## Fiscal Estimate - 2007 Session

$\boxtimes$	Original		Updated		Corrected		Suppler	mental
LRB	Number	07-1033/6		Introd	duction Nur	nber A	B-071	2
<b>Descr</b> This bi exemp	II prohibits co	mpanies who e	employ illegal aliens	s from re	eceiving govern	ment contra	acts and	other tax
Fiscal	Effect							
	No State Fisca Indeterminate Increase E Appropriat Decrease Appropriat Create Ne	existing ions Existing	☐ Increase E Revenues ☐ Decrease I Revenues	_	to a	ease Costs bsorb withir Yes crease Cost	n agency'	
	Indeterminate  1. Increase Permiss  2. Decrease	e Costs ive  Mandato	3.  Increase R  ory Permissive  4.  Decrease F	Man Revenue	Gove	es of Local ernment Un Towns [ Counties [ School [ Districts	its Affecte Village Others WTCS Districts	Cities
Fund	Sources Affe		PRS SEG	SE	<b>Affected (</b> EGS s.20.505(1	Ch. 20 App )(kf)	ropriatio	ns
Agend	y/Prepared E	Зу	Autho	orized S	Signature			Date
DOA/ Mary Massey (608) 267-2099 Marth.					a Kerner (608) 266-1359			

## Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOA 1/30/2008

LRB Number	07-1033/6	Introduction Number	AB-0712	Estimate Type	Original					
<b>Description</b> This bill prohibits companies who employ illegal aliens from receiving government contracts and other tax exemptions.										

## **Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate**

If enacted, this bill would prohibit state or local governments from procuring construction, services, materials, or equipment from companies that hire illegal aliens. The bill invests the Department of Commerce with rule making authority to implement this provision.

The state's vendor database currently contains over 72,000 for profit businesses. The state building program utilizes about 500 firms. Currently, there does not appear to be any publicly accessible database identifying companies that have been penalized or sanctioned for hiring illegal aliens. The cost to develop such a database base and maintain it is unknown. Further, the bill provides no funding for the collection of data or creation of a database to implement enforcement. In the absence of such a central database, companies dealing with state or local governments could be required to certify their compliance with the provisions of this bill. The cost to verify that such certification has been provided would be minimal for procurements made via bids and requests for proposals (RFP). However without a method to verify the accuracy of these certifications, the state could not guarantee that vendors were compliant with the provisions of this bill. If the Department of Commerce develops a database of non-compliant firms, the cost to state agencies to verify vendors against this database would be minimal and could be absorbed by state agencies.

In addition to procurements made via bid or RFP, the state procures over \$115 million annually for materials, fuel and minor equipment through procurement credit cards. These purchasing cards can be used with any vendor and do not require vendor pre-approval. Consequently, verifying procurements made via a purchase or fuel card would be difficult and expensive to implement.

**Long-Range Fiscal Implications** 

Unknown