## Bill

Received: 02/01/2007  Wanted: As time permits  For: Sheryl Albers (608) 266-8531  This file may be shown to any legislator: NO  May Contact:					Received By: pkahler  Identical to LRB:  By/Representing: Kurt Simatic  Drafter: pkahler											
									Addl. Drafters: mshovers							
									Subject Submit	t: Real Es	state - miscella	neous		Extra Copies:		
									Reques	ter's email:	Rep.Alber	rs@legis.wis	sconsin.gov			
					Carbon	copy (CC:) to:										
Pre To	pic:					***************************************										
No spe	cific pre topic g	iven	nti, viji i potičacij živi so Valori, viji i potičacij													
Topic:																
Plat app	oroval															
Instru	ctions:															
See Att	ached															
	ng History:															
Vers.	<u>Drafted</u>	Reviewed	Typed	Proofed	Submitted	<u>Jacketed</u>	Required									
/?	pkahler 03/28/2007	kfollett 03/30/2007														
/1			rschluet 03/30/200	07	cduerst 03/30/2007											
/2	pkahler 04/24/2007	kfollett 04/24/2007	pgreensl 04/24/200	)7	sbasford 04/24/2007	cduerst 05/02/2007										

FE Sent For: Worl

<END>

## Bill

Received: 02/01/2007  Wanted: As time permits  For: Sheryl Albers (608) 266-8531  This file may be shown to any legislator: NO  May Contact:					Received By: pkahler  Identical to LRB:  By/Representing: Kurt Simatic  Drafter: pkahler  Addl. Drafters: mshovers											
									Subject Submit	: Real Es	state - miscella	meous		Extra Copies:		
										ter's email: copy (CC:) to:	Rep.Alber	rs@legis.wi	sconsin.gov			
									No spec Topic: Plat app		ven					
									Instruc See Att					<u> </u>		1887 (1987) (198
Draftir	g History:					***************************************										
Vers.	Drafted	Reviewed	Typed	Proofed	Submitted	Jacketed	Required									
/?	pkahler 03/28/2007	kfollett 03/30/2007														
/1			rschluet 03/30/200	07	cduerst 03/30/2007											
/2	pkahler 04/24/2007	kfollett 04/24/2007	pgreensl 04/24/200	)7	sbasford 04/24/2007											

FE Sent For:

<END>

Bill

FE Sent For:

Received: 02/01/2007  Wanted: As time permits  For: Sheryl Albers (608) 266-8531					Received By: pkahler  Identical to LRB:  By/Representing: Kurt Simatic												
									This file may be shown to any legislator: <b>NO</b> May Contact:					Drafter: pkahler			
														Addl. Drafters: mshovers			
	via email: YES				Extra Copies:												
	ter's email: copy (CC:) to:	Rep.Alber	s@legis.wi	sconsin.gov													
Pre To  No spec  Topic:	pic: cific pre topic g	ven															
Plat app						et ogspræde skape											
Instruc	ctions:																
See Atta	ached																
Draftin	g History:																
Vers.	<u>Drafted</u>	Reviewed	Typed	Proofed	Submitted	Jacketed	Required										
/?	pkahler 03/28/2007	kfollett 03/30/2007															
<b>'1</b>		1219 4/24	rschluet 03/30/200	07 4	cduerst 03/30/2007												

<**END>** 

Bill

FE Sent For:

Received: 02/01/2007	Received By: <b>pkahler</b> Identical to LRB:  By/Representing: <b>Kurt Simatic</b>				
Wanted: As time permits					
For: Sheryl Albers (608) 266-8531					
This file may be shown to any legislator: <b>NO</b>	Drafter: pkahler				
May Contact:	Addl. Drafters:				
Subject: <b>Real Estate - miscellaneous</b> Submit via email: <b>YES</b>	Extra Copies:				
Requester's email: Rep.Albers@legis.wisconsin.gov  Carbon copy (CC:) to:					
Pre Topic:  No specific pre topic given					
<b>Topic:</b> Plat approval					
Instructions: See Attached					
Drafting History:					
Vers. Drafted Reviewed Typed Proofed  ? pkahler	Submitted Jacketed Required				

### Kahler, Pam

From:

Shovers, Marc

Sent:

Wednesday, January 10, 2007 12:26 PM

To: Subject:

Kahler, Pam FW: Drafting request

Attachments:

Drafting Request for Extraterritorial Plat Approval and Zoning.doc

Hi Pam:

Sorry to add more to your backlog, but I think this one is yours.

Marc

From:

Simatic, Kurt

Sent:

Wednesday, January 10, 2007 11:30 AM

To: Subject: Shovers, Marc Drafting request

Marc:

Please read the attached memo from the WI Towns Assoc on a drafting request that Rep. Albers would like to make.



Drafting Request for Extrater...

If you have any questions, please let me know.

### **Kurt Simatic**

Office of State Representative Sheryl K. Albers

115 West State Captiol Madison, WI 53708 608-266-8531 kurt.simatic@legis.wisconsin.gov To: Rep. Sheryl Albers

From: Richard J. Stadelman, Executive Director Wisconsin Towns Association

Re: Drafting Instructions on Extraterritorial Platting Authority

Date: December 21, 2006

**Issue**: The Wisconsin Supreme Court in case of <u>Wood v. City of Madison</u>, 260 Wis. 2d 71, 659 N.W. 2d 31, (Sup. Ct., April, 2003) held that a city or village may deny a proposed plat within the extraterritorial plat area of the city of village on proposed use of the land to be platted. This decision reversed the Court of Appeals decision in <u>Gordie Boucher Lincoln-Mercury v. Madison Plan Commission</u>, 178 Wis. 2d 74, 503 N.W. 2d 265 (Ct. App. 1993). The <u>Wood</u> decision in effect allows the city or village to exercise "land use control" previously only exercised by extraterritorial zoning under Sec. 62.23 (7a) of Wis. Statutes which requires the agreement of the town and city or village. The <u>Wood</u> decision has rendered the extraterritorial zoning statute under Sec. 62.23 (7a) meaningless, because the city or village may exercise the powers of zoning in the extraterritorial area unilaterally under the extraterritorial plat approval authority of Sec. 236.45 of Wis. Statutes without the agreement of the town. Note the concurring opinion of Justice Prosser provides a lengthy dissertation on the legislative history of extraterritorial powers and would not have overruled the <u>Bucher</u> case.

Goal of Wisconsin Towns Association: To reverse the Wood v. City of Madison holding to allow a city or village to reject a plat within the extraterritorial plat approval area on the basis of the "proposed land use" without using extraterritorial zoning under Sec. 62.23 (7a) of Wis. Statutes, which requires the mutual agreement of the town and city/village.

Possible wording to accomplish this goal:

Amend Sec. 236.45 (2)(b) of Wis. Statutes to add the following sentence to this subsection:

"A municipality may not deny plat approval under this section based upon the proposed use of the land within the plat, unless such denial is based upon the extraterritorial zoning agreement under Sec. 62.23 (7a) of Wis. Statutes."

Alternative to amending Sec. 236.45 (2)(b) would be to add a (c) to Sec. 236.45 (2) of Wis. Statutes.

Our Association would defer to the drafting attorney's ideas to best accomplish this goal.

If you or the drafting attorney have any questions in this matter, please contact me at (715) 526-3157 or by email at <a href="wtowns1@frontiernet.net">wtowns1@frontiernet.net</a>.

Thank you for your consideration in this matter.

ragulations, or ragulations, or amendments, amendments, amendments, adopted by a adopted by a governing bod



## State of Misconsin 2007 - 2008 LEGISLATURE



# PRELIMINARY DRAFT - NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

( 3, 28)

Lyon con

AN ACT :; relating to: denial of extraterritorial plat approval on basis of land's

use.

2

### Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

Current law specifies whether a county, town, city, or village has the right to approve or object to a plat (the map of a subdivision). Generally, the location of the subdivision determines which local governmental unit or units have the right to approve the plat. If a subdivision lies in the unincorporated area within three miles of the corporate limits of a first, second, or third class city, however, the governing body of the city has the right to approve the plat under its extraterritorial plat approval jurisdiction, as well as the board of the town within which the subdivision lies and the planning agency of the county within which the subdivision lies if the planning agency employs on a full-time basis a professional engineer, a planner, or another person charged with administering zoning or other planning legislation. Approval of a plat is conditioned on the plat's compliance with the local ordinances and comprehensive, master, or development plan of the local governmental unit or units that have the right to approve the plat.

In Wood v. City of Madison, 2003 WI 24, 260 Wis. 2d 71, 659 N.W. 2d 31, the Supreme Court determined that a city with extraterritorial plat approval jurisdiction over a plat could object to the plat on the basis of the proposed use of land outside the city limits. Wood overruled Boucher Lincoln-Mercury v. Madison Plan Comm., 178 Wis. 2d 74, 503 N.W. 2d 265 (Ct. App. 1993), which held that extraterritorial plat approval or denial based on the use of the land in the plat is unilateral land use control (or zoning), and that the statutes require extraterritorial

zoning to be a cooperative effort between the city and the town in which the zoning ordinance is in effect.

This bill prohibits a municipality (city or village) from denying approval of a plat on the basis of the proposed use of land within the extraterritorial plat approval jurisdiction of the municipality unless the denial is based on a plan or regulations adopted under the statute referred to in *Boucher Lincoln–Mercury* that sets out the requirements for the cooperative effort between the municipality and the town for extraterritorial zoning.

# The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

**SECTION 1.** 236.45 (3) of the statutes is renumbered 236.45 (3) (a).

**Section 2.** 236.45 (3) (b) of the statutes is created to read:

236.45 (3) (b) Notwithstanding par. (a) and subs. (1) and (2), a municipality may not deny approval of a plat under this section or s. 236.13 on the basis of the proposed use of land within the extraterritorial plat approval jurisdiction of the municipality, unless the denial is based on a plan or regulations, or amendments thereto, adopted by the governing body of the municipality under s. 62.23 (7a) (c).

## SECTION 3. Initial applicability.

(1) This act first applies to preliminary plats or, in cases in which no preliminary plats are submitted, final plats that are submitted for approval on the effective date of this subsection.

12

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

(END)

### Kahler, Pam

From:

Simatic, Kurt

Sent:

Tuesday, April 24, 2007 1:10 PM

To:

Kahler, Pam

Subject:

RE: Changes needed for LRB 1811

This afternoon if possible?

I will pass along your observation to Sheryl and the Towns Association. Perhaps they will change it back in the another draft or an amendment.

Thank you very much.

From:

Kahler, Pam

Sent:

Tuesday, April 24, 2007 1:07 PM

To: Cc:

Simatic, Kurt Shovers, Marc

Subject:

RE: Changes needed for LRB 1811

Kurt:

I think it is redundant to include both ss. 236.10 and 236.13, since s. 236.10 only specifies who must give approval, but I can easily add that section very quickly. How soon do you need it?

Pam

From: Sent:

Simatic, Kurt

To:

Tuesday, April 24, 2007 12:57 PM Shovers, Marc; Kahler, Pam

Subject:

Changes needed for LRB 1811

<< File: 07-18111.pdf >>

Changes are needed to the plat approval bill ASAP based on the following suggestion:

The only suggestion that we would make is to include on line 4 of page 2 in addition to "..... under this section or s. 236.13 or s. 236.10 on the basis...."

We suggest that Sec. 236.10 also be referenced because plat approvals are authorized in all of these sections including Sec. 236.10. Would you ask the drafting attorneys if they could do that?

How soon could we get a /2 back?

Thanks.

#### **Kurt Simatic**

Office of State Representative Sheryl K. Albers

115 West State Captiol Madison, WI 53708 608-266-8531

kurt.simatic@legis.wisconsin.gov



# State of Misconsin 2007 - 2008 LEGISLATURE

LRB-1811/1 PJK&MES:kjf:ps

2007 BILL

wonted worker

(Regen)

AN ACT to renumber 236.45 (3); and to create 236.45 (3) (b) of the statutes;

relating to: of extraterritorial plat approval on basis of land's use.

## Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

Current law specifies whether a county, town, city, or village has the right to approve or object to a plat (the map of a subdivision). Generally, the location of the subdivision determines which local governmental unit or units have the right to approve the plat. If a subdivision lies in the unincorporated area within three miles of the corporate limits of a first, second, or third class city, however, the governing body of the city has the right to approve the plat under its extraterritorial plat approval jurisdiction, as well as the board of the town within which the subdivision lies and the planning agency of the county within which the subdivision lies if the planning agency employs on a full-time basis a professional engineer, a planner, or another person charged with administering zoning or other planning legislation. Approval of a plat is conditioned on the plat's compliance with the local ordinances and comprehensive, master, or development plan of the local governmental unit or units that have the right to approve the plat.

In Wood v. City of Madison, 2003 WI 24, 260 Wis. 2d 71, 659 N.W. 2d 31, the Supreme Court determined that a city with extraterritorial plat approval jurisdiction over a plat could object to the plat on the basis of the proposed use of land outside the city limits. Wood overruled Boucher Lincoln-Mercury v. Madison Plan Comm., 178 Wis. 2d 74, 503 N.W. 2d 265 (Ct. App. 1993), which held that extraterritorial plat approval or denial based on the use of the land in the plat is unilateral land use control (or zoning), and that the statutes require extraterritorial

1

### BILL

zoning to be a cooperative effort between the city and the town in which the zoning ordinance is in effect.

This bill prohibits a municipality (city or village) from denying approval of a plat on the basis of the proposed use of land within the extraterritorial plat approval jurisdiction of the municipality unless the denial is based on a plan or regulations adopted under the statute referred to in *Boucher Lincoln–Mercury* that sets out the requirements for the cooperative effort between the municipality and the town for extraterritorial zoning.

# The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

- **Section 1.** 236.45 (3) of the statutes is renumbered 236.45 (3) (a).
  - **Section 2.** 236.45 (3) (b) of the statutes is created to read:
  - 236.45 (3) (b) Notwithstanding par. (a) and subs. (1) and (2), a municipality may not deny approval of a plat under this section or s. 236.13 on the basis of the proposed use of land within the extraterritorial plat approval jurisdiction of the municipality, unless the denial is based on a plan or regulations, or amendments thereto, adopted by the governing body of the municipality under s. 62.23 (7a) (c).

## SECTION 3. Initial applicability.

(1) This act first applies to preliminary plats or, in cases in which no preliminary plats are submitted, final plats that are submitted for approval on the effective date of this subsection.

12

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

(END)

236.10 or

## Duerst, Christina

From: Sent:

Simatic, Kurt Wednesday, May 02, 2007 4:28 PM LRB.Legal

To:

Subject:

Draft Review: LRB 07-1811/2 Topic: Plat approval

Please Jacket LRB 07-1811/2 for the ASSEMBLY.