## Fiscal Estimate - 2007 Session

$\boxtimes$	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supple	emental
LRB	Number	07-2147/1		Intro	duction Nu	mber S	B-163	}
<b>Descr</b> Relatir		ocurement of c	ontractual service	s				
Fiscal	Effect							
Local:	No Local Gov Indeterminate 1.  ncrease Permiss 2.  Decrease	Existing tions Existing tions ew Appropriation vernment Costs e e Costs sive Mandato	3. ☐ Increase	s Existings S Revenue	to a	rease Costs absorb withi Yes crease Cost es of Local ernment Ur Towns Counties School Districts	n agency	r's budget No No ted Cities
Fund S	Sources Affe PR 🔲 FED		]PRS 🗌 SEG	i 🔲 SE	Affected GGS	Ch. 20 App	propriatio	ons
Agend	y/Prepared l	Ву	Aut	horized S	Signature			Date
DOA/ I	Mary Massey	(608) 267-209	9 Mar	tha Kerne	4/27/2007			

## Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOA 4/27/2007

LRB Number <b>07-2147/1</b>	Introduction Number	SB-163	Estimate Type	Original						
Description Relating to: state procurement of contractual services										

## Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

This bill requires that all contractual services purchased by executive branch agencies be performed within the United States.

The Department of Administration does not track service location for centrally managed contracts nor are agencies required to report this information on agency managed contracts. Nevertheless, the department estimates that the majority of direct services are provided by US based workers. However, there is a growing national trend for large IT and banking organizations to subcontract for call center, programming, and back-office services.

In general the following types of cost increases would occur as the result of this bill:

- 1. The lowest bidder may not be awarded the contract.
- 2. Increased time to review all subcontracts to determine if the work is performed in the US at the time of award and throughout the life of the contract.
- 3. Time and cost of lengthening the administrative procurement process to assess these factors.

In addition to the above, Wisconsin is currently a signatory to the World Trade Organization Government Procurement Agreement (WTOGPA). Under this agreement preferences in favor of the United States must be eliminated from all contracts over a certain threshold. The provisions of this bill could put the State in violation of this agreement.

## Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Unknown.