2007 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

NONE

Received: 10/15/2007 Wanted: As time permits For: Jeffrey Plale (608) 266-7505 This file may be shown to any legislator: NO				Received By: pkahler						
				Identical to LRB:						
					By/Representing: Rep. Pridemore's office					
				Drafter: pkahler						
May Co	ntact:				Addl. Drafters:	Addl. Drafters:				
Subject:	Dom. R	el cust./plac	./vis.		Extra Copies:					
Request	rer's email:		Plegis.wisco	onsin.gov						
Topic:	pic: ific pre topic gi		ions							
Instruc See Atta		- E ^M			:					
Draftin	g History:									
Vers.	<u>Drafted</u>	Reviewed	Typed	Proofed	Submitted	<u>Jacketed</u>	Required			
/?	pkahler 10/15/2007	jdyer 10/15/2007								
₁ /1			pgreensl 10/15/20	007	sbasford 10/15/2007	cduerst 10/15/2007				
FE Sent	For:	wa								

<END>

2007 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: 10/15/2007 Wanted: As time permits For: Jeffrey Plale (608) 266-7505 This file may be shown to any legislator: NO					Received By: pkahler			
				Identical to LRB: By/Representing: Rep. Pridemore's office				
				May Co	ntact:			
Subject:	Dom. R	el cust./plac.	/vis. *** *********************************		Extra Copies:			
Request	er's email:	Sen.Plale@	legis.wisco	nsin.gov				
Carbon	copy (CC:) to:							
Pre To	pic:							
No spec	rific pre topic gi	ven						
Topic:								
Custody	and physical p	lacement revision	ons					
Instruc See Atta				Aparen a a		· ·		
	ig History:			·				
Vers.	Drafted	Reviewed	Typed	Proofed	Submitted	<u>Jacketed</u>	Required	
/?	pkahler 10/15/2007	jdyer 10/15/2007					•	
/1			pgreensl 10/15/200)7	sbasford 10/15/2007			
FE Sent	For:			<end></end>				

2007 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: 10/15/2007	Received By:	pkahler

Identical to LRB: Wanted: As time permits

For: Jeffrey Plale (608) 266-7505 By/Representing: Rep. Pridemore's office

This file may be shown to any legislator: NO Drafter: pkahler

Addl. Drafters: May Contact:

Extra Copies: Subject: Dom. Rel. - cust./plac./vis.

Submit via email: YES

Requester's email: Sen.Plale@legis.wisconsin.gov

Carbon copy (CC:) to:

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Custody and physical placement revisions

Instructions:

See Attached

Drafting History:

Proofed Submitted Required Vers. Drafted Jacketed

Reviewed 10/15/10 110/15/10 15/8 /? pkahler

FE Sent For:

<END>

STATE OF WISCONSIN – LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRB	Research (608–266–0341) Library (608–266–7040) Legal (608–266–3561) LF	₹E

Nasa-Jaka Sabadilinan disek Litter Li tter Sadi Anton Antonio Anton	Sole Swage From Rep. Prisenores Sol	vertromondifyrest,
Willia dan an an Amerika di Amerika da Amerika da	Spice 8/1/26	consistence and
	Sold Swage From Pep. Prisewords Sprice Drice Angle companion to	
	their bill on custody t	
	physical placement	
	revisions to Sen.	
intersperiore about a construction in differences recovered to the conditional difference difference difference	rensions for Sen, Plale	-despressing distribution of
and definition of the section of the		
anne per la companya de la companya La companya de la co	F	samana - marina da car
	Ser Plales office called to following)	inacinating
		~~~~
		**************************************
		maioù diversana
		والمراب والمرابعة والمرابع
ijanggaji na Gasara samana a samana amasa a meshiri ad ilikula labarar di koma tand		-024074
uiguala vanninna sa destin i de estanda distributen estanda distributen esta de estanda distribute estanda distributen estanda		nadonalis de escala
		V (() (() () () () () () () () () () () (
e. 1937 spilippontone (strumousekkiki to réfere des restribiés to séts a sida to tradition de		
kajan gara, lan a saka karanta akanta ka kala aka ka k		installed deleterables
. usunandaddillini ah delilini salit "i Pringdo-trobeli siilia oo oo lii dhooli oo oo liisa oo oo lii dhooli		***************************************

# RESEARCH APPENDIX -Draft Transfer/Copy Request Form


- Atty's please complete this form and give to Mike Barman

(Request Made By: Date: 11 / 5 / 07)
Please <u>transfer</u> the drafting file for
2005 LRB
For research purposes, because the 2005 draft was incorporated into a 2007 drafting file. This request form drafting file will be transferred, as a separate appendix, to the new 2007 drafting file. This request form will be inserted into the "guts" of the 2007 draft. If introduced, the appendix will be scanned/added to the electronic drafting file folder.
——OR ——  → Please copy the drafting file for
2007 LRB 1804 / oll (include the version) and place it in the
drafting file for 2007 LRB 3305 (SB 311)

- For research purposes, because the original 2007 draft was incorporated into another 2007 draft, the original drafting file will be copied on yellow paper (darkened/auto centered/reduced to 90%) and added, as a separate appendix, to the new 2007 drafting file. This request form will be inserted into the "guts" of the new 2007 draft. If introduced the appendix will be scanned/added to the electronic drafting file folder.
- The original drafting file will then returned, intact, to its folder and filed. For future reference, a copy of the transfer/copy request form will also be added to the "guts" of the original draft.



### State of Misconsin 2007 - 2008 LEGISLATURE



#### 2007 BILL



1

2

3

4

5

6

Regen

AN ACT to repeal 767.451 (1) (b) 3.; to amend 767.41 (4) (a) 2., 767.41 (6) (a) and 767.451 (1) (b) 2. (intro.); to repeal and recreate 767.451 (1) (b) 2. a. and 767.451 (1) (b) 2. b.; and to create 767.41 (5) (am) 5m. of the statutes; relating to: equalizing physical placement to the highest degree, requiring the court to state the reasons for ordering sole legal custody or not equalizing physical placement, and standards for modifying legal custody or physical placement.

#### Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

Under current law, in an action affecting the family, such as a divorce or a paternity action, a court must determine the legal custody of a minor child based on the best interest of the child. In current law, there is a presumption that joint legal custody is in the child's best interest. The court also must allocate periods of physical placement between the parties. The court is required to set a placement schedule that allows the child to have regularly occurring, meaningful periods of physical placement with each parent and that maximizes the amount of time the child may spend with each parent, taking into consideration geographic separation and accommodations for different households. The court may deny periods of physical placement with a parent only if the court finds that the physical placement would endanger the child's physical, mental, or emotional health. When determining custody and periods of physical placement, the court is required, under current law, to consider a number of factors (custody and placement factors), such as the wishes

BILL

of the child and of the parties, the interaction and interrelationship of the child with his or her parents, the amount and quality of time that each party has spent with the child in the past, the child's adjustment to the home, school, and community, and the cooperation and communication between the parties.

This bill provides that, when the court allocates periods of physical placement, instead of maximizing the amount of time a child may spend with each parent, taking into consideration geographic separation and accommodations for different households, the court must presume that a placement schedule that equalizes to the highest degree the amount of time the child may spend with each parent is in the child's best interest. This presumption may be rebutted if the court finds by clear and convincing evidence, after considering the custody and placement factors, that equalizing physical placement would not be in the child's best interest. The bill also makes the geographic separation of the parties an additional custody and placement factor for the court to consider in every case when determining custody and periods of physical placement.

Under current law, if legal custody or physical placement is contested, the court must state in writing why its findings relating to legal custody or physical placement are in the best interest of the child. Under the bill, if legal custody or physical placement is contested and the court orders sole legal custody or a placement schedule that does not equalize placement between the parties to the highest degree, the court must state both orally and in writing the reasons for its order.

Under current law, after two years after making an initial order of legal custody or physical placement, a court may revise legal custody or physical placement in a manner that substantially alters the time a parent may spend with his or her child if the court finds that the modification is in the best interest of the child and that there has been a substantial change in circumstances since the last order was made. There is a rebuttable presumption that continuing the current allocation of decision making concerning the child and continuing the child's physical placement with the parent with whom the child resides for the greater period of time is in the best interest of the child, and a change in the economic circumstances or marital status of a party is not sufficient to meet the standard for modification. The bill changes the rebuttable presumption that applies to modifications after two years after an initial order of legal custody or physical placement. Under the bill, there is a rebuttable presumption that the standard for modification is met, that is, that modification is in the best interest of the child and that there has been a substantial change in circumstances since the last order was made, if either of the following has occurred: 1) a parent has modified his or her lifestyle or the location of his or her residence to an extent that affects the amount of time the parent is able to care for the child; or 2) a parent has successfully completed parenting classes, a drug or alcohol abuse treatment program, or an anger management program to address a problem that previously hindered his or her ability to care for the child. In addition, BILL

1

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

the bill deletes the provision that makes a change in the economic circumstances or marital status of a party insufficient to meet the standard for modification.

# The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. 767.41 (4) (a) 2. of the statutes is amended to read:

767.41 (4) (a) 2. In determining the allocation of periods of physical placement, the court shall consider each case on the basis of the factors in sub. (5) (am), subject to sub. (5) (bm). The court shall set presume that a placement schedule that allows the child to have regularly occurring, meaningful periods of physical placement with each parent and that maximizes equalizes to the highest degree the amount of time the child may spend with each parent, taking into account geographic separation and accommodations for different households is in the best interest of the child. The presumption under this subdivision is rebutted if the court finds by clear and convincing evidence, after considering all of the factors in sub. (5) (am), subject to sub. (5) (bm), that equalizing physical placement to the highest degree would not be in the child's best interest.

**SECTION 2.** 767.41 (5) (am) 5m. of the statutes is created to read:

767.41 (5) (am) 5m. The geographic separation of the parties.

**SECTION 3.** 767.41 (6) (a) of the statutes is amended to read:

767.41 (6) (a) If legal custody or physical placement is contested <u>and the court</u> orders sole legal custody or a placement schedule that does not equalize physical placement between the parties to the highest degree, the court shall state <u>orally and</u> in writing why its findings relating to legal custody or physical placement are in the best interest of the child <u>the reasons for its order</u>.

**SECTION 4.** 767.451 (1) (b) 2. (intro.) of the statutes is amended to read:

## $\dot{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{ILL}$

17

1	767.451 (1) (b) 2. (intro.) With respect to subd. 1., there There is a rebuttable
2	presumption that any of the following is sufficient to meet the standards for
3	modification under subd. 1.:
4	<b>SECTION 5.</b> 767.451 (1) (b) 2. a. of the statutes is repealed and recreated to read:
5	767.451 (1) (b) 2. a. A parent modifying his or her lifestyle or the location of his
6	or her residence to an extent that affects the amount of time the parent is able to care
7	for the child.
8	SECTION 6. 767.451 (1) (b) 2. b. of the statutes is repealed and recreated to read:
9	767.451 (1) (b) 2. b. A parent having successfully completed parenting classes,
10	a drug or alcohol abuse treatment program, or an anger management program to
11	address a problem that previously hindered the parent's ability to care for the child.
12	SECTION 7. 767.451 (1) (b) 3. of the statutes is repealed.
13	SECTION 8. Initial applicability.
14	(1) This act first applies to actions or proceedings, including actions or
15	proceedings to modify a judgment or order previously granted, that are commenced
16	on the effective date of this subsection.

(END)

#### **Duerst, Christina**

From: Sen.Plale

Sent: Monday, October 15, 2007 3:08 PM

To: LRB.Legal

Subject: Draft Review: LRB 07-3305/1 Topic: Custody and physical placement revisions

Please Jacket LRB 07-3305/1 for the SENATE.