Wisconsin Department of Administration Division of Executive Budget and Finance

# Fiscal Estimate - 2007 Session

Original Update	d Corrected  Sup	plemental		
LRB Number 07-2330/1	Introduction Number SB-17	0		
<b>Description</b> A notice to parties in civil actions of the Suprestatement of economic interests	reme Court rule regarding judicial recusal and regard	ing access to a		
Fiscal Effect				
Appropriations  Decrease Existing	ncrease Existing Revenues Increase Costs - May be absorb within agency's Revenues Increase Costs			
Local:  No Local Government Costs  Indeterminate  1. Increase Costs Permissive Mandatory  2. Decrease Costs Permissive Mandatory Districts  5. Types of Local Government Units Affected Towns Village Counties School WTCS Districts				
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations  GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS				
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date		
CTS/ Nancy Rottier (608) 267-9733	Nancy Rottier (608) 267-9733	5/7/2007		

### Fisçal Estimate Narratives CTS 5/7/2007

LRB Number 07-2330/1	Introduction Number	SB-170	Estimate Type	Original	
Description A notice to parties in civil actions of the Supreme Court rule regarding judicial recusal and regarding access to a statement of economic interests					

#### Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

This bill requires the following:

The Director of State Court (DSC) is to prepare a document summarizing the procedure a person must follow in order to review and copy the statement of economic interests filed by a circuit court judge under s. 19.43. In addition the DSC must supply sufficient copies of that document to the clerks of circuit court at no charge.
 The Clerks of Circuit Court are to mail to all of the parties named in a civil action or proceeding a copy of the document supplied by the DSC.

The DSC's costs of preparing and supplying copies of the document required by this bill will have only a minor fiscal impact.

The Clerks of Circuit Court will incur costs for envelopes, mailing and staff time to insure that the required notice is disseminated to all the parties in civil actions. According to proposed s. 753.30(5)(c) in the bill, the clerks may not charge a party for the costs of mailing the required notice. Therefore, any costs under this bill are the responsibility of the counties.

The attached sheet estimates the range of costs that may be incurred by the Clerks of Circuit Court under the bill and uses the following assumptions or information:

- ~ The number of civil filings is based on 2006 calendar year data reported to the DSC.
- Filing data for criminal matters, forfeiture actions, probate and juvenile actions are not included.
- -- Filing data for small claims actions are included but shown separately. Small claims cases comprise about 61% of the civil actions filed.
- Some counties report they contract with mailing businesses and are able to mail at less than full first-class postage rates. Several report they are able to contract for postage of \$.31 per piece; an estimated mailing cost based on that rate is included on the attached sheet.
- For some counties and for some types of actions, the required notice could be included in mailings that are already being sent by the Clerks of Circuit Court. For instance, in some counties, the Summons and Complaint in a small claims action is mailed to defendants. That is not true, however, in Milwaukee County, Waukesha County and other counties. Therefore, no discount for these actions is included because a reliable estimate of the impact cannot be made with the data available.
- In many actions, there are more than two parties. For instance, forclosure actions and personal injury actions often have five or more parties. There are also small claims actions that have more than two parties. This bill would require the Clerks of Circuit Court to mail to all parties. This estimate includes a multiplier effect of 2.25 parties per action to account for these actions.

It is estimated the cost of this bill could range from \$87,280, if all counties mailed to only one party per action and at a reduced postage rate, to \$259,729, if all counties mailed to 2.25 parties per action at the first class mail rate that will take effect May 14, 2007.

#### Long-Range Fiscal Implications

## SB 170 -- Fiscal Estimate Worksheet

	2006 Files
	Opened ·
Cívil	61,418
Divorce	21,726
Paternity	13,875
Other Family	12,200
Small Claims (Contested)	14,257
Small Claims (Uncontested)	150,088
Small Claims (Uncontested w/Appearance)	7,985
TOTAL CIVIL	281,549
Civil (w/o small claims)	109,219
Small Claims	172,330
Total Civil,	281,549
(% of Civil Cases that are Small Claims)	61.21%

Using 2006 filing data, here are the estimated postage costs: (Note: First class postage increases to \$.41 on May 14, 2007)

Cost per action if mailing done for one party only:

#### **Files**

	<b>Opened</b>	Postage @ .31	Postage @ .39	Postage @ .41
Civil	61,418	\$19,039.58	\$23,953.02	\$25,181.38
Divorce	21,726	\$6,735.06	\$8,473.14	\$8,907.66
Paternity	13,875	\$4,301.25	\$5,411.25	\$5,688.75
Other Family	12,200	\$3,782.00	\$4,758.00	\$5,002.00
Small Claims (Contested)	14,257	\$4,419.67	\$5,560.23	\$5,845.37
Small Claims (Uncontested)	150,088	\$46,527.28	\$58,534.32	\$61,536.08
Small Claims (Uncontested w/Appearance)	7,985	\$2,475.35	\$3,114.15	\$3,273.85
TOTAL CIVIL	281,549	\$87,280.19	\$109,804.11	\$115,435.09

Estimated multiplier of 2.25 to account for more than two parties per action:

#### <u>Files</u>

	<b>Opened</b>	Postage @ .31	Postage @ .39	Postage @ .41
Civil	61,418	\$42,839.06	\$53,894.30	\$56,658.11
Divorce	21,726	\$15,153.89	\$19,064.57	\$20,042.24
Paternity	13,875	\$9,677.81	\$12,175.31	\$12,799.69
Other Family	12,200	\$8,509.50	\$10,705.50	\$11,254.50
Small Claims (Contested)	14,257	\$9,944.26	\$12,510.52	\$13,152.08
Small Claims (Uncontested)	150,088	\$104,686.38	\$131,702.22	\$138,456.18
Small Claims (Uncontested w/Appearance)	7,985	\$5,569.54	\$7,006.84	\$7,366.16
TOTAL CIVIL	281,549	\$196,380.43	\$247,059.25	\$259,728.95