2007 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

FE Sent For:

Received: 02/28/2007					Received By: gmalaise														
Wanted	: As time perm	nits			Identical to LRB:														
For: John Lehman (608) 266-1832 This file may be shown to any legislator: NO May Contact:					By/Representing: Mike Browne Drafter: gmalaise Addl. Drafters:														
										Subject:	Employ	y Priv - wage c	laims		Extra Copies:				
										Submit	via email: YES	\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.							
Request	er's email:	Sen.Lehm	an@legis.w	visconsin.gov															
Carbon	copy (CC:) to:																		
Pre To	pic:																		
No spec	rific pre topic g	iven																	
Topic:				12-5			7 (1971) 1 (1971) 1 (1971) 1 (1971)												
Wage cl	laim lien priorit	y; elimination	of cap on pr	iority															
Instruc	tions:					:													
	achedeliminat has priority	e \$3,000 cap or	n wage clain	n lien priority	i.e., provide that	a wage claim of	any												
Draftin	g History:			dani da															
Vers.	Drafted	Reviewed	Typed	Proofed	Submitted	<u>Jacketed</u>	Required												
/?	gmalaise 03/01/2007	jdyer 03/08/2007																	
/1			rschluet 03/08/20	07	lparisi 03/08/2007	Iparisi 03/13/2007													

<END>

2007 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Receive	d: 02/28/2007				Received By: gmalaise				
Wanted	: As time perm	its			Identical to LRB:				
For: Jol	nn Lehman (6	08) 266-1832			By/Representing: Mike Browne Drafter: gmalaise Addl. Drafters: Extra Copies:				
This file	e may be shown	to any legislat	or: NO						
May Co	ntact:								
Subject	Employ	Priv - wage c	laims						
Request	via email: YES er's email: copy (CC:) to:		an@legis.w	isconsin.gov					
Pre To	pic: dific pre topic gi	ven				ni, parate an esta a jamani.	NGAN 02-2111		
Topic: Wage cl	aim lien priorit	y; elimination (of cap on pri	ority					
amount	achedeliminat has priority	e \$3,000 cap or	ı wage claim	ı lien priority.	i.e., provide that	a wage claim c	of any		
Draftin	g History:								
<u>Vers.</u> /?	<u>Drafted</u> gmalaise	Reviewed jdyer	Typed	<u>Proofed</u>	Submitted	<u>Jacketed</u>	Required		
7:	03/01/2007	03/08/2007		***************************************					
/1			rschluet 03/08/200	07	lparisi 03/08/2007				
FF Sent	For:								

<END>

2007 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: 02/28/2007 Received By: gmalaise

Wanted: As time permits Identical to LRB:

For: John Lehman (608) 266-1832 By/Representing: Mike Browne

This file may be shown to any legislator: **NO**Drafter: **gmalaise**

May Contact: Addl. Drafters:

Subject: Employ Priv - wage claims Extra Copies:

Submit via email: YES

Requester's email: Sen.Lehman@legis.wisconsin.gov

Carbon copy (CC:) to:

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Wage claim lien priority; elimination of cap on priority

Instructions:

See Attached--eliminate \$3,000 cap on wage claim lien priority. i.e., provide that a wage claim of any amount has priority

Drafting History:

Vers. Drafted Reviewed Typed Proofed Submitted Jacketed Required

/? gmalaise

FE Sent For:

<END>

Malaise, Gordon

From:

Duerst, Christina

Sent:

Tuesday, February 27, 2007 12:52 PM

To:

Malaise, Gordon

Subject:

FW: Message for Gordon Malaise

From: Sent:

Browne, Michael

Tuesday, February 27, 2007 12:51 PM

Subject:

Message for Gordon Malaise

Gordon,

Senator Lehman would like to have legislation drafted related to wage liens and I noticed that you were the drafter of the original legislation, 2001 SB 17. If you're no longer drafting in this area could you please forward on my request and let me know with whom I should work in the future?

I understand that 2003 WI Act 63 restored the priority of wage liens over lenders' liens but placed a cap on the amount of wages recoverable at \$3,000. Senator Lehman would like to eliminate the \$3,000 cap.

Thanks for your help on this issue, please let me know if you have any questions regarding this request.

Mike Browne Office of Senator John Lehman 310 South, State Capitol (608) 266-1832 michael.browne@legis.wisconsin.gov

Malaise, Gordon

From:

Browne, Michael

Sent:

Wednesday, February 28, 2007 11:30 AM

To:

Malaise, Gordon

Subject:

RE: Message for Gordon Malaise

Thanks for getting back to me, you are correct about our intent.

From: Malaise, Gordon

Sent: Wednesday, February 28, 2007 11:29 AM

To: Browne, Michael

Subject: FW: Message for Gordon Malaise

Michael:

Yes, I am still the drafter of wage claim lien legislation. I was out of the office yesterday, so I first got your message this morning.

It appears that what you want to accomplish by eliminating the \$3,000 cap is to restore completely the priority of wage claim liens as per Senator Robson's bill, 2001 SB 17.

Gordon

From:

Duerst, Christina

Sent:

Tuesday, February 27, 2007 12:52 PM

To:

Malaise, Gordon

Subject:

FW: Message for Gordon Malaise

From:

Browne, Michael

Sent:

Tuesday, February 27, 2007 12:51 PM

To:

LRB.Legal

Subject:

Message for Gordon Malaise

Gordon,

Senator Lehman would like to have legislation drafted related to wage liens and I noticed that you were the drafter of the original legislation, 2001 SB 17. If you're no longer drafting in this area could you please forward on my request and let me know with whom I should work in the future?

I understand that 2003 WI Act 63 restored the priority of wage liens over lenders' liens but placed a cap on the amount of wages recoverable at \$3,000. Senator Lehman would like to eliminate the \$3,000 cap.

Thanks for your help on this issue, please let me know if you have any questions regarding this request.

Mike Browne
Office of Senator John Lehman
310 South, State Capitol
(608) 266-1832
michael.browne@legis.wisconsin.gov



State of Misconsin 2007 - 2008 LEGISLATURE

LRB-2112/P

AN ACT ...; relating to: the priority of a wage claim lien over a prior lien of a commercial lending institution.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

Under current law, the Department of Workforce Development (DWD) must investigate and attempt to adjust any claim by an employee that his or her employer has not paid the employee any wages that are owed to the employee (wage claim). Currently, DWD or an employee who brings a wage claim action has a lien upon all property of the employer, real and personal, located in this state for the full amount of any wages owed to the employee (wage claim lien). Currently, a wage claim lien takes precedence over all other debts, judgments, decrees, liens, or mortgages against an employer, except for a lien of a commercial lending institution that originates before the wage claim lien takes effect (prior lien), regardless of whether those other debts, judgments, decrees, liens, or mortgages originated before or after the wage claim lien takes effect. Current law provides, however, that a wage claim lien takes precedence over a prior lien of a commercial lending institution as to the first \$3,000 of unpaid wages covered under the wage claim lien that are earned within the six months preceding the filing of the wage claim with DWD or the commencement of an action by the employee to recover the wages due.

This bill eliminates that \$3,000 cap and six-month time limit so that under the bill a wage claim lien covering any amount of wages earned at any time takes precedence over a lien of a commercial lending institution, regardless of whether the

lien of the commercial lending institution originated before or after the wage claim lien takes effect.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. 109.09 (2) (c) 1. of the statutes is repealed.

SECTION 2. 109.09 (2) (c) 1m. of the statutes is renumbered 109.09 (2) (c) and amended to read:

109.09 (2) (c) A lien under par. (a) takes precedence over all other debts, judgments, decrees, liens, or mortgages against the employer, except a lien of a commercial lending institution as provided in subd. 2. and 3. or a lien under s. 292.31 (8) (i) or 292.81, regardless of whether those other debts, judgments, decrees, liens, or mortgages originate before or after the lien under par. (a) takes effect. A lien under par. (a) may be enforced in the manner provided in ss. 779.09 to 779.12, 779.20, and 779.21, insofar as those provisions are applicable. The lien ceases to exist if the department of workforce development or the employee does not bring an action to enforce the lien within the period prescribed in s. 893.44 for the underlying wage claim.

History: 1975 c. 380; 1979 c. 32 s. 92 (9); 1985 a. 29, 220; 1989 a. 113; 1991 a. 146; 1993 a. 86, 453; 1995 a. 227; 1997 a. 27, 237; 1999 a. 9; 1999 a. 150 s. 672; 1999 a. 67; 2001 a. 10; 2003 a. 63; 2005 a. 434.

SECTION 3. 109.09 (2) (c) 2. of the statutes is repealed.

SECTION 4. 109.09 (2) (c) 3. of the statutes is repealed.

SECTION 5. Nonstatutory provisions.

(1) Wage Claim Liens. Notwithstanding section 109.09 (2) (c), 2005 stats., a lien that exists under section 109.09 (2) (a) of the statutes on the day before the effective date of this subsection takes precedence over a lien of a commercial lending

SECTION 5

institution, as defined in section 109.09 (2) (c) 1., 2005 stats that originated before the lien under section 109.09 (2) (a) of the statutes took effect.

(END)

Parisi, Lori

From: Sent:

To:

Subject:

Browne, Michael Tuesday, March 13, 2007 12:46 PM LRB.Legal Draft Review: LRB 07-2112/1 Topic: Wage claim lien priority; elimination of cap on priority

Please Jacket LRB 07-2112/1 for the SENATE.