Fiscal Estimate - 2007 Session

Original Updated	Corrected Supplemental				
LRB Number 07-2192/1	Introduction Number SB-107				
Description Regulation of cable television and video service providers					
Fiscal Effect					
Appropriations Rev Decrease Existing Dec Appropriations Rev Appropriations Rev Create New Appropriations Local: No Local Government Costs Indeterminate 1. Increase Costs 3. Increase Permissive Mandatory Permissive	Pease Existing Penues Penues Trease Existing Penues To absorb within agency's budget To absorb within agency's budget Towns Towns				
2. Decrease Costs 4. Decrease Revenue School Permissive Mandatory Districts Districts					
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS 20 144(1)(a)					
☐ GPR ☐ FED ☒ PRO ☐ PRS ☐ SEG ☐ SEGS s. 20.144(1)(g)					
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature Date				
DFI/ Susan Dietzel (608) 267-0399	Susan Dietzel (608) 267-0399 3/26/2007				

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DFI 3/27/2007

LRB Number 07-2192/1	Introduction Number S	B-107	Estimate Type	Original	
Description Regulation of cable television and video service providers					

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

This bill creates new responsibilities for the Department associated with a "video service franchise." Currently, municipalities grant cable franchises to cable operators. Under this bill, the Department is given the responsibility to review application requests for completeness and to issue a franchise within 10 days if the application is complete. The bill does not include any fees payable to the Department.

The bill prohibits the Department from regulating rates of service providers if there are at least two providers serving a municipality. However, it does not specify whether rates are to be regulated in the case of a single provider or whether it would be the responsibility of the Department or municipality to regulate rates.

Large telecommunication video service providers are to file an annual report with the department regarding the service provider's progress in complying with access to services requirements. If the provider is not in compliance, it can apply for and be granted an extension if the video service provider demonstrates to the satisfaction of the department that the provider has made substantial and continuous efforts to comply. The bill does not define substantial and continuous efforts, nor does it prescribe alternatives to granting an extension or waiver.

While many of the provisions of the bill pertaining to the Department are largely related to document processing and record management, the need for knowledgeable staff to answer questions, direct inquiries, process requests for extensions, and respond to complaints that will occur will be necessary. Staff will also be required to process applications and other documents and potentially regulate rates. The number of applications likely to occur is not known. Under similar legislation in Texas, 90 applications were received in the first year and a half.

In addition, an information system would be created to support access to information associated with video service provider applications and annual reports.

Estimated Costs:

1 senior level professional staff @\$58,000 \$ 58,000 2 examination staff @ \$45,000 each 90,000 41.1% fringe benefits 55,500 \$2,000 supplies and services each 6,000 One-Time System Development Costs 50,000 1st year costs \$259,500

On-going system maintenance costs \$ 10,000

Because no fees are included, the reduction to the general fund would be \$259,500 in the first year and \$219,500 on-going.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications