## DRAFTER'S NOTE FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRB-3444/1dn MGG:wlj:pg

December 10, 2007

This draft is extremely complicated. Please review each provision carefully. As to the provisions in s. 77.82 and related cross—references outside of s. 77.82, the change of the term "petition" to "application" takes effect immediately. The substantive changes relating to management plans apply to plans filed on the second June 1 after the effective date.

Regarding the appropriations under s. 20.370 (1) (cr) and (cx): under current law, the application fees to cover recording with the register of deeds go into the appropriation under s. 20.370 (1) (cr) to pay for recording costs. See ss. 77.82 (2m) (d) and (4), 77.88 (2) (d) 1. and 2., and 77.91 (5). I don't think that the cross–reference to s. 77.82 (2m) (a) in s. 77.82 (2m) (dm) 1. works unless it is envisioned that there could be an application recording fee, as opposed to a management plan fee, that exceeds \$300. So I have stricken the cross–reference to par. (a) and the phrase "that is not credited to the appropriation under s. 20.370 (1) (cr)." For any management plan fee that exceeds \$300, the first \$300 will go to the appropriation under s. 20.370 (1) (cx) to pay certified plan writers working under contract with DNR, and the balance will go into the forestry fund. Let me know if my interpretation is incorrect or if you want any changes.

Mary Gibson–Glass Senior Legislative Attorney Phone: (608) 267–3215