2007 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

| Receive | a: 01/03/200 / | | | | Received By: r | nelson2 | | |
|---|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|---|---|-----------------------|---|--|
| Wanted: As time permits | | | | | Identical to LRB: | | | |
| For: Ted Kanavas (608) 266-9174 This file may be shown to any legislator: NO | | | | | By/Representing: Mike Drafter: rnelson2 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Subject: Courts - immunity liability | | | | Extra Copies: | | | | |
| Submit | via email: YES | | | | | | | |
| Requesto | er's email: | Sen.Kanav | as@legis.w | visconsin.gov | | | | |
| Carbon | copy (CC:) to: | | | | | | | |
| Pre Top | oic: | | **** | | | | | |
| No speci | ific pre topic gi | ven | | | | | | |
| Topic: | | | : . | | | | 100 A 200 A | |
| Product | liability of mar | nufacturers, dist | ributers and | l sellers | | | | |
| Instruct | tions: | | | | | | | |
| See Atta | ched 05 SB 58 | 3 | | | | | | |
| Drafting | g History: | | | *************************************** | *************************************** | | | |
| Vers. | Drafted | Reviewed | Typed | Proofed | Submitted | <u>Jacketed</u> | Required | |
| /? | rnelson2 01/03/2007 | wjackson 01/21/2007 | | | | | | |
| /1 | | | pgreensl 01/22/200 | 07 | sbasford 01/22/2007 | cduerst 02/20/2007 | | |
| FE Sent | For: | | | | | | | |

<END>

2007 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

FE Sent For:

| | 01/03/2007 | | | | Received By: rr | ielson2 | | |
|--|----------------------------|--|----------------|--|----------------------------|-----------------|--|--|
| Wanted: | As time perm | nits | | | Identical to LRE | 3: | | |
| For: Ted Kanavas (608) 266-9174 | | | | | By/Representing: Mike | | | |
| This file r | nay be shown | to any legislat | or: NO | | Drafter: rnelson | 12 | | |
| May Contact: | | | | | Addl. Drafters: | | | |
| Subject: | Courts | - immunity lia | bility | Extra Copies: | | | | |
| Submit vi | a email: YES | | | | | | | |
| Requester | 's email: | Sen.Kanav | /as@legis.wi | sconsin.gov | | | | |
| Carbon co | opy (CC:) to: | | | | | | | |
| Pre Topi | c: | | | | | | | |
| No specif | ic pre topic gi | ven | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Topic: | | | | onga gitagani nga katalang ang k Katalang ang katalang ang katalan Katalang ang katalang ang katal | | | | |
| _ | ability of man | nufacturers, dist | ributers and s | sellers | | | e de la composición dela composición de la composición de la composición dela composición dela composición dela composición de la composición dela comp | |
| _ | * | nufacturers, dist | ributers and s | sellers | e de deservición de estado | | | |
| Product li Instruction | * | arterioris de la calque de compositoris de la calque de compositoris de la calque de compositoris de la calque | ributers and s | sellers | e de describer de 1923 | | . 124.7 | |
| Product li Instruction | ons: hed 05 SB 58 | arterioris de la calque de compositoris de la calque de compositoris de la calque de compositoris de la calque | ributers and s | sellers | | | | |
| Product li Instruction See Attack | ons: hed 05 SB 58 | arterioris de la calque de compositoris de la calque de compositoris de la calque de compositoris de la calque | Typed | sellers Proofed | Submitted | <u>Jacketed</u> | Required | |
| Instruction See Attack Drafting | ons: hed 05 SB 58 History: | 3 | | | Submitted | <u>Jacketed</u> | Required | |

<END>

2007 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: 01/03/2007 Received By: rnelson2

Wanted: **As time permits**Identical to LRB:

For: Ted Kanavas (608) 266-9174 By/Representing: Mike

This file may be shown to any legislator: **NO**Drafter: **rnelson2**

May Contact: Addl. Drafters:

Subject: Courts - immunity liability Extra Copies:

Submit via email: YES

Requester's email: Sen.Kanavas@legis.wisconsin.gov

Carbon copy (CC:) to:

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

/?

Product liability of manufacturers, distributers and sellers

Instructions:

See Attached 05 SB 58

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u> <u>Drafted</u> <u>Reviewed</u> <u>Typed</u> <u>Proofed</u> <u>Submitted</u> <u>Jacketed</u> <u>Required</u>

FE Sent For:

rnelson2

History of Senate Bill 58

| SENATE | | | |
|---------|--------|--|------|
| An Act | to cre | eate 895.045 (3) and 895.047 of the statutes; relating to: | |
| product | liabi | lity of manufacturers, distributors, and sellers. | |
| 2005 | | | |
| 02-1 | .5. S. | Introduced by Senators Kanavas, Grothman, Stepp, Kapanke, | |
| | | Roessler and Reynolds; cosponsored by Representatives | |
| | | Huebsch, Nischke, Gundrum, Van Roy, Kestell, Hahn, | |
| | | Nerison, Gielow, Vos, Nass, Kreibich, Vrakas, Pettis, | |
| | | Ott, Petrowski, Gunderson, Hines, McCormick, F. Lasee and | |
| | | Musser. | |
| 02-1 | .5. S. | Read first time and referred to committee on Job | |
| | | Creation, Economic Development and Consumer Affairs | |
| | | ····· | 8 |
| 02-1 | 5. S. | Pursuant to Senate Rule 46 (2)(c), withdrawn from the | • 0. |
| Q 22 3 | | committee on Job Creation, Economic Development and | |
| | | Consumer Affairs and rereferred to the committee on | |
| | | Judiciary, Corrections and Privacy | Q. |
| 02-2 | 3 | Public hearing held. | . 02 |
| 03-1 | | Senate amendment 1 offered by Senator Kanavas | 101 |
| 03-1 | | | |
| | | | 13: |
| 04-0 | | Executive action taken. | |
| 04-0 | 16. 5. | Report adoption of Senate Amendment 1 recommended by | |
| | | committee on Judiciary, Corrections and Privacy, | |
| 04.0 | | Ayes 3, Noes 2 | 152 |
| 04-0 | 6. S. | Report adoption of Senate Amendment 2 recommended by | |
| | | committee on Judiciary, Corrections and Privacy, | |
| | _ | Ayes 3, Noes 2 | 152 |
| 04-0 | 6. S. | Report passage as amended recommended by committee on | |
| | | Judiciary, Corrections and Privacy, Ayes 3, Noes 2 | |
| | | ••••••• | 152 |
| 04-0 | | Available for scheduling. | |
| 04-2 | 8. S. | Placed on calendar 5-3-2005 by committee on Senate | |
| | | Organization. Read a second time | |
| 05-0 | 3. s. | Read a second time | 193 |
| 05-0 | 3. S. | Senator Kedzie added as a coauthor | 193 |
| 05-0 | | Senate amendment 1 adopted | |
| 05-0 | 3. S. | Senate amendment 2 adopted | 193 |
| 05-0 | | Senator Brown added as a coauthor | |
| 05-0 | 3. S. | Ordered to a third reading, Ayes 18, Noes 14 | 193 |
| 05-0 | | Rules suspended | |
| 05-0 | 3. S. | Read a third time and passed, Ayes 18, Noes 14 | |
| | | •••••• | 193 |
| 05-0 | 3. S. | Ordered immediately messaged | 195 |
| 05-1 | 2. A. | Received from Senate | 240 |
| 05-1 | 2. A. | Read first time and referred to committee on Rules | |
| | | | 240 |
| 11-0 | 1. A. | Refused to suspend rules to withdraw from committee on | |
| | | | 566 |
| 11-0 | 1. A. | Made a special order of business at 11:01 A.M. on | |
| | | 11-8-2005 pursuant to Assembly Resolution 42 | |
| | | ······································ | 573 |
| 11-0 | 8. 2 | | 583 |
| 11-0 | | Ordered to a third reading | |
| 11-0 | | Rules suspended | |
| 11-0 | | Read a third time and concurred in, Ayes 60, Noes 36, | J03 |
| T T () | o. n. | | E07 |
| 11-0 | Ω 7\ | Ordered immediately messaged | 583 |
| 11-0 | | | |
| 11-0 | | Received from Assembly concurred in | |
| TT_T | v. a. | webore correctly entoried on 11-10-5002 | 453 |

Governor pursuant to Joint Rule 82 882

06-16. S. Failed to pass notwithstanding the objections of the

Search for another history



Back to Legislation Page



Back to Legislature Home Page

2005 - 2006 LEGISLATURE

| 32// LRB-1927/1 RPN:wlj:rz-Stays



1

2

2005 SENATE BILL 58

February 15, 2005 – Introduced by Senators Kanavas, Grothman, Stepp Kapanke, Roessler and Reynolds, cosponsored by Representatives Huebsch, Nischke, Gundrum, Van Roy, Kestell, Hahn, Nerison, Gielow, Vos, Nass, Kreibich, Vrakas, Pettis, Ott, Petrowski, Gunderson, Hines, McCormick, F. Lasee and Musser. Referred to Committee on Job Creation, Economic Development and Consumer Affairs.

AN ACT to create 895.045 (3) and 895.047 of the statutes; relating to: product

liability of manufacturers, distributors, and sellers.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

This bill establishes the criteria to determine if a product manufacturer, distributor, or seller is liable to a person injured by the manufactured product based on a claim of strict liability. Currently, a person injured by a manufactured product has three avenues to determine if the manufacturer, distributor, or seller is liable for the person's injury. The claimant may sue under a breach-of-warranty theory, under the common law negligence theory, and under the theory of strict liability. The doctrine of strict liability, as adopted in this state, applies to manufacturers, distributors, and sellers. That doctrine relieves the injured person from proving specific acts of negligence and protects that person from contractual defenses. However, the person must prove that the product was in a defective condition and unreasonably dangerous, the defective condition existed when it left the seller, the defect caused the injury, the seller was engaged in the business of selling such products, and the product was one that the seller expected to and did reach the consumer without substantial change.

Under this bill, a manufacturer is liable for damages caused by the manufacturer's product based on a claim of strict liability if the injured claimant proves that the product was defective, the defective condition made the product unreasonably dangerous, the defective condition existed at the time when the product left the control of the manufacturer, the product reached the user or consumer without substantial change, and the defective condition caused the claimant's damages. The bill specifies when a manufactured product is defective.

*

Under the bill, a distributor or seller is not liable for the claimant's damages based on a claim of strict liability unless the manufacturer would be liable for the damages and any of the following applies:

1. The distributor or seller contractually assumed one of the manufacturer's duties to manufacture, design, or provide warnings or instructions regarding the product.

2. Neither the manufacturer nor its insurer is subject to service of process within this state.

3. A court determines that the claimant would not be able to enforce a judgment against the manufacturer or its insurer.

The bill requires the dismissal of the distributor or seller as defendants in an action if the manufacturer or its insurer submits itself to the jurisdiction of the court in which the suit is pending.

Under the bill, if a defendant proves that the injured person, at the time of his or her injury from a manufactured product, had a blood alcohol concentration of 0.08 or more or was under the influence of any controlled substance or controlled substance analog to the extent that he or she could not operate a motor vehicle safely, that proof creates a rebuttable presumption that the intoxication or drug use was the cause of the person's injury. The bill also creates a rebuttable presumption that the manufactured product is not defective if the product complied with relevant standards, conditions, or specifications under federal or state law. In addition, the bill reduces the manufacturer's, seller's, or distributor's liability by the percentage of causal responsibility for the claimant's damages caused by the claimant's misuse, alteration, or modification of the product.

The bill requires the court to dismiss a claimant's action if the damage was caused by an inherent characteristic of the manufactured product that would be recognized by an ordinary person that uses or consumes the product. The bill relieves a distributor or seller of liability if the distributor or seller receives the product in a sealed container and has no opportunity to test or inspect the producta

Under the bill, evidence of remedial measures taken after the sale of the manufactured product are not admissible in an action for damages caused by the product based on a claim of strict liability for the purpose of showing a manufacturing defect, a design defect, or the need for a warning or instruction, but may be admitted to show that a reasonable alternative design existed at the time of the sale of the product. The bill limits a defendant's liability for damage caused by a manufactured in the product to those products manufactured within 15 years before the claim accrues unless the manufacturer specifies that the product will last longer

Under the bill, in product liability cases, to determine the causal responsibility for the injury, the fact finder must determine what percentage of that causal responsibility is the result of the contributory negligence of the injured party, the defective condition of the product, and the contributory negligence of any third person. The bill provides that, if the injured party's percentage of total causal responsibility for the injury is greater than the percentage resulting from the defective condition of the product, the injured party may not recover from the manufacturer or any other person responsible for placing the product in the stream

could not be entered 6981254 man Enclose

Manufactum

state or

that a

of commerce. If the injured party does have the right to recover, the injured party's damages are diminished by the injured party's percentage of causal responsibility for the injury. Under the bill, after determining the percentage of causal responsibility for the injury that is the result of the defective condition of the product, the fact finder is required to determine the percentage of causal responsibility of each product defendant for the defective condition of the product. The judge, under the bill, multiplies this percentage by the percentage of causal responsibility for the injury that is the result of the defective condition of the product to determine an individual product defendant's percentage of responsibility for the damages to the injured party.

Under the bill, a product defendant whose responsibility for the damages to the injured party is 51 percent or more is jointly and severally liable for all of those damages. The liability of a product defendant whose responsibility for the damages to the injured party is less than 51 percent is limited to that product defendant's percentage of responsibility for the damages. The bill also allows the injured party to recovery from the product defendant's even when the injured party's causal responsibility for the injury is greater than an individual product defendant's responsibility for the damages to the injured party.



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

Section 1. 895.045 (3) of the statutes is created to read:

895.045 (3) PRODUCT LIABILITY. (a) In an action by any person to recover damages for injuries caused by a defective product based on a claim of strict liability, the fact finder shall first determine if the injured party has the right to recover damages. To do so, the fact finder shall determine what percentage of the total causal responsibility for the injury resulted from the contributory negligence of the injured person, what percentage resulted from the defective condition of the product, and what percentage resulted from the contributory negligence of any other person.

(b) If the injured party's percentage of total causal responsibility for the injury is greater than the percentage resulting from the defective condition of the product, the injured party may not recover from the manufacturer, distributor, seller, or any other person responsible for placing the product in the stream of commerce based on the defect in the product.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- (c) If the injured party's percentage of total causal responsibility for the injury is equal to or less than the percentage resulting from the defective condition of the product, the injured party may recover but the damages recovered by the injured party shall be diminished by the percentage attributed to that injured party.
- (d) If multiple defendants are alleged to be responsible for the defective condition of the product, and the injured party is not barred from recovery under par. (b), the fact finder shall determine the percentage of causal responsibility of each product defendant for the defective condition of the product. The judge shall then multiply that percentage of causal responsibility of each product defendant for the defective condition of the product by the percentage of causal responsibility for the injury to the person attributed to the defective product. The result of that multiplication is the individual product defendant's percentage of responsibility for the damages to the injured party. A product defendant whose responsibility for the damages to the injured party is 51 percent or more of the total responsibility for the damages to the injured party is jointly and severally liable for all of the damages to the injured party. The responsibility of a product defendant whose responsibility for the damages to the injured party is less than 51 percent of the total responsibility for the damages to the injured party is limited to that product defendant's percentage of responsibility for the damages to the injured party.
- (e) If the injured party is not barred from recovery under par. (b), the fact that the injured party's causal responsibility for the injury is greater than an individual product defendant's responsibility for the damages to the injured party does not bar the injured party from recovering from that individual product defendant.
- (f) This subsection does not apply to actions based on negligence or a breach of warranty.

Section 2. 895.047 of the statutes is created to read:

895.047 Product liability. (1) LIABILITY OF MANUFACTURER. In an action for damages caused by a manufactured product based on a claim of strict liability, a manufacturer is liable to a claimant if the claimant establishes all of the following by a preponderance of the evidence:

- (a) That the product is defective because it contains a manufacturing defect, is defective in design, or is defective because of inadequate instructions or warnings. A product contains a manufacturing defect if the product departs from its intended design even though all possible care was exercised in the manufacture of the product. A product is defective in design if the foreseeable risks of harm posed by the product could have been reduced or avoided by the adoption of a reasonable alternative design by the manufacturer and the omission of the alternative design renders the product not reasonably safe. A product is defective because of inadequate instructions or warnings only if the foreseeable risks of harm posed by the product could have been reduced or avoided by the provision of reasonable instructions or warnings by the manufacturer and the omission of the instructions or warnings renders the product not reasonably safe.
- (b) That the defective condition rendered the product unreasonably dangerous to persons or property.
- (c) That the defective condition existed at the time that the product left the control of the manufacturer.
- (d) That the product reached the user or consumer without substantial change in the condition in which it was sold.
 - (e) That the defective condition was a cause of the claimant's damages.

| (2) Liability of seller or distributor of a product |
|--|
| is not liable based on a claim of strict liability to a claimant unless the manufacturer |
| would be liable under sub. (1) and any of the following applies: |

- 1. The claimant proves by a preponderance of the evidence that the seller or distributor has contractually assumed one of the manufacturer's duties to manufacture, design, or provide warnings or instructions with respect to the product.
- 2. The claimant proves by a preponderance of the evidence that neither the manufacturer nor its insurer is subject to service of process within this state.
- 3. A court determines that the claimant would be unable to enforce a judgment against the manufacturer or its insurer.
- (b) The court shall dismiss a product seller or distributor as a defendant based on par. (a) 2. if the manufacturer or its insurer submits itself to the jurisdiction of the court in which the suit is pending.
- (3) DEFENSES. (a) If the defendant proves by clear and convincing evidence that at the time of the injury the claimant was under the influence of any controlled substance or controlled substance analog to the extent prohibited under s. 346.63 (1) (a), or had an alcohol concentration, as defined in s. 340.01 (1v), of 0.08 or more, there shall be a rebuttable presumption that the claimant's intoxication or drug use was the cause of his or her injury.
- (b) Evidence that the product, at the time of sale, complied in material respects with relevant standards, conditions, or specifications adopted or approved by a federal or state law or agency shall create a rebuttable presumption that the product is not defective.

/19

15 years.

| (c) The damages for which a manufacturer, seller, or distributor would |
|---|
| otherwise be liable shall be reduced by the percentage of causal responsibility for the |
| claimant's harm attributable to the claimant's misuse, alteration, or modification of |
| a product. |
| (d) The court shall dismiss the claimant's action under this section if the |
| damage was caused by an inherent characteristic of the product that would be |
| recognized by an ordinary person with ordinary knowledge common to the |
| community that uses or consumes the product. |
| (e) A seller or distributor of a product is not liable to a claimant for damages |
| if the seller or distributor receives the product in a sealed container and has no |
| reasonable opportunity to test or inspect the product. |
| (4) Subsequent remedial measures. In an action for damages caused by a |
| manufactured product based on a claim of strict liability, evidence of remedial |
| measures taken subsequent to the sale of the product is not admissible for the |
| purpose of showing a manufacturing defect in the product, a defect in the design of |
| the product, or a need for a warning or instruction. This subsection does not prohibit |
| the admission of such evidence to show a reasonable alternative design that existed |
| at the time when the product was sold. |
| (5) TIME LIMIT. (a) In any action under this section, a defendant is not liable |
| to a claimant for damages if the product alleged to have caused the damage was |
| manufactured 15 years or more before the claim accrues, unless the manufacturer |

makes a specific representation that the product will last for a period of time beyond

preponderance of the evidence all of the following:

(b) This subsection shall not bar a claim if the claimant establishes by a

| · Grann | 1 |
|---------|---|
| | 2 |
| l | 3 |

1. That the defective product caused a latent disease that did not manifest itself until a date on or after 3 years before the expiration of the 15-year period.

2. That the claimant commenced the action within 3 years of the date of manifestation of the latent disease.

(6) INAPPLICABILITY. This section does not apply to actions based on a claim of negligence or breach of warranty.

SECTION 3. Initial applicability.

(1) This act first applies to causes of action occurring on the effective date of this subsection.

10

8

9

(END)

SENATE AMENDMENT 1, TO 2005 SENATE BILL 58

March 18, 2005 - Offered by Senator Kanavas.

At the locations indicated, amend the bill as follows:

1. Page 7, line 11: after "product." insert "This paragraph does not apply if the

seller or distributor may be liable under sub. (2) (a) 2. or 3.7.

4

(END)

SENATE AMENDMENT 2, TO 2005 SENATE BILL 58

March 18, 2005 – Offered by Senator Kanavas.

Jusert 8-4

| 1 | At the locations indicated, amend the bill as follows: |
|---|--|
| 2 | 1. Page 7, line 24: delete the material beginning with that line and ending with |
| 3 | page 8, line 4, and substitute: |
| 4 | "(b) This subsection does not apply to an action based on a claim for damages |
| 5 | caused by a latent disease. |
| 6 | (END) |

Duerst, Christina

From:

Richards, Mike

Sent:

Tuesday, February 20, 2007 10:43 AM LRB.Legal

To:

Subject:

Draft Review: LRB 07-1321/1 Topic: Product liability of manufacturers, distributers

and sellers

Please Jacket LRB 07-1321/1 for the SENATE.