Fiscal Estimate - 2007 Session

Original Updated	Corrected Supplemental					
LRB Number 07-2395/1	Introduction Number AB-0327					
Description School district youth options programs and making an appropriation						
Fiscal Effect						
Appropriations Re Decrease Existing De Appropriations Re Create New Appropriations	crease Existing evenues crease Existing crease Existing crease Existing to absorb within agency's budget evenues Yes No					
Permissive Mandatory Pe	5.Types of Local Government Units Affected Towns Village Cities Counties Others Crease Revenue Counties Others					
Fund Sources Affected GPR FED PRO PRS	Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations SEG SEGS 20.255 (2)(cw)					
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature Date					
DPI/ Michael TeRonde (608) 266-5186	Michael Bormett (608) 266-2804 5/25/2007					

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DPI 5/29/2007

LRB Number	07-2395/1	Introduction Number	AB-0327	Estimate Type	Original	
Description						
School district youth options programs and making an appropriation						

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

Currently, a pupil enrolled in a public school in the 11th or 12th grade may attend an institution of higher education for one or more courses under the youth options program. The school board of the district in which the pupil is enrolled is responsible for paying the cost of tuition, fees and books for the pupil.

The bill makes youth options permissive, rather than mandatory, for school districts.

State: The bill would repeal Section 20.255 (2) (cw) of the Statutes, regarding transportation aid for the Youth Options program, resulting in annual cost savings of \$20,000 GPR for the state.

The bill would also require the department to repeal PI 40 of the Wisconsin Administrative Code and to file an annual report to the Legislature on district youth options programs. Cost of doing these would be absorbed by the department.

Local: A sufficient data base does not exist at this time indicating how many pupils are enrolled in youth options statewide. In addition, it is impossible to predict how many districts would adopt a youth options program.

While it is not possible to calculate the local fiscal impact of this proposed bill on school districts, it can be argued the bill would have no fiscal effect on local property tax levies. Under current law, youth options programs are one of many activities funded by school districts under their state-imposed revenue limits. Further, since nearly all school districts use their maximum allowable revenue limit authority each year, it could be assumed that any cost savings realized by a district due to elimination of youth options would very likely be replaced by other district costs under existing revenue limits.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications