2007 DRAFTING REQUEST

Senate Amendment (SA-SB(LRBx0220/5))

Received: **07/27/2007**

Received By: **mshovers**

Wanted: **As time permits**

Identical to LRB:

For: **Alberta Darling (608) 266-5830**

By/Representing: **John**

This file may be shown to any legislator: **NO**

Drafter: **mshovers**

May Contact:

Addl. Drafters:

Subject: **Local Gov't - munis generally**
Local Gov't - counties

Extra Copies:

Submit via email: **YES**

Requester's email: **Sen.Darling@legis.wisconsin.gov**

Carbon copy (CC:) to:

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Local parks district; levy limits

Instructions:

See Attached. Change the levy limits in the bill to match those from the Assembly's ASA 1 to SB 40

Drafting History:

Vers.	Drafted	Reviewed	Typed	Proofed	Submitted	Jacketed	Required
/?							
/1	mshovers 07/30/2007	wjackson 07/31/2007	jfrantze 08/01/2007	_____ _____	lparisi 08/01/2007	lparisi 08/01/2007	

FE Sent For:

<END>

2007 DRAFTING REQUEST

Senate Amendment (SA-SB(LRBx0220/5))

Received: **07/27/2007**

Received By: **mshovers**

Wanted: **As time permits**

Identical to LRB:

For: **Alberta Darling (608) 266-5830**

By/Representing: **John**

This file may be shown to any legislator: **NO**

Drafter: **mshovers**

May Contact:

Addl. Drafters:

Subject: **Local Gov't - munis generally**
Local Gov't - counties

Extra Copies: Rick Olin @ LFB

Submit via email: **YES**

Requester's email: **Sen.Darling@legis.wisconsin.gov**

Carbon copy (CC:) to:

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Local parks district; levy limits

Instructions:

See Attached. Change the levy limits in the bill to match those from the Assembly's ASA 1 to SB 40

Drafting History:

Vers.	Drafted	Reviewed	Typed	Proofed	Submitted	Jacketed	Required
/?	mshovers	lwj 7/31					
/1	MES	7/30/07					

FE Sent For:

<END>

Shovers, Marc

From: Hogan, John
Sent: Friday, July 13, 2007 1:32 PM
To: Shovers, Marc
Subject: 2007 07 13 Asy freeze amd on parks.doc

TO: Marc Shovers, LRB-Drafting

FR: Senator Darling

DT: July 13, 2007

RE: Amendment to LRB 0220/4 imposing levy limit on Park Districts

---

Marc – I am requesting an amendment to LRB 0220/4 that would put Park Districts under the same levy restrictions as counties and municipalities under SB 40 as passed by the Assembly.

Analysis of the Assembly levy limit provision in SB 40 from Legislative Fiscal Bureau is provided below.

Assembly budget provision regarding
LEVY LIMIT FOR COUNTIES AND MUNICIPALITIES

Replace provisions proposed by the Governor and modified by the Joint Committee on Finance that would impose a levy limit on counties and municipalities in 2007 and 2008 with the following provisions.

John says draft the Am' using the "county" limit - not the muni limit

Imposition. Prohibit any city, village, town, or county from increasing its municipal or county tax levy by more than a maximum allowable amount determined through formula. Provide that the maximum allowable increase be calculated by multiplying the prior year levy by a valuation factor. Define the valuation factor for counties as the percentage equal to the greater of 0% or the percentage change in the county's equalized value due to new construction, less improvements removed, as determined for January 1 equalized values in the year of the levy. Define the valuation factor for municipalities as the percentage equal to the sum of the change in the municipality's equalized value due to new construction, less improvements removed, as determined for January 1 equalized values in the year of the levy, but not less than $0, and 50% of the value increment of any tax increment district in the previous year, provided the Department of Revenue (DOR) does not certify a value increment for the district in the current year due to the district's termination, divided by the municipality's equalized value for the year two years before the levy. Extend the limit to amounts levied in 2007, 2008, and 2009.

from AA to ASA 1 to SB40

page 835, line 5: delete lines 5-13 and substitute

"**SECTION 1881b.** 66.0602 (1) (d) of the statutes is renumbered 66.0602 (1) (d) (intro.) and amended to read:

66.0602 **(1)** (d) (intro.) "Valuation factor" means ~~a percentage equal to~~ the greater of either zero percent or ~~the percentage~~ one of the following:

1. For a municipality, the sum of the change in the political subdivision's January 1 equalized value due to new construction less improvements removed between the previous year and the current year, ~~but not less than 2. Except as provided in subs. (3), (4), and (5), no political subdivision may increase its levy in any year by a percentage that exceeds the political subdivision's valuation factor. In determining its levy in any year, a city, village, or town shall subtract any tax increment that is calculated under s. 60.85 (1) (L) or 66.1105 (2) (i)~~ and, if the department of revenue does not certify a value increment for a tax incremental district for the current year due to the district's termination, 50 percent of the value increment of such a district in the municipality, calculated for the previous year; and that sum is divided by the municipality's equalized value for the previous year to which the levy relates.

John says to use this limit

SECTION 1881e. 66.0602 (1) (d) 2. of the statutes is created to read:

66.0602 **(1)** (d) 2. For a county, a percentage equal to the percentage change in the county's January 1 equalized value due to new construction less improvements removed between the previous year and the current year.".

3 years

6. Page 835, line 16: delete "or 2008" and substitute ", 2008, or 2009".

7. Page 835, line 18: delete "maximum allowable" and substitute "political subdivision's".

8. Page 835, line 19: delete "city, village, town".

Shovers, Marc

From: Hogan, John
Sent: Wednesday, July 25, 2007 12:20 PM
To: Olin, Rick
Cc: Shovers, Marc
Subject: Memo request

Attachments: 2007 04 23 LFB freeze amendment memo.pdf

2007 04 23 LFB freeze amendmen...

ick,

I'd like to request a memo similar in nature to the one attached, this time to the most current draft of LRB 0220/5 (Parks District enabling legislation), and the simple amendment that Marc Shovers drafted for us (the amendment is the Assembly GOP tax levy freeze).

I don't have the /5 yet, nor the simple amendment. Marc - when you have them can you send to Rick? I'd just like to be prepared with this memo when the bill is introduced.

We want to know how revenues are raised and how the levy is limited, including:

How the levy is set in the first year
How revenue is raised and/or increased for parks on an annual basis (inflation, growth, etc?), or it is stagnant without action by the governing commission?
How the commission governing the district may raise the levy
What levy limitations exist, or are proposed (Governor's freeze is part of the /5, Assembly GOP freeze is in simple amendment, and the /5 draft has a 1 mil levy limitation to be exceeded only by referendum)

Please also relate these limitions to a park district that might be created by Milwaukee County.

John Hogan
Office of Senator Alberta Darling
Room 131 South, State Capitol
(608) 266-5830

State of Wisconsin
2007 - 2008 LEGISLATURE

LRBa0644/?
MES...Y.....

WLJ RMR

PRELIMINARY DRAFT - NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

SENATE AMENDMENT ,

TO 2007 SENATE BILL (LRB-0220/5)

5:00 N

At the locations indicated, amend the bill as follows:

1. Page 50, line 7: delete "or 2008" and substitute ", 2008, or 2009".

2. Page 50, line 8: delete "4" and substitute "zero".

3. Page 50, line 12: delete "maximum allowable" and substitute "district's".

(END)