSEMBLY BILL 77/8

October 20, 2005 – Introduced by Representatives Wieckert, Honadel, Nischke, Huebsch, Jeskewitz, Albers, Gunderson, Ott, Musser, Lothian, Stone, Hahn, Nass, Lemahieu and Ainsworth, cosponsored by Senators Kanavas, Schultz, Reynolds, Darling, Stepp, Olsen, Grothman, S. Fitzgerald, A. Lasee and Harsdorf. Referred to Committee on Judiciary.

AN ACT *to create* 895.046 of the statutes; **relating to:** actions against manufacturers, distributors, sellers, and promoters of products.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

In *Thomas v. Mallett*, 2005 WI 129, the Wisconsin Supreme Court held that the manufacturers of white lead carbonate, which was used as a pigment in paint, may be liable for the injuries caused to a child who had ingested paint that contained the white lead carbonate, although the child could not prove that a particular manufacturer produced the white lead carbonate that he ingested. The court made that decision based on the risk–contribution theory, saying that all of the manufacturers' white lead carbonate were basically the same, the manufacturers created the risk of injury, and they should all contribute to the payment of the child's damages.

This bill provides that a manufacturer, distributor, seller, or promoter of a product generally may be held liable for damages only if the injured party proves, in addition to the causation, damages, and other elements of the claim, that the specific product that caused the injury was manufactured, distributed, sold, or promoted by the defendant. The bill also provides that if an injured party cannot prove that the defendant manufactured, distributed, sold, or promoted the specific product that caused the injury, the defendant may be held liable if, in addition to proving the other elements of the claim, the injured party proves all of the following:

1. That no other legal process exists for the injured party to obtain damages.

2. That the injury could only be caused by a product that is chemically identical to the specific product that allegedly caused the injury.

1

2

**A**A-

#### **ASSEMBLY BILL 778**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- 3. That the defendant manufactured, distributed, sold, or promoted a product that was chemically identical to the specific product that allegedly caused the injury during the time period in which that specific product was manufactured, distributed, sold, or promoted.
- 4. That the defendants named in the action collectively, during the relevant production period, manufactured, distributed, sold, or promoted within this state at least 80 percent of all products that were chemically identical to the specific product that allegedly caused the injury sold in this state. - on which

The bill limits liability to products that were manufactured, distributed, sold, or promoted within 25 years before the date the injury occurred and only if the product was manufactured for more than five years.

### The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

**Section 1.** 895.046 of the statutes is created to read:

895.046 Remedies against manufacturers, distributors, sellers, and **promoters of products. (1)** DEFINITION. In this section, "claimant" means a person seeking damages or other relief for injury or harm to a person or property caused by or arising from a product.

- (2) Applicability. This section applies to all actions in which a claimant alleges that the manufacturer, distributor, seller, or promoter of a product is liable for an injury or harm to a person or property, including actions based on allegations that the design, manufacture, distribution, sale, or promotion of, or instructions or warnings about, a product caused or contributed to a personal injury or harm to a person or property, a private nuisance, or a public nuisance, and to all related or independent claims, including unjust enrichment, restitution, or indemnification.
- (3) REMEDY WITH SPECIFIC PRODUCT IDENTIFICATION. Except as provided in sub. (4), the manufacturer, distributor, seller, or promoter of a product may be held liable in an action under sub. (2) only if the claimant proves, in addition to any other elements required to prove his or her claim, that the manufacturer, distributor,

#### **ASSEMBLY BILL 778**

seller, or promoter of a product manufactured, distributed, sold, or promoted the specific product alleged to have caused the claimant's injury or harm.

- (4) REMEDY WITHOUT SPECIFIC PRODUCT IDENTIFICATION. Subject to sub. (5), if a claimant cannot meet the burden of proof under sub. (3), the manufacturer, distributor, seller, or promoter of a product may be held liable for an action under sub. (2) only if the claimant proves all of the following:
- (a) That no other legal process exists for the claimant to obtain redress from another person for the injury or harm.
- (b) That the claimant has suffered an injury or harm that can be caused only by a product chemically identical to the specific product that allegedly caused the claimant's injury or harm.
- (c) That the manufacturer, distributor, seller, or promoter of a product manufactured, distributed, sold, or promoted a product that meets all of the following criteria:
- 1. Is chemically identical to the specific product that allegedly caused the claimant's injury or harm.
- 2. Was manufactured, distributed, sold, or promoted in this state during the time period in which the specific product that allegedly caused the claimant's injury or harm was manufactured, distributed, sold, or promoted.
- (d) The manufacturers, distributors, sellers, or promoters of a product who are named as defendants in the action collectively, during the relevant production period, manufactured, distributed, sold, and promoted within this state at least 80 percent of all products chemically identical to the specific product that allegedly caused the claimant's injury sold in this state.

### **ASSEMBLY BILL 778**

(5) Limitation on liability. No manufacturer, distributor, seller, or promoter
of a product is liable under sub. (4) if any of the following conditions exist:
(a) More than 25 years have passed between the date that the manufacturer
distributor, seller, or promoter of a product last manufactured, distributed, sold, or
promoted a product chemically identical to the specific product that allegedly caused
the claimant's injury and the date that the claimant's cause of action accrued.
(b) The period of the manufacturing of a product chemically identical to the
specific product that allegedly caused the claimant's injury was more than 5 years.
(6) Apportionment of liability. If more than one manufacturer, distributor,
seller, or promoter of a product is found liable for the claimant's injury or harm under
subs. (4) and (5), the court shall apportion liability among those manufacturers,
distributors, sellers, and promoters, but that liability shall be several and not joint.
SECTION 2. Initial applicability.
(1) This act first applies to actions commenced on the effective date of this subsection.
Section 3. Effective date.
(1) This act takes effect on first day of the 2nd month beginning after publication.
Publication.

(END)

### **Duerst, Christina**

From:

Rep.Honadel

Sent:

Tuesday, February 13, 2007 1:51 PM

To:

LRB.Legal

Subject:

Draft Review: LRB 07-1865/1 Topic: Actions against manufacturers and distributers of

products

Please Jacket LRB 07-1865/1 for the ASSEMBLY.