# 2007 DRAFTING REQUEST

-	٠	-	1
ĸ	×	1	1
IJ	ı	1	

Received: 12/08/2006				Received By: rchampag											
Wanted: As time permits				Identical to LRB:											
For: <b>Julie Lassa</b> (608) 266-3123  This file may be shown to any legislator: <b>NO</b> May Contact:				By/Representing: <b>Jessica</b> Drafter: <b>rchampag</b> Addl. Drafters:											
								Subject: State Finance - bud generally				Extra Copies:			
								Submit	via email: YES	}	*				
Request	ter's email:	Sen.Lassa	@legis.wisco	onsin.gov											
Carbon	copy (CC:) to:														
Pre To	pic:														
No spec	cific pre topic gi	ven													
Topic:	A Section 1 - A Section 2 - A														
GAAP :	and preparation	of executive bu	udget bills												
Instruc	etions:	**************************************				***************************************									
See Atta	ached.														
Draftin	g History:														
Vers.	Drafted	Reviewed	Typed	Proofed	Submitted	<u>Jacketed</u>	Required								
/?	rchampag 12/11/2006	jdyer 12/12/2006					S&L								
/1			rschluet 12/12/200	06	sbasford 12/12/2006	cduerst 01/12/2007									
FF Sent	For														

<END>

C>A+ Intro.

# 2007 DRAFTING REQUEST

TO . I	
Kı	н
J.	

Receiv	ed: 12/08/2006				Received By: r	champag			
Wanted: As time permits				Identical to LRB:					
For: Julie Lassa (608) 266-3123  This file may be shown to any legislator: NO				By/Representing: Jessica					
				Drafter: rchampag					
May C	ontact:				Addl. Drafters:				
	t: State F  t via email: YES  ster's email:		generally @legis.wise	consin.gov	Extra Copies:				
Carbon	copy (CC:) to:								
Pre To	ppic:		******						
No spe	cific pre topic g	iven							
Topic:		ument u krajt u žeterito ju bija tiliju i še para para prima ju povija prima i se ili tili i se para para para					2 (1992) 1992 - 1993 1993 - 1993		
GAAP	and preparation	of executive b	udget bills						
Instru	ctions:				-				
See Att	ached.								
Draftii	ng History:								
Vers.	Drafted	Reviewed	Typed	Proofed	Submitted	Jacketed	Required		
/?	rchampag 12/11/2006	jdyer 12/12/2006					S&L		
/1			rschluet 12/12/20	06	sbasford 12/12/2006				
FE Sent	t For:								

<END>

# 2007 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: 12/08/2006	Received By: rchampag			
Wanted: As time permits	Identical to LRB:			
For: Julie Lassa (608) 266-3123	By/Representing: Jessica			
This file may be shown to any legislator: <b>NO</b>	Drafter: rchampag			
May Contact:	Addl. Drafters:			
Subject: State Finance - bud generally	Extra Copies:			
Submit via email: YES  Requester's email: Sen.Lassa@legis.wisconsin.gov				
Requester's email: Sen.Lassa@legis.wisconsin.gov  Carbon copy (CC:) to:				
Pre Topic:				
No specific pre topic given				
Topic:				
GAAP and preparation of executive budget bills				
Instructions:				
See Attached.				
Drafting History:				
Vers. Drafted Reviewed Typed Proofed /? rchampag / 12/11	Submitted Jacketed Required			
1/1/16				
FE Sent For:				

### Champagne, Rick

From:

Kelly, Jessica

Sent:

Thursday, December 07, 2006 4:46 PM

To:

Champagne, Rick

Subject:

2005 LRB-4316/1 SB 672

Can you please have this redrafted? Thank you.

Jessica Ford Kelly Office of Senator Julie Lassa State Capitol, Room 109 - South P.O. Box 7882 Madison, WI 53707-7882

608-266-3123 1-800-925-7491 toll-free

608-267-6797



1

2

3

4

5

State of Misconsin 2005 - 2006 LEGISLATURE

LRB-4316/1 RAC:ild:rs

LRB-1068/1 RAC: ild

\2005 SENATE BILL 672 (

2007

please

March 21, 2006 - Introduced by Senator Lassa, cosponsored by Representatives ZIEGELBAUER, STRACHOTA and ALBERS. Referred to Joint Committee on Finance.

John cot.

AN ACT to amend 16.47 (1); and to create 13.39 and 16.467 of the statutes; relating to: preparing the executive budget bill or bills according to generally accepted accounting principles; prohibiting the executive budget bill or bills from increasing the state's budget deficit; and requiring legislation to reduce the state's budget deficit.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

Under current law, the Department of Administration (DOA) is required to submit, as part of the biennial budget report, a comparison of the state's budgetary surplus or deficit according to generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), as reported in any audited financial report prepared by DOA for the most recent fiscal year, and the estimated change in the surplus or deficit based on recommendations in the biennial budget bill or bills. GAAP are those principles for state and local governments adopted by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB). Organized in 1984, GASB is an independent organization founded to establish standards of financial accounting and reporting for state and local governmental entities. Its standards generally guide the preparation of external financial reports of those entities.

This bill provides that, for the fiscal biennium occurring after the fiscal year in which DOA determines that the state does not have a budget deficit according to GAAP, and each fiscal biennium thereafter, the biennial budget or bills must be prepared according to GAAP. In addition, the bill provides that no executive budget

#### SENATE BILL 672

1

2

3

 $\stackrel{\hat{5}}{(6)}$ 

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

(2009

bill or bills may increase the state's budget deficit, if any, according to GAAP, as reported in any audited financial report prepared by DOA.

Finally, under the bill, beginning on July 1, 2007, if there is in any fiscal year a state budget deficit according to GAAP, as reported in any audited financial report prepared by DOA, each house of the legislature must pass legislation in that fiscal year to reduce the budget deficit by the lesser of \$100,000,000 or the entire amount of the deficit.

For further information see the *state and local* fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. 13.39 of the statutes is created to read:

13.39 Legislation to reduce state budget deficit. Beginning on July 1, 2009, if there is in any fiscal year a state budget deficit according to generally accepted accounting principles, as reported in any audited financial report prepared by the department of administration, the legislature shall pass legislation in the legislature of \$100,000,000 or the entire amount of the deficit.

**SECTION 2.** 16.467 of the statutes is created to read:

generally accepted accounting principles. For the fiscal biennium that occurs after the fiscal year in which the department determines, in any audited financial report prepared by the department, that the state does not have a budget deficit according to generally accepted accounting principles, as adopted by the governmental accounting standards board or its successor bodies, and each fiscal biennium thereafter, the biennial budget bill or bills shall be prepared according to generally accepted accounting principles.

**SECTION 3.** 16.47 (1) of the statutes is amended to read:

#### **SENATE BILL 672**

16.47 (1) Except as provided in s. 16.529 (2), the executive budget bill or bills shall incorporate the governor's recommendations for appropriations for the succeeding biennium. The appropriation method shown in the bill or bills shall in no way affect the amount of detail or manner of presentation which may be requested by the joint committee on finance. Appropriation requests may be divided into 3 allotments: personal services, other operating expenses and capital outlay or such other meaningful classifications as may be approved by the joint committee on finance. No executive budget bill or bills may increase the state's budget deficit, if any, according to generally accepted accounting principles, as reported in any audited financial report prepared by the department.

11

10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

(END)



# DRAFTER'S NOTE FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRB-1068/1dn RAC:

date

Please note that any law requiring the governor to limit the amount of his recommended appropriations in the executive biennial budget bill may very well be unconstitutional. In *State ex rel. Warren v. Nusbaum*, 59 Wis. 2d 391, 449–450 (1973), the court stated clearly that "Whatever recommendations the governor chooses to make to the legislature relating to appropriations are constitutionally committed to his discretion." In other words, the legislature by law cannot direct the governor as to what total amount of appropriations he or she can recommend in the budget bill.

Please note that s. 13.39 is not legally enforceable, but rather is a rule of proceeding under article IV, section 8, of the Wisconsin Constitution. The supreme court has held that the remedy for noncompliance with this type of provision lies exclusively within the legislative branch. See *State ex rel. La Follette v. Stitt*, 114 Wis. 2d 358, 363–369 (1983). In other words, while this type of provision may be effective to govern internal legislative procedure, the courts will not enforce this type of provision if the legislature does not follow it.

Finally, please note that I took out the requirement that the legislature has to pass a bill in the *same* fiscal year as the year in which the deficit occurs. The reason did this is that the Department of Administration does not publish its report until after the close of the fiscal year. Instead, I simply required the legislature simply to pass a bill to reduce the deficit for that fiscal year.

I 2

Rick A. Champagne Senior Legislative Attorney

Phone: (608) 266-9930

E-mail: rick.champagne@legis.wisconsin.gov

## DRAFTER'S NOTE FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRB-1068/1dn RAC:jld:rs

December 12, 2006

Please note that any law requiring the governor to limit the amount of his recommended appropriations in the executive biennial budget bill may very well be unconstitutional. In State ex rel. Warren v. Nusbaum, 59 Wis. 2d 391, 449-450 (1973), the court stated clearly that "Whatever recommendations the governor chooses to make to the legislature relating to appropriations are constitutionally committed to his discretion." In other words, the legislature by law cannot direct the governor as to what total amount of appropriations he or she can recommend in the budget bill.

Please note that s. 13.39 is not legally enforceable, but rather is a rule of proceeding under article IV, section 8, of the Wisconsin Constitution. The supreme court has held that the remedy for noncompliance with this type of provision lies exclusively within the legislative branch. See State ex rel. La Follette v. Stitt, 114 Wis. 2d 358, 363-369 (1983). In other words, while this type of provision may be effective to govern internal legislative procedure, the courts will not enforce this type of provision if the legislature does not follow it.

Finally, please note that I took out the requirement that the legislature has to pass a bill to reduce the deficit in the same fiscal year as the year in which the deficit occurs. The reason I did this is that the Department of Administration does not publish its report until after the close of the fiscal year. Instead, I simply required the legislature simply to pass a bill to reduce the deficit for that fiscal year.

> Rick A. Champagne Senior Legislative Attorney

Phone: (608) 266-9930

E-mail: rick.champagne@legis.wisconsin.gov

## **Duerst, Christina**

From:

Sent:

Kelly, Jessica Friday, January 12, 2007 4:16 PM

To:

LRB.Legal

Subject:

Draft Review: LRB 07-1068/1 Topic: GAAP and preparation of executive budget bills

Please Jacket LRB 07-1068/1 for the SENATE.